

JULY 2010

31st July '10

Dear Diana, After so many years, & momentous events (certainly for me!) it was good to see you in the House of Commons on 21/7/10, & interesting that you now mention (in your message below) my hearing before Lord Woolf. I am not at all important, but that hearing - & what followed - certainly ARE important. They warrant elaboration. Yes, I had one recorder in front of me, & asked Lord Woolf if he would have another on the bench in front of him, he pressing the button - which he did!

The case, before Lord Woolf, LJ Otton & LJ Ward was my attempt to expose serious malpractice by Court Service staff in Leeds Courthouse, & was red-hot. Lord Woolf was determined the malpractice would not be exposed but, rather than be hostile to me was playing 'Mr. Nice Guy'. He said, "Mr. Scarth, I see your case is of importance, not only for you, but for other Litigants in Person". He then said he was going to recommend that Pro Bono Unit barristers should take up the case for me. He thought I would be proud that I had a top barrister, that s/he would emasculate the case, gain me victory on some technical point, & I would go away delighted that 'MY case' was on record. However, I recognised the trap, & said "Thank you My Lord, but no thank you". The smiles remained from the three of them, but they were fixed, words spoken through gritted teeth. The judgment was delivered three months later, & it was then I said, "Her Majesty the Queen, whose courts these are, is badly served by the shysters who now infest the judiciary". See Yorkshire Evening Post story, with glaring headlines, "NORMAN 'OUSTS' TOP JUDGE" (It meant to say 'routs' . It WAS a rout!). You don't do THAT & get away with it! Nor did I! See 'A very Different Christmas, in normanscarth.blogspot.com for more.

However, to return to the subject of recording court proceedings, & transcripts. Some months later I produced from my own tape recordings a transcript of the hearing on 24/3/99, in exactly the same format as used by official transcribers, & sent it to Lord Woolf, asking that he sign it as an accurate record. He DID sign it (after making a couple of minor corrections). I still have that transcript with his signature.

So often we are told, 'If you are doing nothing wrong, you have no need to be fearful of CCTV cameras, ID cards etc.' Why then are judges so terrified that anyone might have a recorder in court? Apart from, which, the efficiency &/or hearing of official stenographers & transcribers is not always all it should be.

I have an official transcript of a hearing less than an hour long, which contained about 20 serious errors. A witness said, "A bundle of court documents". The transcription (the official court record !!) said, "A bundle of forged documents"!

I understand that in the USA three recordings are made of all hearings (a simple enough thing to do technically), one copy being retained by the court, one for each of the parties. As I said at the House of Commons meeting, I think we should challenge the judiciary strongly on this. With mobile phones now able to be used as recorders, I urge that after attending a hearing we should all write to the court telling them that we have recorded the hearing & ask what are they going to do about it? We could say it, even if we haven't made a recording (a little fib is acceptable under the circumstances?) If enough of us do it, they will have to capitulate (or lock us all up !)

From Norman Scarth - aka 'Lorne Auder'.

PS: I think I'm right in saying the name is 'Brooke', not Brooker. N.

From: DMitch8090@aol.com

Date: Fri, 30 Jul 2010 22:14:34 -0400

Subject: Courts are open, justice is a closed book

To: Dmitch8090@aol.com

Dear All,

Heather Brooker, who wrote the article below which was in the Times on Wednesday July 28th, 2010, is the author of *The Silent State* (Heinemann)

I think her article is a terrific and I intend to write to her and I hope that you will too. I know that she is writing about the open courts of tribunals and not the main courts but it is very difficult sometimes to get confirmation of what has been said in the main courts too. Some years ago Norman Scarth sent me a copy of a letter written by Lord Derry Irvine to Austin Mitchell which pointed out that his constituent could go back to the court to listen to the tape recordings to check the accuracy of the transcripts.

When I wrote to the Winchester Court asking for this it seems that Ian Hughes, (barrister, deputy judge) had told an assistant to write and refuse this so I sent him a copy of Derry Irvine's letter but to cut short a long story of four years of constant efforts to find out where the tapes were and to listen to them - evidently found after four years in the secretary's archives, we were never able to achieve this.

If the judge agrees, a claimant now can have a copy of a disc about their appeals. In this day and age, the facility of being given or paying a sum for a disc of proceedings should be the norm.

Lord Woolf even allowed Norman to put a tape recorder on his bench. If he was man enough to do this, why have other judges chickened out?

THE COURTS ARE OPEN BUT JUSTICE IS A CLOSED BOOK. HEATHER BROOKER.

We are denied even the barest details of what goes on in supposedly public proceedings. Last week I had an encounter with open justice. I was attending the information hearing of a friend who is trying to investigate allegations that the Liverpool Women's NHS Foundation Trust had a history of silencing whistleblowing staff by offering them public money to sign "gagging" contracts.

I've been to the tribunal before when I was fighting for the release of MPs' expenses and that's when I discovered the only record of proceedings of this so-called "open" people's court (the tribunals are meant to be less formal, more accessible form of justice) were my scribbled notes. When it became time to write a script for a dramatised TV version of the hearing, my notes and those of other reporters were all we had to go on. I'd asked at the time if I could tape-record the hearing and was told: "No".

This time I decided to press harder. The rhetoric of the English legal system is that justice must be seen to be done. So why are the public forbidden - under threat of jail - from recording a verbatim account of the proceedings? Not only that, rules are so opaque and obscure that court reporters struggle to report cases with any degree of accuracy or depth. And that's when there is a reporter in court at all: there used to be 25 reporters covering national courts for the Press Association; by 2009 there were four.

Anisa Dhanji, the judge, said she was concerned with the hearing being recorded. "Such requests are made in advance so the tribunal can maintain the necessary degree of control over the transcript".

"Control" is exactly what a court shouldn't be exerting. Once it is decided that it is open, there should be no restriction on how that open hearing is processed. She went on to say

that she'd allow me to record now but I'd have to wait for a future ruling before I could "use" the recording.

The next day in court the judge announced she'd made her ruling. "Please turn your recorder off" she said, looking sternly at me over her glasses. I did so.

"I have made my ruling. As you will no doubt be aware it is a contempt of court under Section 9 to make any kind of recording for any purpose including with a view to publication or transcript. It is for the court alone to decide if a recording takes place and the court must have control of the recording. To do otherwise is fraught with difficulty with difficulty. Firstly there is a risk of manipulation. Secondly it puts at a disadvantage other parties. Any recording you have made thus far must be deleted and cannot be used in any way including transcription" At least that's the gist of what she said because here's the final irony: when I asked if I could have a copy of her ruling she said there was no written record of it. To close a court, effectively from public scrutiny in a ruling of which there is no record strikes me as something straight out of Kafka.

The simple answer is to allow tape records for all: no party is disadvantaged and an "official" recording is there for checking. This is how it works in other countries. But that is to ignore the root objection of the courts: that they are losing control of how court proceedings are presented to the public.

The court's refusal to allow people to tape-record benefits a few private transcription companies whom the court approves in cosy deals. These people have exclusive rights to tape-record or listen to official recordings and then transcribe them. The cost of hiring them is about £150 – £250 per hour of typing.

Many trials in the upper courts are now officially recorded, yet these records are not accessible to the public.

All High Court hearings have been digitally recorded since February 2010. When I spoke to the court's governance officer he told me there were no plans to make these directly accessible to the public. Why not?:

I could go on. You might like to know whether the builder you're going to give your keys to has any convictions for theft or if the company you're about to do business with has a record of fraud. Tough. This information is not a click of a button away. Instead you'll have to know the details of the case before you can call up any records – even though it's the existence of cases that you're trying to find in the first place. It's Catch-22. If you do know some of the details you then have to battle petty officials across a number of court useful to the public all for the simple purpose of accessing information that is supposedly public.

There are three main things that would make the courts useful to the public: (a) knowing by name who is on trial (the court list); (b) why (the particulars of claim) and (c) the result, the verdict, sentence or settlement. Yet trying to get any, let alone all, of these is fraught with difficulty.

We have a justice system paid for by the common people but whose proceedings are only available to the rich, powerful or privileged. Let's not pretend that this is justice for all.

Heather Brooker is the author of *The Silent State* (Heinemann)

N.B. Subsequently the information appeared in the Times that it took three hours to type out an hour's recording.

Do write to her and give your view.

Best wishes to all,

Diana

25th July '10

To Nick Hurd MP;

I echo the words of Colin Peters, differing only in that I do not blame 'The System'. 'Better a bad system run by good people, than a good system run by bad people'. Until the age of 70 I believed (as we were constantly told) that 'British judges are the finest in the world'. It was a great shock to discover (by chance) that there are many rotten apples among them. We all know what happens to the rest of the apples in a barrel if the rotten ones are not removed. Only once or twice a century does this happen with the judiciary, as in the case of Mr, Justice Harman in 1998(?). Accused of being 'rude, slow, & knowing little of pop singers & footballers' he was forced to resign. If those were the only faults we could count ourselves lucky! They swear the judicial oath as a condition of their appointment - & the salary that goes with it. To act in contempt of that oath - as all too many do - is at least obtaining money by false pretences. Are there any good judges? One would expect the judiciary itself to be vigilant at exposing, denouncing (& imprisoning!) the rotten apples among them. On the contrary, they close ranks to protect the rotten apples. They follow the horrendous dictum of Lord Denning (rated [by some!] as the finest judge of the century) who said "Better that innocent men remain in prison than that the integrity of the judiciary be impugned". Those very words belie that integrity. Please do not suggest the Office for Judicial Complaints, or the Judicial Appointment & Conduct Ombudsman. None follow the Denning dictum more slavishly than they do, as any who have sought a remedy from them will testify. Colin Peters says "It might sound unlikely, but, recently, with public knowledge making so many MPs accountable for their fiddling, it is only a small step away for corrupt lawyers and judges to be called to account. When that time comes Mr Hurd, where will you be?"

Thanks to the Internet, judicial misconduct is more widely known. There WILL be a reaction, & it may be bloody. Not all Denning's words were bad: In his book 'What Next in the Law?' he predicted that victims of the abuse of power "... will find their own remedy - there will be anarchy". If you fail to take steps to remove the rotten apples you will make it certain.

Norman Scarth.

World War II Veteran.

From: cp014d2774@blueyonder.co.uk

To: patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk; hurdn@parliament.uk; brownjd@parliament.uk;

Annrnca@aol.com

CC: Various.

Subject: Re: Please bring this case to the attention of, Eddie Bloomfield, HM Chief Inspector of Court Administration and deputy chair of the Criminal Justice Chief Inspectors Group: -

Date: Sun, 25 Jul 2010 00:59:04 +0100

Dear Nick Hurd,

I am familiar with Patrick Cullinane's situation as it is now , and as it as been for a very long time.

I will not waste your time, or my own, by prattling on about the legal 'system' in this country but, suffice to say that I know beyond any doubt whatsoever that the 'system' is completely corrupt.

In seeking to help Patrick I am not blowing my own trumpet, but, if you have any doubt as to my qualifications for making this claim of corruption, within the 'system' then, please visit my website at <http://colinpetersbd40jh.tripod.com>

Like Patrick, I am in contact with a great many other 'Victims of the System' and I believe that it is only a matter of time before, - courtesy of the internet, - public knowledge of this corruption will be so great that members of the 'system' will be made answerable for so much of the damage that their corruption has wrought on thousands of members of the British public.

It might sound unlikely, but, recently, with public knowledge making so many MPs accountable for their fiddling, it is only a small step away for corrupt lawyers and judges to be called to account.

When that time comes Mr Hurd, where will you be?

On Patrick Cullinane's behalf, will you be able to hold up your hand and state that, aware of his situation, you sought to help him, or will you have to admit that your prime interest was in covering up for, and keeping quiet about our corrupt legal system?

Patrick has endured many horrendous experiences and I ask that you support him in his endeavours to attain a true form of justice for himself.

In Truth and Sincerity,

Colin Peters

----- Original Message -----

From: Patrick Cullinane

To: Nick Hurd - My (Con) MP ; Jill Brown - PA to Nick Hurd MP ; Annrnca@aol.com

Sent: Friday, July 23, 2010 11:16 PM

Subject: Please bring this case to the attention of, Eddie Bloomfield, HM Chief Inspector of Court Administration and deputy chair of the Criminal Justice Chief Inspectors Group: -

42 Augustine Road
Harrow Weald
Harrow
Middlesex HA3 5NP

23 July 2010

FAO: Nick Hurd MP and Jill Brown, PA to Nick Hurd
Member of Parliament for Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner
House of Commons
London SW1A 0AA
Tel: 020 7219 1053

Dear Nick Hurd and Jill Brown,

I received an email from Philips Bailiffs today, which I have copy pasted below for your perusal and immediate investigation.

Why did Philips Bailiffs fail to give me the telephone number and address of their clients?

When I did a Google search on, "North West Magistrates Court" the following came up: -

North West Surrey Magistrates Courts
View phone number
01483714950
Station Approach,
WOKING,
GU22 7YL

When I rang the above number this is the voice message that I got: -

"Please note that with effect from Monday 24 May the court administration office at Woking will be closed and the court support work will be carried out by a newly emerged team at Staines, the telephone number for Staines is: 01784 895 500"

When I rang the above number I was told by a female who refused to give her name, that they do not use Philips Bailiffs and she could not fathom where, "North West Magistrates Court" is, as I did not have the area or location of the Magistrates Court.

This female suggested that I ring the fines collection on, 01483 461 780 that they may be able to help me. I did this, and the female who declined to give her name, said, "we do NOT use Philips Bailiffs; it is strange that they did not give you an address, I cannot believe this"

Mr Hurd, it is imperative that you email Phillips Bailiffs to establish who their client is. It is also imperative that you demand a copy of ALL the documents on Philips Bailiffs file, relating to this matter - for your constituents records. Please copy me into your email out to Philips Bailiffs.

Have you contacted Ipswich Magistrates Court yet for a) the Court Order, b) the judgement and c) the reasons for the judgement?

Please note: My ex Solicitor and I, do NOT believe for a minute that these documents are available, which is why we cannot get them, as there was NO hearing!

WEST Yorkshire Police could launch a criminal inquiry into the Leeds Magistrates' Court scandal.

Leeds North West MP Greg Mulholland demanded the probe after a damning report said court officials had "manufactured" the results of some court cases, where the actual results were missing.

The inspectors found legal advisers had "made up" the results of some court hearings by "guessing" the outcomes.

<http://www.yorkshireeveningpost.co.uk/news/Leeds-Court-fiasco-crime-probe.3993109.jp>

Mr Hurd, in the public interest and the interests of justice, will you please take up this case immediately with, Mr Eddie Bloomfield, HM Chief Inspector of Court Administration and deputy chair of the Criminal Justice Chief Inspectors Group.

All the Police Constables that I reported the serious crimes against me, ignored me. 17 Police Constables assisted in the THEFT of my Home & Possessions: -

The Office of Constable

The bedrock of modern day British policing

<http://www.metfed.org.uk/support/uploads/1214552596Office%20Constable.pdf>

I look forward to hearing from you soon, as there is a massive conspiracy against me to annihilate me. These creatures are very, very sick indeed! Fortunately All my cases' are FULLY documented; therefore, there is NO way out for these conspiring criminals apart from MURDER me. So please, please bring these criminals to justice and get them into JAIL before this happens.

Yours truthfully,

Patrick Cullinane.

-----Original Message-----

From: hmcs-londondebtor@philips.org.uk [mailto:hmcs-londondebtor@philips.org.uk]

Sent: 23 July 2010 11:45

To: patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk

Subject: [Philips #695384] FW: Mr Manzoor, why are Phillips Bailiffs still persecuting me while you are 'representing' me in this matter? -

Hello

Thank you for your email.

Unfortunately we are unable to hold action unless otherwise advised by our client North West Magistrates Court.

If you have any dispute regarding your fine you will need to contact them directly. You will be able to obtain their number via your local telephone directory or on the internet.

Regards

Philips

From: Patrick Cullinane [mailto:patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk]

Sent: 19 July 2010 06:07

To: Nick Hurd - My (Con) MP; Jill Brown - PA to Nick Hurd MP; 'Annrnca@aol.com'

Cc: Phillip Inman - The Guardian; Chris Fogarty, Reporter - IAN; Nick Rabbitts - Limerick Leader Reporter; Neil Woodward - HMRC Victim; 'Harrow@met.police.uk'; New Scotland Yard - Met Police; International Criminal Court of JUSTICE in the HAGUE; Brian Cowan - HM Taoiseach in Ireland; 'nick.walkley@barnet.gov.uk'; 'sabine@3d-metrics.com';

'rsharp@london.newsquest.co.uk'; 'editorialuxbridge@trinitysouth.co.uk';

'Cllr.C.OMacaulay@barnet.gov.uk'; 'first.contact@barnet.gov.uk'; Steve Harris - HMRC Victim; Wayne Fontana - HMRC Victim; Walter Storch - TBR News; Trevor Phillips - HM

Equality & Human Rights; Thomas Harrell - Chairman of London GAA County Board; Noel O'Gara - The Irishman who won't let the Real Yorkshire Ripper get away; President Mary McAleese - Ireland; George Wescott - HM Victim; Paul Talbot Jenkins - HM Victim; Norman Scarth - Victim of HM CONSPIRING Partnerships; News - Sky.Today; Mohamed Al Fayed - Victim of Police State Britain; dafydd.morgan@packaging-plus.co.uk; Lis Henderson - News Editor. BBC Radio Suffolk; Kay Lintern - Has Vital Evidence on Peter Sutcliffe; John Wilson (jhwilson@rightsandwrong.com.au); John Molloy - Secretary of London GAA County Board; John Giltenan - Secretary of Limerick Association in London; Her Majesty's Agents at the 'Irish' World; Grace Mekarska - Victim of PricewaterhouseCoopers & Others; Geraldine Kennedy - Irish Times Editor; George Monbiot - Reporter of TRUTH at The Guardian; Gedaljahu Ebert - Victim of Corrupt High Court 'Judges'; Elizabeth Watson - One Voice Action Group; Elaine Williams - SAFE; Editor UK Column; Editor Saoirse Newspaper; DS: - STOP INJUSTICE NOW; David Holloway - Victim of Insurance Ombudsman; Colin Peters; Batt O'Keeffe - Minister for Education Republic of Ireland; 'info@philips.org.uk'
Subject: Why are Phillips Bailiffs still persecuting me while we cannot get the Court Order from Ipswich Magistrates Court? -
Importance: High

42 Augustine Road
Harrow Weald
Harrow
Middlesex HA3 5NP

19 July 2010
FAO: Nick Hurd and Jill Brown, PA to Nick Hurd MP
Member of Parliament for Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner
House of Commons
London SW1A 0AA
Tel: 020 7219 1053

Dear Nick Hurd and Jill Brown,

Further to our telephone conversation on 15 July 2010, I am forwarding you the latest oppressive threat from Philips Bailiffs, which I received on Saturday 17 July 2010, while the document was unsigned and backdated to 12 July 2010, which you can see here: -

As I explained to you on the phone on Thursday that there is a massive conspiracy against me; therefore, ALL my correspondence into your offices' must be taken very seriously and acted on immediately.

The incontrovertible evidence of the massive conspiracy can be found in the following questions: -

1. Why did the Inland revenue frame me up for income tax that I did NOT owe?
2. Why did the Wembley General Commissioners rule against me, when they knew I was given NO disclosure of the IR's case against me?

3. Why did Registrar Pimm bankrupt me on 6 December 1996, when it was the Inland Revenue that owed me thousands' of pounds in tax rebates?
4. Why did fifteen High Court 'Judges' abuse their position to pervert the course of justice in my case?
5. Why did Ipswich Magistrates Court deny me a hearing when, I had wrote to them and explained that I could NOT attend court on 19 July 2007?
6. Why can't my Solicitor and I get the Court Order from Ipswich Magistrates Court after numerous emails and letters?
7. Why are Philips Bailiffs terrorising me when they know that my Solicitor and I cannot get the Court Order from Ipswich Magistrates Court?
8. Was it in the public interest and the interest of justice to appoint Philips Bailiffs to run up a bill of over £275.00 in a case going back to July 2007, while we cannot get the relevant court documents?
9. Why did Philips Bailiffs fail to get back to me and ask me if it was OK for Phillip Inman to speak to them on my behalf?
10. Why was I framed-up twice in seventeen hours in July 2006 by two different Police forces in Wales?
11. Why did Barnet Council engage me in a war for over twenty years, which culminated in them putting an eviction notice on my Allotment Shed in June last year giving me ten days to get out, claiming that I had NOT paid my rent, and that I had NOT notified them of my change of address. I had the evidence that Barnet Council lied on BOTH counts to evict me, but the Local Government Ombudsman is sitting on the case to deny me justice.
12. Who authorised the unlawful BLACKLISTING of my email address to crucial Public Services?
13. Why have I NOT got a penny yet for the robbery of my home and possessions and ALL the crimes that have been committed against me?
14. And why have the Police abused their position for years and failed to do their duty and protect me from these conspiring criminals?

The above is only a brief outline of the massive conspiracy against me to pervert the course of justice and destroy me. These conspiring criminals are evil to the core and have made life for me - Hell upon Earth, with NO letup. Is this not the sort of treatment that split up Raoul Moat's family and drove him over the top?

As my Solicitor and I have failed to get the Court Order from Ipswich Magistrates Court; therefore, this is NOT a legal matter any longer, and I want you, as my MP to contact Ipswich Magistrates Court on my behalf and demand the following THREE documents: -

- a) Court Order for the 19 July 2007 hearing (sic)

b) Written Judgment

c) And the reasons for that judgement

As Philips Bailiffs have ignored ALL the correspondence that I have copied into them relating to this matter; therefore, it is imperative that you contact them immediately on my behalf and demand a copy of ALL the documents that they have in their files relating to this matter.

Phillip Inman's email to me below will give you a further insight into what exactly is going on here, as these CRIMINALS only want to engage Patrick Cullinane.

My legitimate expectations are that you peruse this correspondence FULLY and act on the EVIDENCE immediately, as your constituent is being constantly attacked and denied natural justice.

Under these murderous circumstances, I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours truthfully,

Patrick Cullinane.

From: Phillip Inman [mailto:phillip.inman@guardian.co.uk]
Sent: 15 July 2010 15:18
To: Patrick Cullinane
Subject: Re: FW: Requesting Compliance with The Rule of Law

Hi Patrick,

You need to call Philips to find out who "originated" the fine. They won't talk to me, only you. The Ipswich court denies it could be them because they don't use Philips.

Phillip

From: Patrick Cullinane [mailto:patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk]
Sent: 12 July 2010 14:26
To: Phillip Inman - The Guardian
Cc: Nick Hurd - My (Con) MP; Jill Brown - PA to Nick Hurd MP; Manzoor Ahmad Shah ('my solicitor') - Shah Law Chambers; 'Harrow@met.police.uk'; New Scotland Yard - Met Police; International Criminal Court of JUSTICE in the HAGUE; 'info@philips.org.uk'
Subject: FW: Mr Manzoor, why are Phillips Bailiffs still persecuting me while you are 'representing' me in this matter? -
Importance: High

42 Augustine Road
Harrow Weald
Harrow
Middlesex, HA3 5NP

12 July 2010
FAO: Phillip Inman, Economics Correspondent
The Guardian

Kings Place
90 York Way
London N1 9GU
0203 353 3609
07775 705883

Dear Phillip Inman,

Further to our telephone conversation this morning (12 July 2010) regarding Philips Bailiffs etc, etc, as arranged, I have scanned the undated fraudulent extortion document below, which I received this morning from Philips Bailiffs: -

As I said, I have heard nothing whatsoever from my Member of Parliament since our meeting with him on Friday 18 June 2010. Has my new MP been got at already while the Bailiffs are rampant?

Phillip, this is NOT a case of where I did not have a (FULL) Driving License, Valid Insurance Certificate and MOT Certificate; therefore, why are Philips Bailiffs persecuting me when they already have copies of these documents in their office? This is a blatant abuse of process and also a malicious attack, which is further incontrovertible evidence of the massive conspiracy against me to murder me with oppression and stress, while my Member of Parliament and my solicitor sit back and enjoy the deathly show.

Thank you Mr Inman for offering to contact Philips Bailiffs on my behalf for their comments on this matter. What would I do only for you and The Guardian newspaper? I hate to even think about it! Needless to say, I am very grateful to you and The Guardian for your years of EFFECTIVE help and support. For this, I will be FOREVER indebted to you, Mr Inman and The Guardian.

I look forward to hearing from you soon, as these criminals are driving me over the edge with yet another attack on my home (sic) and possessions.

Kindest regards,

Patrick Cullinane.

From: Patrick Cullinane [mailto:patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk]

Sent: 02 June 2010 16:56

To: Manzoor Ahmad Shah ('my solicitor') - Shah Law Chambers

Cc: Nick Hurd - My (Con) MP; Nick Clegg - Leader of the Liberal Democrats; David Cameron - Conservative MP; 'mulhollandg@parliament.uk'; 'Harrow@met.police.uk'; New Scotland Yard - Met Police; Brian Cowan - HM Taoiseach in Ireland; Kevin Kiely - Mayor of Limerick; Boris Johnson - Mayor of London; International Criminal Court of JUSTICE in the HAGUE; Christina McCormack - HM 'Irish' Embassy London; Phillip Inman - The Guardian; George Monbiot - Reporter of TRUTH at The Guardian; 'rob.evans@guardian.co.uk'; 'nick.cohen@observer.co.uk'; Chris Fogarty, Reporter - IAN; Noel O'Gara - Ireland; George Wescott - HM Victim; 'vomituk@aol.com'; Walter Storch - TBR News; news@channel4.co.uk; 'markcmetcalf@btinternet.com'; Lis Henderson - News Editor. BBC Radio Suffolk; Ben Ando - BBC Crime Reporter; Godfrey Bloom UKIP MEP - Yorkshire & North Lincolnshire; Elizabeth Watson - One Voice Action Group; Paul Talbot Jenkins - HM Victim; 'sabine@globalnet.co.uk'; 'bradmeyer@collaboration.co.uk'; Associated Press -

Global News Network; Her Majesty's Agents at the 'Irish' World; Jon Myles - Editor HM 'Irish' Post UK; Steve Harris - HMRC Victim; Neil Martin - HMRC Victim; Wayne Fontana - HMRC Victim; 'bias.brent@btconnect.com'; John Twomey, 'Irish' Centre; Pauleen Bridge - HM Victim; Kay Lintern - Has Vital Evidence on Peter Sutcliffe; Con Dee - Chairman of Limerick Association of London; John Giltenan - Secretary of Limerick Association in London; 'cllrjimlong@yahoo.co.uk'; Nick Rabbitts - Limerick Leader Reporter; 'Trevor.Phillips@equalityhumanrights.com'; 'stephen@stephentimms.org.uk'; 'info@philips.org.uk'

Subject: Mr Manzoor, why are Phillips Bailiffs still persecuting me while you are 'representing' me in this matter? -

Importance: High

42 Augustine Road
Harrow Weald
Harrow
Middlesex, HA3 5NP

2nd June 2010
Manzoor Ahmad Shah (Solicitor)
Shah Law Chambers
Suite 011, Temple House
221-225 Station Road
Harrow
Middlesex, HA1 2TH
Tel: 020 8861 8374

Dear Mr Shah,

Please peruse the following oppressive fraudulent document from Phillips Bailiffs, which was backdated to Monday 24 May 2010 and delivered on Saturday 29 May 2010 to ensure that I had a 'Happy Bank Holiday': -

Why are you allowing Phillips Bailiffs to oppress and torture me while you are 'representing' me in this matter? This is a case where I have ALL the correct documents and Ipswich Magistrates Court cannot produce a SIGNED & SEALED Court Order for 19 July 2007. Therefore, this is a criminal abuse of public office to oppress and rob your Irish client: -

What is the purpose of looking for a Certified SIGNED & SEALED Court Order (which WE both know is NOT available, as there was NO hearing) if in the meantime you allow Philips Bailiffs to rob me? Why did you fail to put Phillips Bailiffs on notice when you started this case? And why did you take a copy of my Driving Licence if you are NOT prepared to use it against these bureaucratic conspiring criminals who are putting fines out to their CORRUPT Bailiffs without any Court Hearing or proper documents?

You are aware that Philips Bailiffs are operating without proper documentation, as Ipswich Magistrates Court cannot produce a VALID SEALED Court Order; therefore, as my solicitor what EFFECTIVE action are you going to take now to protect your IRISH clients interests?

Under these oppressive Genocidal circumstances that I have been trapped in, through NO

fault of my own, I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours sincerely,

Patrick Cullinane.

From: Patrick Cullinane [mailto:patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk]

Sent: 08 April 2010 10:00

To: 'shah@slchambers.co.uk'

Cc: Gareth Thomas (my) New Lab MP; David Cameron - Conservative MP; 'mulhollandg@parliament.uk'; 'eddie.bloomfield@hmica.gsi.gov.uk'; International Criminal Court of JUSTICE in the HAGUE; 'mitchellav@parliament.uk'; Brian Cowan - HM Taoiseach in Ireland; Phillip Inman - The Guardian; Chris Fogarty, Reporter - IAN; George Monbiot - Reporter of TRUTH at The Guardian; 'rob.evans@guardian.co.uk'; 'nick.cohen@observer.co.uk'; Walter Storch - TBR News; Ipswich) Ross McGuinness - UK Metro Reporter; Boris Johnson - Mayor of London; Mayor of Limerick, Kevin Kiely, castigated by the Limerick Leader; 'cllrjimlong@yahoo.co.uk'; 'corruptcourts@gmail.com'; 'publiccomplaints@soca.x.gsi.gov.uk'; Noel O'Gara - Ireland; George Wescott - HM Victim; Norman Scarth - Victim of HM CONSPIRING Partnerships; 'sabine@globalnet.co.uk'; 'bradmeyer@collaboration.co.uk'; Gedaljahu Ebert - Victim of Corrupt High Court 'Judges'; Elizabeth Watson - One Voice Action Group; Paul Talbot Jenkins - HM Victim; Ben Ando - BBC Crime Reporter; Godfrey Bloom UKIP MEP - Yorkshire & North Lincolnshire; Her Majesty's Agents at the 'Irish' World; Jeff Rense - Nationwide GCN Network Worldwide; Joe Scanlan - Lawful Rebellion Conference 31 Oct 2009 ; Alex Jones - World Liberator; Kay Lintern - Has Vital Evidence on Peter Sutcliffe; Associated Press - Global News Network; Lis Henderson - News Editor. BBC Radio Suffolk; Wayne Fontana - Victim of Police State Britain; 'davidfabb@hotmail.co.uk'; 'vomituk@aol.com'; Mark Metcalf - Freelance Reporter; Complaints Secretariat - Ombudsman Europa; Editor UK Column; Mohamed Al Fayed - Victim of Police State Britain; news@channel4.co.uk; Christina McCormack - HM 'Irish' Embassy London; Jon Myles - Editor HM 'Irish' Post UK; Trevor Phillips - HM Equality & Human Rights; Gordon Brown - Prime Minister; 'info@philips.org.uk'

Subject: No Court Order for the 'PHANTOM HEARING' at Ipswich Magistrates' Court on 19 July 2007: -

42 Augustine Road
Harrow Weald
Harrow
Middlesex, HA3 5NP

8th April 2010
Manzoor Ahmad Shah (Solicitor)
Shah Law Chambers
Suite 011, Temple House
221-225 Station Road
Harrow
Middlesex, HA1 2TH
Tel: 020 8861 8374

Dear Mr Shah,

Thank you for your letter of 29 March 2010, with the enclosed deceitful letter of 25 March 2010 from Ipswich Magistrates Court's Deputy Justices Clerk, Daniel Cain, which I have addressed in the attached document entitled: "No Court Order for the 'PHANTOM HEARING' at Ipswich Magistrates' Court on 19 July 2007"

Thanks again for your Pro Bono representation on this criminal abuse of process matter, which I will be forever indebted to you. If the corrupt British Courts and their Bailiffs had not ROBBED me of EVERYTHING I would have been able to pay you.

To give you a better picture of the endemic corruption that is going on in our courts in the UK, please watch the following two videos: -

Patrick Cullinane's address in the House of Commons on 23 April 2009

"This is a short video of the corruption at the Inland Revenue; who conspired with High Court 'Judges' and the Police to pervert the course of Justice. Trial by Jury is the ONLY answer to this Government's Tyranny."

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eFakScc9Z80>

Videos from the House of Lords on 9 March 2010: -

Here are recordings of "victims turned starfighters" introducing themselves on 9th March 2010:

[Please listen to what Brian Hudson has to say about the conman Jack Straw at number two introduction]

<http://edm1297.info/2010/03/11/tackling-the-serious-oppression-of-hm-subjects/>

Why are the police getting taxpayers money to protect criminals and ignore US victims?

I look forward to hearing from you when you have had time to digest the FACTUAL contents of my correspondence.

For my own protection, I have copied this legitimate correspondence to my enemies and to my powerful friends in the media who are WATCHING the skulduggery of our law enforcers attacking an upright Catholic Irishman for NO reason whatsoever, while the Police and the 'Irish' papers sit back and enjoy the show!

Yours sincerely,

Patrick Cullinane.

From: Patrick Cullinane [mailto:patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk]

Sent: 19 March 2010 14:59

To: 'shah@slchambers.co.uk'

Subject: Certificate of Motor Insurance covering January 2007 & MOT Test Certificate covering January 2007

42 Augustine Road
Harrow Weald
Harrow
Middlesex, HA3 5NP

19 March 2010
Manzoor Ahmad Shah (Solicitor)
Shah Law Chambers
Suite 011, Temple House
221-225 Station Road
Harrow
Middlesex, HA1 2TH
Tel: 020 8861 8374

Dear Mr Shah,

Thank you for seeing me yesterday and being so kind, as to take on my case on a Pro Bono basis. I will be forever indebted to you as Ipswich Magistrates Court has clearly abused its power and the law to do me serious harm.

As you requested, I have scanned my Certificate of Motor Insurance and my MOT Test Certificate, into the attached document, which covers the relevant period, January 2007.

Your assistant took a copy of my (FULL) Driving License yesterday. I was intending to show ALL of these documents at my hearing, but I was not given the chance to do this, as I was denied a fair hearing.

I tried everything to get my Court File and Court Order of 19 July 2007 from Ipswich Magistrates Court, but failed. Therefore, I was obstructed from appealing this case to the Crown Court myself.

If there is anything further that you want from me to process my appeal, please do not hesitate to contact me.

I look forward to hearing from you when you have news.

Forever grateful,

Patrick Cullinane.

PS. Is my Affidavit OK, or should I add some more detail? I have read it through and it looks OK to me. At the end of the day, the documents speak for themselves – I was NOT breaking the law. Therefore, why should I be punished by persons ignoring my fundamental rights and the law of the land?

23rd July '10

Patrick,

Below is the text of the document I handed on Wednesday to those at the House of Commons meeting for whom I do not have an email address. The first part I spoke at the meeting, but not all. It had been very hurriedly drafted, & I will be producing a better structured version in due course.

Norman.

To 'Victims turned Star-fighters' attending in the House of Commons Committee Room 14 on Wednesday 21st July 2010.

The bad news I put before you will not prevent me fighting with every last breath in my body, & I hope you might feel the same. However, we need to be aware of the enormity of

the task facing us.

'Perfidious Albion' was the name given to England some 300 years ago by Bishop Jacques Benigne Bossuet. It is with sadness, shame & sorrow that I say; never was that more true than now! We are not only fighting the Banksters, the Judicial Mafia, their lackeys, puppets & thugs, but we are fighting 99% of the British people. They are of three kinds: The Corrupt, The Brainwashed & The Spineless. Less than 1% have conscience & courage enough to oppose this evil Police State. George Orwell said, "If you want a vision of the future, imagine a boot stamping on the human face forever - & remember - it IS forever!

If you begin to have a little success against the corrupt, the brainwashed & spineless will come to the aid of the corrupt, & stamp on you. Oh yes, though the spineless may lack the courage to stand up to their oppressors, they will be brave enough to put the boot into you when you have been brought down by the corrupt. You think I exaggerate? Pity you were not in Bradford yesterday evening, 20th July 2010.

All the Ex-Servicemen's organisations (Royal British Legion, Royal Naval Association, et al) pretend with great pomp to honour those who gave their lives in World War II. It is all a farce. The higher realms of such organisations are all part of (or puppets of) The Establishment. Every local branch is controlled by a cabal which meets in secret, & decides what the ordinary members will be allowed to know, & what to think.

I put my recent experience only as an example.

On 24th June last, I was invited to attend the Luncheon for Veterans given by the Lord Mayor in Bradford City Hall. After the excellent lunch I stood up to thank him for the lunch & for all that Bradford Council has done for me. I intended to speak for no more than 60 seconds, then sit down. I had spoken no more than 20 or 30 seconds when a covert Nazi thug grabbed me in a bear hug, then carried & dragged me from the room & ejected me from the building. It is obvious he knew me (though I did not know him), & he must have been keeping a close eye on me from the start to act as quickly as he did. I emphasise that I had done nothing wrong, had not been asked to leave the event, & the thug had no authority to act as he did. So quick was the attack & my ejection, few people had any idea of what was going on, other than a slight disturbance. Pain from my bruised ribs became extreme over the next couple of days, & I had to call out the emergency doctor. Three & a half weeks passed.

I am a member of the Bradford Branch of the Royal Naval Association, & attended the monthly meeting yesterday evening. Two or three of my 'Shipmates' (as we call each other) had been at the luncheon & witnessed the assault. The motto of the RNA is 'Unity, Loyalty, Patriotism, & Comradeship' - but they made no attempt to come to my aid!

I attended the monthly meeting intending to obtain their full names & addresses in order to call them as witnesses for the court actions (criminal & civil) which will follow from the City Hall assault. On arrival I greeted the members in the usual cheery way. The cabal were already convened in secret, & it would appear that in my absence I had been prosecuted, judged & sentenced for somebody's version of the incident in City Hall. Suspecting that this might happen, I had prepared a few leaflets with the truth about the incident, intending to offer them to members. When the cabal joined the rest of we members, events took an extraordinary turn: I do not exaggerate in saying that what should have been conversation between civilised people suddenly became more like an attack by a pack of snarling jackals. My leaflets were grabbed from me, I was not allowed to speak to the members, nor defend myself against the lies which had obviously been told about me in secret. A gang of half a dozen or so hustled me from the room into the foyer & started to eject me from the building by force, my brief case, cap, mobile phone, note book, digital recorder etc. still in the room from which I had been hustled!

Fortunately, friends who had brought me, & were waiting to take me home were waiting outside, hurried into the foyer on hearing me call for help, & ordered the person who had

grabbed me to take her hands off me. My property was grudgingly returned to me (apart from my leaflets, which had been screwed up & were obviously not going to be given to the members), & we left. Realising that the members were not going to be allowed to see my leaflets & wanting to retrieve them, I went back, found the door locked against me, & no response to my knocking. In spite of the fact that I have every cause to fear the police, I felt this was an occasion when I should call them, & did so. I told them that the vitally important documents which had been grabbed from me were still in the hands of my assailants. One policeman listened to more of the story, while the other went to retrieve my documents. While there, he also took names & addresses of those present. This was good, in that he had got what I was unable to get, & they can now be summoned as witnesses to the City Hall assault, & to this incident, which was a compounding of it. That attending a meeting of my 'shipmates' should turn out as I have described is hard to believe, but it indicates the malevolence of the Quislings among otherwise 'ordinary' people, & that their numbers must run into millions.

I conclude by repeating: we do not only have to fight back against the evil ones, but against 99% of the British people. Whether we can ever prick the consciences of the corrupt, or educate the brainwashed & spineless is doubtful. Any ideas?

From Norman Scarth. 36 Anvil Court, BRADFORD BD8 7QW enscarth@hotmail.com
Tel: 01274 541 213. Mobile 0779 435 8691. normanscarth.blogspot.com
HMS MATCHLESS. Arctic Russian Convoys & the Scharnhorst battle
39/45
STAR
VICTORY
MEDAL

BURMA
STAR

ATLANTIC STAR

RUSSIAN
CONVOYS

18th July '10

Twisted Minds: Moaty? Cameron?

To Kelvin MacKenzie: Re your column in The Sun dated 15/07/2010. Like David Cameron, you struggle to find words strong enough to denounce Raoul Moat & the 28,000 on Facebook who are concerned about what drove this man over the edge. Make no mistake, there are thousands of decent people in Britain who have cause to dislike &/or fear the Force which USED to be typified by Dixon of Dock Green, but which is now an oppressive Gestapo for this '1984' Police State. The same issue of your paper quotes the words of PC David Rathbond, admitting that he maliciously harassed Moat by confiscating his van (needed to earn an honest living!) on the PRETENCE that it was uninsured, & pretending that the decision was from his superiors. That it was pretence is proved by the fact that the van was returned to him, & he was never charged with being uninsured. It is hardly likely that this was the only harassment inflicted on Moat. Whether or no people have sympathy for Moat, it is beyond believe that such vituperation against him & his supporters should come from David Cameron - the man who orchestrated a standing ovation in Parliament for that mass murderer & War Criminal Tony Blair, proudly declaring

himself to be 'The Heir to Blair' - & himself continuing the murder of innocents in Afghanistan!

Norman Scarth. World War 2 Veteran.

PS: I do not write only as an observer. After a long lifetime of honest hard work I suffered several murderous attacks from the British Gestapo, who have licence to commit ANY crime against me. You Mr. MacKenzie, will not want to know anything about that – & will certainly not be allowed to publish it if you do! Perhaps I misjudge you & your employer? Why not prove me wrong? I await your reply with bated breath.

PPS: Please forgive my narcissistic pride in sending as an attachment, 'PORTRAIT OF A HERO'.

Portrait-080915-L.doc

6046K View Download

15th July '10

Dear Marsha Singh.

I acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 13 July 2010. The fact that you have returned the £50 'bribe', & said 'Please do not send me money again' suggests that you are a man of integrity. The fact that you have completely ignored the content of the letter (infinitely more important), & the invitation to a committee room meeting in the House of Commons (seeking to expose crime by powerful people) suggests the opposite.

I refer to your letter dated 7 April 2010 (addressed to me personally) when you were seeking my vote. You claim to be 'accessible to your constituents', yet my requests for an appointment to see you have been ignored. In the letter you mention Winter Fuel Payments for old folks to ensure we do not die of hypothermia, for which the Labour Government can rightly claim credit. However, you are well aware that Bradford Council (in collusion with the most ruthless gangsters in Britain) have not only robbed me of my meagre life savings of £6,500, but, in the middle of the coldest winter for several years, have robbed me of my Winter Fuel Payment & Cold Weather Payments - & I do mean **ROBBED!** This worries you not at all.

Your 'News for Bradford West' for Autumn 2009 says "I am willing to meet people in their homes". Yes, please. Unable to get an appointment to see you at your surgery, & bearing in mind my advanced years, it would be a good thing if you could visit my home, where I can show you evidence of the robbery mentioned, & other crime against me. Please do not suggest I go to the police. You know full well that West Yorkshire Police have themselves committed serious crime against me, beginning with a potentially lethal terror attack on 8th August 1999, without even the **PRETENCE** of a lawful excuse. I asked you to put down an Early Day Motion in Parliament. Instead, you wrote a chummy letter to the Chief Constable 'Dear Graham' (the man responsible for the attack, at least vicariously), & got an equally chummy letter back, 'Dear Marsha'.

You will not know as yet that, invited to the Lord Mayor's Lunch for Veterans in Bradford City Hall (of all places!) on 24th June 2010, without any justification whatsoever, I was there subjected to a serious criminal assault by a self appointed thug which 'occasioned actual bodily harm', leaving me in great pain. There will undoubtedly be CCTV film of the attack, but my request to the Lord Mayor for copies has been insultingly rebuffed. If you are indeed a man of integrity, perhaps you will take up that matter for me?

Norman Scarth.

13th July '10

My thanks to Roger, Brian, Katy, Carole & Co. for inviting me to address the Conference of the British Constitution Group at Stoke-on-Trent on Saturday 10th July 2010. I made many

new friends. Below is the text of my talk. (1661 words)

'A PARANOID, DISTURBED, VIOLENT & CRAZED OLD MAN' screamed the headline on the front page of the Bradford Telegraph & Argus, quoting with glee the hatred directed at me by that completely corrupt Deputy High Court Judge Richard Mawrey QC. I am proud of that front page, as I am proud that from the age of 75 I spent six years being 'ghosted' between many different prisons & two lunatic asylums. "In a State which imprisons any unjustly, the true place for a just man is also in prison" said Henry David Thoreau about 200 years ago. I am proud that Fate directed my tiny feet to follow in the giant footsteps of Mahatma Gandhi, the Suffragettes, Deitrich Bonhoeffer, Pastor Martin Neimuller, Alekzandr Solzhenitsyn, Andrei Sakherov, Vladimar Bukovsky, Nelson Mandela, Steve Biko, Ken Sara Wiwa, Martin Luther King, Mohammed Ali, Fred Hill, & so many others, some who survived, many who did not.

It is no disgrace to be in prison in Britain, & they are NOT the 'Holiday Camps' that corrupt politicians & the so-called 'News Media would have you believe. They are bad places run by very bad people. Apart from which they are a very expensive but ineffective way of dealing with crime.

Most of the words on that front page are true. I AM disturbed - at the state of the nation: I HAVE been known to be violent – well, at the age of 17½ I DID join the Royal Navy, & you can't be much more violent than that! I am old, & I am a man – or once was! Whether I am paranoid or crazed you must judge for yourself. After the war, most of my life was spent as a small farmer, horse breeder & 'Horse Whisperer' (as popularly known). It was my work, my hobby & my life.

Until the age of 70 I was proud to be British believing (as we were constantly told) that 'British Judges are the Finest in the World!' However, from the age of 70 there came a succession of shocks as I began to learn (by chance) that corruption is rampant in our courts.

In memory of the brave men (of both sides) who died around me in WW2 (& what we believed we were fighting for), I could not turn my back, made a dramatic change of career, & made it my mission in life to expose what can best be described as the Judicial Mafia. It has proved to be a very dangerous mission. Lawyers are the new Master Race: They have seized ABSOLUTE POWER & will destroy anyone they see as a threat to that power. Roger published that I am to speak about the Corrupt State. I suspect that few of you know just how deep & wide is the corruption – the terrible things that go on behind the scenes, the torture & murder of Andy McCauley being one of them.

However, we are not only up against this Corrupt State. With sadness, shame & sorrow I am forced to tell you that the British people allow it to be so. 99% of the people are either corrupt, brainwashed or spineless. The fact that you are here suggests that you do not come under that heading, but you are only a tiny few. I had great hopes that at the last election, people would say 'We have had enough of Crime, Corruption & War, & would kick out most of the sitting members. Tragically, a majority of the British people voted for 'More of the Same'. Our only hope is if you good people can waken the brainwashed, & ignite a spark of courage in the spineless.

I find it nauseating when MPs & the so-called 'News Media say 'WE live in Democracy'. We have neither Democracy, Human Rights nor the Rule of Law. Instead we have the Rule of Law-yers a very different thing! As for Magna Carta & Habeas Corpus: We have them in theory, but certainly not in reality!

In the UK Column for Jan/Feb 2009 there was a half page by Mrs Oliver Fairfax. It was a humorous piece, but there was one serious paragraph: She wrote, "WHAT A MESS - & IT HAS TO BE SAID THAT THIS MESS IS BEING CAUSED BY OUR OWN FAILURES TO ELECT HONEST WORKING PEOPLE TO REPRESENT US IN PARLIAMENT & IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT". The front page of the May 2010 issue said, "WE MUST GET 'A FEW GOOD MEN' INTO PARLIAMENT - - & FAST". Yes indeed, but be warned,

standing for Parliament with the intention of bringing honesty to that place can be very dangerous, as evidenced by happenings to me.

At the 1997 General Election I stood in Chesterfield as an Independent Old Age Pensioner. The first point in my Manifesto was my concern at the LACK of concern by regular politicians at the violent attacks on the old & vulnerable, almost unheard of until the 1960s, but now an everyday occurrence. Only muggers & burglars could disagree with that! However, my second Manifesto point was about corruption in our courts, of which I had newly become aware. Those subjects were also in my book, CAUSE FOR CONCERN, published the same year. It is fairly obvious that the Judicial Mafia did not like what I was saying, though at the time I was regarded as no more than a noisy old buffoon, a Parliamentary no-hoper. This changed in 1998/99, when my single-handed success in the European Court of Human Rights brought a vital change in British law – a change much hated by lawyers & judges.

They determined to silence me: On 8th August 1999 came the first of two potentially lethal terror attacks by West Yorkshire Police (being used as a private army). During a second attack 11 months later they gave me a brutal kicking that put me in hospital. (See the picture). Even that failed to silence me, so, there was a malicious prosecution for a NON-EXISTENT 'crime', & a Kangaroo Court 'trial' at Sheffield Crown Court. Judge David Ronald Bentley, a sadist if ever there was one, banned my witnesses & my evidence. He also banned The Press, & with them absent made no attempt to hide his hatred of me.

Three times when I protested at his gross misconduct he had me literally dragged & thrown back down to the cells. He fed monstrous lies to the (specially selected) jury to get the guilty verdict he wanted. Then, where others would have been given Probation, Community Service, Suspended Sentence or similar, for a first 'offence' by a 75 year old WW2 veteran he gave me a sentence to ensure I died in prison.

Then followed six years being shunted round many different prisons & two lunatic asylums. I am NOT a criminal, & I am NOT a lunatic. That such powerful people should go to such lengths to silence one little old man is the highest compliment they could pay me.

I never expected to be allowed out alive, but thanks to one honest psychiatrist (many are not), here I am, STILL seeking to expose the Judicial Mafia - & STILL under attack from them, their Gestapo thugs, their lackeys & their sycophants.

You will now understand why Deputy High Court Judge Richard Mawrey QC used those words of hatred against me in that other Kangaroo Court, the 'hearing' of my Election Petition last year. This was my attempt to expose the Zimbabwean type arrest & incarceration by West Yorkshire Police while I was a candidate at the 2008 Local Election. As for that American owned 'news paper', a propaganda sheet for The State, which published with such glee the words of Mawrey, the editor is obviously a clone of Josef Goebbels.

A conference, by its nature, is only words. We are up against some very evil people, who laugh at our words. There must be action. I hope none of you buy a TV licence, thus paying for the propaganda which is piped into your homes? One very simple action could have a dramatic effect. I am not going to suggest that you should refuse to pay your motor tax. I AM suggesting that your tax disc should 'fall off the windscreen' (nudge nudge, wink wink!), & that you put it in the glove box 'for the time being'. If enough people do so, they won't be able to prosecute everybody: police, parking wardens & the courts would be in confusion - & serve them right!

Another action: The police & the courts know our home addresses, & many of us live in fear because of it. I suggest it only proper that we should know the home addresses of those who oppress us. Any who know the home addresses of the Judicial Mafia & other oppressors should publish that information, & we could organise mass picketing. Yes, it might be in breach of the Harassment Act, but I submit we have a duty to disobey bad laws,

& those which are seriously misused, as that one is. No tyranny was ever brought down without those prepared to give their liberty & their lives. Sadly, there are few such in Britain, & thus the tyranny continues.

Please forgive my lack of modesty when I say my single-handed success in the ECtHR was a remarkable achievement for a little old man who had left school at 13, & been a small farmer for most of my life. I doubt that anyone in this hall has done as much for this nation, given as much, or achieved as much as I have. I have many ideas for effective action, yet find that I am fighting virtually alone. If only I could gain a little support from a handful of people in Bradford or West Yorkshire, we could achieve much.

Well, I've had my 15 minutes of fame – or perhaps a bit more? Thank you for listening.

The monster who sent me to die in prison, 'Judge' David Ronald Bentley, lives with wife Christine at 311 Ecclesall Road, Sheffield.

Please tell your local council that we need more street lighting (see attachment).
Norman Scarth (aka Lorne Auder).

4th July '10

I rang the number given, was put through to Belle Vue Custody Suite & said "I understand you have a lady named Maureen Spalek in custody. Could you tell me what is happening to her please?" The man said they did not give any information about people in custody & asked who I was: I told him that I was an investigative journalist & that men had died in WW2 to prevent this sort of thing happening. He said "I am terminating this call" & put the receiver down. I urge each & every one of you to ring.

Norman Scarth.

Date: Sat, 3 Jul 2010 23:16:28 +0000

From: thehappyfeet@yahoo.co.uk

Subject: Fwd: Maureen Spalek arrested - update

To:

--- On Sat, 3/7/10, Brian Gerrish <bjgerrish@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:

From: Brian Gerrish <bjgerrish@tiscali.co.uk>

Subject: Fwd: Maureen Spalek arrested - update

To: "Julia Langmaid" <thehappyfeet@yahoo.co.uk>, "dan hughes" <danhughes@tpuc.org>, "Duncan Garratt" <duncangarratt@hotmail.com>, edward@spalton.me.uk, "norman scarth" <enscarth@hotmail.com>, "Ferne Abbott" <fernea720@msn.com>, "Robert Francis" <remfrancis@googlemail.com>, "Gillian Swanson" <gillianswanson@blueyonder.co.uk>, "sabina heywood" <sabinaheywood@hotmail.co.uk>

Date: Saturday, 3 July, 2010, 22:03

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brian Gerrish <bjgerrish@tiscali.co.uk>

Date: 3 July 2010 21:59:36 GMT+01:00

To: Carole Bragg <carolebragg@ymail.com>, Kate <talk2kct@yahoo.co.uk>, Mike Robinson <mike@aspects.cc>, martin brighton <brightonmb@gmail.com>, Mark Goode <markgoode@btinternet.com>, maria@pienmashfilms.com, robert green <bluesmangreen@hotmail.com>, roger@thebcgroup.org.uk

Bcc: BOOKRES@aol.com

Subject: Maureen Spalek arrested - update

Have spoken to Maureen in the Belle Vale Custody suite Liverpool. Maureen has been

arrested for sending a text to an individual circa one month ago. She tells me the txt was not threatening or rude etc. The custody suite sergeant said that since she sent the text and had a police warning for it, there had now been a complaint made against her for harassment. Sgt Watts would not reveal who had authorised the arrest and put the phone down when questioned. They are clearly very uncomfortable when people ask questions, particularly about the ready availability of scarce police resources to arrest a 5ft lady in merseyside.

Maureen was stopped by an unmarked police car the day after her court case. No reason apart from harassment and this latest episode seems to fit the picture. The authorities are very worried about Maureen and a suspicious person would suspect it is to do with the activities at Alder Hay hospital, Social Services and children. Maureen had discovered her children had been taken there for appointments although they were not ill. Question what were they doing with them? Medical experiments or paedophiles?

Please feel free to call Merseyside police to express your 'dismay' at the police tactics against this lady. 0151 7096010.

Here is Merseyside policing pledge:

Policing Pledge

Community policing Our Promise to You

Merseyside Police aims to be the best police service in the UK. We want to deliver a quality service to the public of Merseyside.

We have signed up to a national policing pledge, which outlines the minimum standards of service the public can expect from us.

There are ten key themes to the policing pledge. The themes include answering calls promptly, giving the public a say and influence over how their neighbourhoods and communities are policed, providing up-to-date details about local crime and how a victim of crime is treated and supported.

The standards within these themes fall in line with our Total Policing approach towards a Total War on Crime, Total Care for Victims and Total Professionalism [68kb].

Makes me sick!

Please spread widely. rgds Brian G

2nd July '10

As said, I like the idea from Peter Barnes (lower down in the thread). My latest thoughts are immediately below.

Norman Scarth.

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: faxpb@hotmail.com; holzberg@rogers.com; suzonfm@aol.com; de8765@hotmail.co.uk; bjchallinor@talktalk.net; adlera@yeshivanet.com; kahalyereim@gmail.com; baruchw@gmail.com; brian@hudsonphoto.co.uk; batshevagoudsmid@gmail.com; laurencebothwell@yahoo.co.uk; cdick1234@hotmail.com; dmitch8090@aol.com; eli.fach@gmail.com; stanley.embling@ntlworld.com; eva_adshead@hotmail.com; elkana.tombak@gmail.com; raymond.fox@sky.com; sffrilaw@gmail.com; ian@ianfraser.org; pentgroup@rogers.com; john.gouriet@talktalk.net; roger@thebcgroup.org.uk; kitano77@aol.com

Subject: The 'KISS Principle' - !

Date: Fri, 2 Jul 2010 09:58:29 +0100

I constantly tell myself - 'Stick to the KISS Principle' (Keep It Simple Stupid!). With that in mind, I suggest that our letters to Cameron, Clegg, Clarke, May & our own MPs should say simply:

"Your predecessors have ignored the corruption which is rampant in our courts. We hope you will not do so. Kenneth Clarke has said that he intends 'to be international anti-corruption champion'. Perhaps he, & you, should first put our own house in order."

And, yes, I think it could be an advantage if ALL the letters said the same - especially if we use the 'Henry Root' trick!

Norman Scarth.

From: faxpb@hotmail.com

To: enscarth@hotmail.com; holzberg@rogers.com; & others.

Subject: RE: Letters to Cameron, Clarke, Clegg, May & our own MPs.

Date: Wed, 30 Jun 2010 19:24:45 +0000

To Norman 30 June 10

From Peter B

Thanking Norman for his input.

It is a personal choice, people can make the letters as strong or as weak as they like, as long as you send something. If you want to complain about the Criminal system as well then do so.

The reason for the 1st of July 10 was it is easy to remember and to try and get as many people to send off letters so the MPs take notice when everyone is complaining about the Judicial system at once. Then it is more likely the MPs will actually do something and not be fobbed off by their civil servants / court service and try and fob us off.

In view of the erratic nature of our post, it does not really matter if you cannot send by tomorrow the 1st. If you send Friday, Saturday or Sunday it will still be in the MPs post bag next week.

If you want to draft your own, please do so, if you use the template then you can copy and paste in the gaps and print it off straight away. Lots of people moan about the system but don't do anything about it. Well now is your chance, please send something to all the MPs.

As I have quoted in the past an Indian saying, one person acting on their own is like a finger that can be bent and crushed. Five or more people working together forms a fist.

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: faxpb@hotmail.com; holzberg@rogers.com; & others.

Subject: Letters to Cameron, Clarke, Clegg, May & our own MPs.

Date: Wed, 30 Jun 2010 19:43:31 +0100

Peter,

I have already said that I like the idea you put forward, admire you for the effort you have put into it, & I thank you for sending the draft letters. First I must point out that it is not only the civil courts which are corrupt, the Criminal Courts are at least as bad. I am well aware that it is difficult to decide just how strong our letters of complaint should be: Tell the whole horrific truth - (that the legal profession, ESPECIALLY the judiciary is rotten to the core) & they will pull up the drawbridge! However, I feel it is worth quoting the words of eminent people within the legal profession:

. "County Courts in Chaos", said London's most senior County Court judge, HH Judge Paul Collins a couple of years ago. "The Criminal Courts are a shambles" said the retiring Recorder of Leeds, HH Judge Norman Jones. At his annual press conference in 2008, Lord Chief Justice Lord Phillips of Worth Maltravers said that there had been a 60% turnover of staff at Wandsworth County Court, leading to backlogs & delays, & that 'data needed to run the courts efficiently is unreliable'. It was Lord Phillips who recently spoke of 'Crisis in the Courts'. He cited Lord Justice Stanley Brunton as saying that 'Aylsbury Crown Court is 'not fit for purpose'. We also know of the 2008 investigation & resulting

castigation of Leeds Magistrates' Court by four of Her Majesty's Chief Inspectors, & the sacking of the senior Legal Director! "LEEDS COURT FIASCO CRIME PROBE" said a headline in the Yorkshire .

Some of you may like to include that information in your letters.

All the best to all of you,

Norman Scarth.

PS: Unfortunately, I will not be able to draft & post my own letters by 1st July, as I am recovering from the trauma & pain described in the attached leaflet.

PPS: In the leaflet I use the word 'Nazi' for want of a better word, but I do NOT mean the BNP, EDL or others who are often described in that way. I mean the covert Nazis, who pretend we live in a Democracy, with Human Rights & the Rule of Law. As for the police, they themselves have attacked me several times. Complain to them about the latest attack & they could well put seven bullets in my brain! NS.

From: faxpb@hotmail.com

To: holzberg@rogers.com; enscarth@hotmail.com; & others.

Subject: RE: From Peter B 30th June 10B Fight back 1st July 10 Letter to Kenneth Clarke

Date: Wed, 30 Jun 2010 13:29:08 +0000

From Peter B 30 June 10 B

Dear All,

Re Kenneth Clarke

Re FIGHT BACK letters to be posted 1st July 10 . The time is near. Lets all work together .

The success of this will depend on the number of people writing . For the sake of 5 or seven letters and stamps , it is worth it.

5 letters each on 1st July 10 to our MPs (ie . to our own 1) MP and to 2) Cameron as PM 3) Nick Clegg Deputy PM, and to 4) Home Secretary Theresa May 5) Ken Clarke as Lord Chancellor

For anyone involved in bankruptcy proceedings I suggest 2 further letters , to Edward Davey MP and Vince Cable MP whom are responsible for the Insolvency service.

It is better to post each letter. Emails are usually responded to in 5 weeks and letters in 2 weeks. Emails or letters to the House Of Commons are usually vetted by civil servants for this reason it is better to send the letter to the relevant constituency office .

The point is to drive home that the public have no confidence in the civil legal system, it needs investigating and we need an effective remedy.

I enclose ,my suggested template letter to Kenneth Clarke MP Lord Chancellor and Secretary of State for Justice . If you don,t have time , then just fill your personal details, what you have lost, etc copy and paste and print it.

If you can personalise the letter all the better, add anything you want or feel strongly about . The important point is to do it and send it. Good luck to everyone . Please pass on to anyone you know whom has also suffered injustice.

More template letters to follow , re the other MPS.

The Rt Hon Kenneth Clarke MP Thursday 1st July 10
Lord Chancellor and Secretary of State for Justice
Rushcliffe house,
17/19 Rectory Road
Nottingham
NG9 6BE

Dear Mr Clarke ,

My name is ?????? . I am writing to you as Lord Chancellor and Secretary of State for Justice of this country to say that I and lots of people I have met , no longer have confidence in the Civil Justice system in this Country , which is not fit for purpose.

I welcome your answer and written statement of 23 June 10 regarding proposed closure of County Courts and that you seek a consultation and that all views expressed will be taken into account.

It is not the Courts that is the problem, it is the people in them . If cases were dealt with justly and fairly in the first place people would not need to appeal and clog the system up . People need easy access to County courts or Magistrates Courts and closing them will just make them inaccessible by public transport or lack of adequate parking or too far away to attend . I think you should investigate how many Judges appear to have a habit of giving judgment to who turns up rather than going on the facts of a case., and or if the people are too ill to attend.

I have lost ??????? PUT PROPERTY < BUSINESS< ECT MONEY IN HERE “ as a direct result of a lack of an effective remedy .

The biggest serious organised crime facing this Country appears to be within the Courts, the Courts service and some of the Judges. An example of which is the common practice of writing out judgments before the hearing has even taking place, ie. they are fiddled. This can clearly be seen by judges reading judgments at the end of cases and explains why the Judgments so often get their facts all wrong leading to injustice and theft of property and possessions .

(In the case of property they are usually sold under value and all the contents and possessions stolen)

It further makes a mockery of any Barrister or solicitor you employ . The appeal process does not work. The leave to appeal process does not work . Often the Court staff do not follow the law and the Judges often do not follow the law . In an effort to shut people up or thwart them from justice, people are made bankrupt despite the fact they are solvent , or a S42 order is contrived and under Labour a statutory instrument invented a civil Restraint order to thwart /prevent people from obtaining justice and exposing what is really going on in the Court.

a) I am therefore asking you a) for all the Courts, including the Court service and the Judges to be investigated as happened in the 1970s when Sir Robert Mark retired or removed about 500 Police officers from the Met after investigating endemic corruption and Masonic influences in the Met Police.

b) For the Police to set up dummy negligence claims , repossession, bankruptcy , family cases, etc at random through out the courts to weed out offenders and endemic corruption that appears to be in the current system, and at the same time investigate any Masonic influences. (<<<<< PUT MI5<<<<or anything else you agree with <<<<<) .

That you set up a government firm of solicitors that would be self financing under legal aid , where people may turn to obtain legal representation / defend cases after being turned down by 10 firms of supposed legal aid solicitors (which is not hard to do).

I note that in your written answers and statement of 28th June 10 you intend to review the operation of Judicial appointments and address:
The proper balance between executive , judicial and independent responsibilities
“ensuring clarity , transparency and openness “
“quality and speed of service to applicants and the courts and tribunals the process serves
Governance , efficiency and value for money

In my opinion all the Judges should be investigated and reemployed on the basis of their health , knowledge , suitability and as to whether they are Masons or members of Lloyds syndicates that could give rise to a conflict of interest or impartiality
If you want me to send you or another department/body further details/evidence of my experiences I am happy to do so.

f) Please could you confirm where I am supposed to obtain an effective remedy from ?.

g) <<<<< ADD ANYTHING ELSE THAT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU >>>>>

Yours faithfully

JUNE 2010

9th June '10

I like this idea from Peter. Let someone name the day, but please give me a week or so to gather strength!

Norman Scarth.

PS: I suggest you get hold of the book 'THE HENRY ROOT LETTERS' (or google it). I have often used the trick described, & it usually guarantees a reply of SOME kind. N.

From: faxpb@hotmail.com

To: suzonfm@aol.com; de8765@hotmail.co.uk; bjchallinor@talktalk.net;
adlera@yeshivanet.com; kahalyereim@gmail.com; baruchw@gmail.com;
brian@hudsonphoto.co.uk; batshevagoudsmid@gmail.com;
laurencebothwell@yahoo.co.uk; cdick1234@hotmail.com; dmitch8090@aol.com;
eli.fach@gmail.com; stanley.embling@ntlworld.com; enscaith@hotmail.com;
eva_adshead@hotmail.com; elkana.tombak@gmail.com; raymond.fox@sky.com;
sffrilaw@gmail.com; ian@ianfraser.org; pentgroup@rogers.com; john.gouriet@talktalk.net;
roger@thebcgroup.org.uk; holzberg@rogers.com; kitano77@aol.com

Subject: From Peter B 20 June10 New suggested fight back

Date: Sat, 19 Jun 2010 10:16:18 +0000

From Peter B Sat 20th June 10

The time is now right I suggest we all write 5 letters each on an agreed same day to our MPs (ie . to our own 1) MP and to 2) Cameron as PM 3) Nick Clegg Deputy PM, and to 4) Home Secretary Theresa May 5) Ken Clarke as Lord Chancellor saying the Courts are not fit for purpose and asking for all the Courts, including the Court service and the Judges to be investigated as happened in the 1970s when Sir Robert Mark retired or removed about 500 Police officers from the Met after investigating endemic corruption and Masonic influences in the Met Police.

Dear All,

a) Apologies if you receive this message more than once .

In effort to kick start the fight back and get something actually happening

My view of the situation is this. Lots of people have suffered as a result of the endemic corruption that pervades our current legal system and people are fighting back in their own way. The Establishment would love infighting amongst us all and the most difficult thing to achieve is to get people working together.

b) Sheida should be given credit for organising successful demos and a petition that was handed into to Gordon Brown, who passed it to Jack Straw who then I am told failed to even respond.

c) Ebert, Sabine, and Brad with the Forum for Stable Currencies have done well getting about 954 signatures on a petition.

d) My take on all this is the situation has now changed in light of the LibCons getting power with a new Government and getting rid of the evil Labour Government.

I have never met Austin Mitchell MP and whilst it might sound grandiose to have his name supporting the forum or a petition, in my view if he had any balls he would have or still could have tabled a question in Parliament.

I think the forum and the group have been hoodwinked, to say do it yourself, set up an inquiry. Whilst trying to get 10,000 signatures or what ever it is to then ask for an early day motion/ question to be answered in Parliament, I can see that this is a) going to take some time, then b) be scuppered when they say a Grand Jury system no longer exists. Austin Mitchell is a Labour MP and was forced to repay about £10,500 of mortgage expenses and had a controversial appearance in the show show Tower Block Of Commons. In my view he and other MPs should be doing the job we pay them for and debating these issues and amending the law, not passing the buck for the voters to have to do a long winded DIY effort when there is already a procedure in place called the House of Commons.

e) Whilst Labour was in power, they were going to do nothing to address the injustice in the courts and I agree Eberts and Sabines thinking at that time.

However we now have a new Government. Vince Cable is Sheidas MP and is in power. If we all, and as many of the 954 signatures as possible ALL wrote 5 letters ON THE SAME DAY, to our own 1) MPs and to 2) Cameron as PM 3) Nick Clegg Deputy PM, and to 4) Home Secretary Theresa May 5) Ken Clarke as Lord Chancellor asking for an investigation into the Courts and Court service and Judges as not fit for purpose, we are more likely to get some action. It certainly could do no harm.

f) The petition could still carry on, for those that believe in it. But I believe you have to learn from history, whether it be from Wars, Hanabal and the Romans to the United States and Vietnam the principal is the same.

g) In the 1970s the corruption in the Met Police was so great that the then Home Secretary Mr Reginald Maudling

asked Sir Robert Mark to set up A10 to investigate, which resulted in about 500 Police officers being removed or retired early and many of them were Masons.

It subsequently transpired that Maudling was a bit a dodgy himself but that did not stop the Home Secretary asking Sir Robert Mark to investigate.

h) It may help if we agreed on a standard format letter that people could use to send off and add anything they want to it.

So I might email again with a suggestion.

i) For those who were not around in the 1970s or were not aware of how corruption was and is being dealt with I follow below with some quotes. This is the type of investigation we need to go on into the Courts, the court service and the Judges. The same thing that is happening and has happened in the Police should be happening in the Courts.

Including say the Police setting up dummy cases bankruptcy and repossession cases to see how the Courts and Judges deal with them.

It is highly unlikely they are going to prosecute anybody but I will settle with all

those found corrupt being removed/ retired.

j) Quotes as follows

http://www.freemasonrywatch.org/true_blue.html

The porn and Flying Squad investigations were part of a massive anti-corruption drive by Sir Robert Mark. Soon after he became Commissioner in 1972 he set up a squad known as A 10 to 'rubber-heel' the entire force. By the time he retired five years later, A 10 had forced the dismissal or resignation of nearly 500 officers: 100 a year. The old regime had ousted an average of just sixteen. Most of the concentration of Freemasons was far greater than among uniform men. There is no way of finding out exactly how many were Masons, partly because Scotland Yard has never divulged the names of all 500.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/235284.stm>

Undercover officers are already used to uncover dishonesty among colleagues, with members of the Yard's Criminal Investigation Bureau (CIB) offering bribes to other officers. This will be widened to include "integrity tests", aimed at deducing an officer's honesty and ascertaining whether he or she has racist or sexist attitudes.

Suspect officers will be tempted with financial or even sexual inducements in a bid to test their integrity.

.....

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/206221.stm>

Nearly half of all police forces in England and Wales have officers facing charges of corruption or dishonesty, according to a survey by The Times newspaper.

Altogether 105 police officers in 19 out of 43 forces are under investigation.

They include high-ranking officers such as superintendents and detective chief inspectors.

London's Metropolitan Police has by far the greatest problem with 51 officers suspended.

The survey results come days after Home Secretary Jack Straw warned senior officers that a "corrupt few" were damaging the reputation of a majority of honest members of the force.

.....

<http://home.wlv.ac.uk/~fa1871/oztrial.htm>

The Oz case at the Old Bailey was the longest obscenity trial in British legal history. The original sentences of up to 15 months for Neville and the others sparked a wave of protest from Beatle John Lennon, a young John Birt and many others.

The convictions were quashed on appeal only after, it is alleged by Geoffrey Robertson, one of the defence counsels, the lord chief justice, Lord Widgery, sent his clerk, a former merchant seaman, to Soho one lunchtime to buy £20 worth of the hardest porn he could find. The contents of Oz paled in comparison.

....

So when Matthew Oliver, an investigator for Lord Longford's unofficial inquiry into pornography, later that year produced allegations against seven named porn merchants who were bribing police officers, the home secretary demanded a full report.

The inquiries initially came up against a wall of silence.

But in February 1972 the head of the Scotland Yard flying squad, Commander Kenneth Drury, was revealed to have just spent a two-week holiday in Cyprus with James Humphreys, one of seven named porn barons. Drury claimed they were looking for Ronnie Biggs, the escaped train robber.

But investigations ordered by the new Met commissioner, Robert Mark, finally unveiled the systemic corruption at the heart of the police. Four years later Mr Justice Mars-Jones named Fenwick as the "chief architect" and sentenced him to 10 years' imprisonment.

.....

Ken Drury, who was 'on the take' (cash, gifts, entertainments and holidays) from a professional criminal and pornographer, Jimmy Humphreys. The other trials revolved

around the Obscene Publications Squad. It emerged that Craft membership was a prerequisite for any detective who wanted a share of the rich pickings to be extorted from London's profiteering pornographers.

The 'Porn Squad' was at that time a unit within the CID's central office, known as C1.

Getting into this team was a prestigious step up for any detective, but selection was largely in the gift of the C1 commander or the superintendent heading the squad. From 1964 until 1972 it's eminence grise was Det. Chief Supt. Bill Moody who has a unique claim to infamy in the history of Scotland Yard. While heading one of the biggest-ever investigations into police corruption he was simultaneously collecting huge bribes from the dirty booksellers of Soho, London's notorious vice district.

Moody's extraordinary double life is revealed in *The Fall of Scotland Yard*. The essential details are that in 1977 he was convicted of conspiring to take money from pornographers over an eight-year period. Moody was convicted on sample charges, one of which involved a payment of 14,000 Pounds. The trial showed that for some years he had been pocketing annual kickbacks worth 40,000 Pounds. His pay-off at the Old Bailey was twelve years' imprisonment.

Bill Moody was an ardent Freemason, so ardent that he took some of his pornographer friends to his Masonic gatherings. One was Ron 'the Dustman' Davey whom he had met at another pornographer's birthday party in Trader Vic's Restaurant in the Hilton Hotel. In 1975 Davey was questioned by officers who had been appointed to investigate the porn squad's corruption. He told them he came to know Det. Sgt Cyril Jones (later sentenced to seven years in jail) through Moody's Masonic functions.

Unquote

To: faxpb@hotmail.com; de8765@hotmail.co.uk; bjchallinor@talktalk.net;
adlera@yeshivanet.com; kahalyereim@gmail.com; baruchw@gmail.com;
brian@hudsonphoto.co.uk; batshevagoudsmid@gmail.com;
laurencebothwell@yahoo.co.uk; cdick1234@hotmail.com; dmitch8090@aol.com;
eli.fach@gmail.com; stanley.embling@ntlworld.com; enscarth@hotmail.com;
eva_adshead@hotmail.com; elkana.tombak@gmail.com; raymond.fox@sky.com;
sffrilaw@gmail.com; ian@ianfraser.org; pentgroup@rogers.com; john.gouriet@talktalk.net;
roger@thebcgroup.org.uk; holzberg@rogers.com; kitano77@aol.com
Subject: Re: From Peter B 18 June10 RE: the signature request i am emailing to others
Date: Fri, 18 Jun 2010 07:50:25 -0400
From: suzonfm@aol.com
Dear Peter,

Yes, grand juries were abolished by Parliament in 1933, but magistrates' courts have a totally different function. Grand juries inquire into the conduct of established authorities (the police, for example) and magistrates deliver summary "justice" to those without power.

A grand jury can be designed to be independent, with jurors chosen at random from electoral registers, whilst all "independent" bodies are in fact under royal orders. The privy council (the monarch's advisors and therefore NOT independent) is in charge of every so-called "independent" regulatory bodies.

A grand jury is an opportunity and not a hurdle. And if they are American, not English, it's because accountability has always been a key concern for Americans.

Best wishes,

Suzon

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Barnes <faxpb@hotmail.com>

To: Ebert Ebert use this <de8765@hotmail.co.uk>; bjchallinor@talktalk.net;
adlera@yeshivanet.com; kahalyereim@gmail.com; baruchw@gmail.com;
brian@hudsonphoto.co.uk; batshevagoudsmid@gmail.com;
laurencebothwell@yahoo.co.uk; cdick1234@hotmail.com; Diana Mitchell
<dmitch8090@aol.com>; Eli Fach <eli.fach@gmail.com>; stanley.embling@ntlworld.com;
enscarth@hotmail.com; eva adshead <eva_adshead@hotmail.com>;
elkana.tombak@gmail.com; Raymond Fox <raymond.fox@sky.com>; Suzon Forcey
Moore <suzonfm@aol.com>; sffrilaw@gmail.com; Ian Fraser <ian@ianfraser.org>;
pentgroup@rogers.com; john.gouriet@talktalk.net; roger@thebcgroup.org.uk;
holzberg@rogers.com; kitano77@aol.com

Sent: Fri, 18 Jun 2010 6:45

Subject: From Peter B 18 June10 RE: the signature request i am emailing to others

From Peter B Friday 18th June 10

As I have posted in the past we don,t have Grand Juries anymore , they were abolished in the UK in favour of Magistrates courts and Crown Courts . Grand Juries are in America not in England

The petition should be amended to be investigated by an independent body. The idea that 25 " Citizens ", ie joe public , could or would be able to investigate is a non starter.

If you are going to have a fight/ hurdle , then fight to get the subject openly debated in Parliament and a body set up to investigate is one thing.

Why introduce another hurdle/ fight over a "grand jury " that does not exist?

From: de8765@hotmail.co.uk

To: bjchallinor@talktalk.net; adlera@yeshivanet.com; kahalyereim@gmail.com;
baruchw@gmail.com; brian@hudsonphoto.co.uk; faxpb@hotmail.com;
batshevagoudsmid@gmail.com; laurencebothwell@yahoo.co.uk; cdick1234@hotmail.com;
dmitch8090@aol.com; eli.fach@gmail.com; stanley.embling@ntlworld.com;
enscarth@hotmail.com; eva_adshead@hotmail.com; elkana.tombak@gmail.com;
raymond.fox@sky.com; suzonfm@aol.com; sffrilaw@gmail.com; ian@ianfraser.org;
pentgroup@rogers.com; john.gouriet@talktalk.net; roger@thebcgroup.org.uk;
holzberg@rogers.com; kitano77@aol.com

Subject: FW: the signature request i am emailing to others

Date: Thu, 17 Jun 2010 17:01:38 +0000

From: bradmeyer@collaboration.co.uk

To: de8765@hotmail.co.uk; sabine@3d-metrics.com

Subject: the signature request i am emailing to others

Date: Thu, 17 Jun 2010 15:53:44 +0100

This link <http://www.gopetition.co.uk/online/34869/sign.html> will take you to a web page where you can (along with other people from all over the world) 'sign' a petition which makes the following request of Her Majesty the Queen of England.

We, the undersigned, hereby demand that Her Majesty's authorities, institutions and appointed servants do be made to comply with the rule of law as laid down by Statute over the centuries, gained through blood sacrifice and established through our democratically elected Members of Parliament.

Furthermore, we demand that the oppression of the British people through false and fraudulent court proceedings, false bankruptcies, dispossessions and false claims by

establishment bodies such as the Inland Revenue, Department of Work and Pensions and the Insolvency Service etc be made the subject of a genuine Public Enquiry to be investigated by an independent body of twenty-five citizens, historically known as the Grand Jury.

We demand and expect that any reports, conclusions and recommendations be executed immediately and without variance or prevarication and that full compensation be granted to the victims of such abusers of privilege.

If the above request to comply with the Rule of Law seems to you a reasonable request, then it would be great if you would go ahead and sign it now and then forward this request on to others whom you feel may want to express themselves in the same way.

Thanks!

Brad

7th June '10

Anyone can be wrong, & my admirer(s) will be surprised to learn that (on rare occasions) it can even include ME! I might even be wrong on this occasion, in thinking that the Tax Payers' Alliance is one of the propaganda arms of the Tory Party. They are certainly playing to the gallery with the 'spin' in the piece below, & no doubt many will express outrage as they rise to the bait: that 'we have to work till 1.21pm every day just to put money into the taxman's pocket'. I am no admirer of any arm of government, & certainly do not care for many of the uses to which 'the taxman' puts our money but, to be fair, it does not go into HIS pocket (alright, some of it does). Badly used though they may be, Income tax, Vat, alcohol, tobacco and betting tax & business taxes are used to provide the Armed Forces who keep invaders from our shores (Ha bloody Ha!); to enable Her Madge to keep Her prisons full, to give our hard working MPs, Civil Servants & selfless Quango people enough to buy a crust of bread; to pay the Judiciary, who ensure that true British Justice () is available to all. National Insurance pays for our doctors, hospitals & Old Age Pensions. Motoring taxes enable us to drive on tarmac instead of cart tracks. Council Tax pays for our friendly British Bobby(???), Fire Brigade, refuse collection, 'Diversity Officers' etc. etc. etc. After paying for all those by 1.21pm (& receiving the benefits - if any), from then on 'the worker' earns money 'for himself'. Argue if you will about the necessity of some of the spending, & value for money where it is necessary, but please do not swallow the spin from Lib/Lab/Con - or their 'independent' (?) propaganda machines.

Norman Scarth.

PS: Nearly forgot - up till 1.21pm poor single Adam (the subject of the TPA piece) is also working to pay Child Allowances. He might begrudge it, but he did have the benefit of them until he started work. I didn't: my parents brought three of us up without such luxury.

PPS: Perhaps I have got it all wrong? Always anxious to learn, I will be most grateful to anyone who tells me. NS.

Date: Mon, 7 Jun 2010 07:51:13 +0100

Subject: Fwd: WHERE YOUR MONEY GOES - TPA website Fri 28th May/10

From: gordonhleonard@googlemail.com

To: tnbfbg@yahoo.co.uk

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Stan Parr <stan.parr@btinternet.com>

Date: Jun 6, 2010 6:19 PM

Subject: WHERE YOUR MONEY GOES - TPA website Fri 28th May/10

To: ">" <@smtp822.mail.ukl.yahoo.com>

Politics List

This kindly sent by John in Worcester, shows how we work mostly for the government, to keep our heads above water.

It is taken from the Taxpayers Alliance website - Fri 28th May/10

TPA Bulletin - 28th May 2010

New TPA video: "How long do you work for the tax man?"

We have a brand new video out today that shows how it takes an average worker until as late as 1.21pm each working day to pay their tax bill and start earning money for themselves. We

released the video today so that it coincided with the Adam Smith Institute's Tax Freedom Day - the day in the year when you stop working for the tax man - which this year falls (depressingly!) on Sunday 30th May this year.

Click here to watch our video

You'll see that the film calculates just how much tax is paid by an average, single British worker - who earns the average wage, consumes an average amount of alcohol and tobacco and drives a Ford Mondeo but uses public transport to commute - and how long in his working day it takes him to pay that bill. In the case of our worker, Adam, his day is as follows:

9am - arrives at work

10.16am - pays off his income tax for the day (1hr, 16 minutes)

10.59am - pays off his national insurance bill (43 minutes)

11.39am - pays off his VAT bill (40 minutes)

11.52am - pays off his motoring taxes (13 minutes)

12.13pm - pays off his council tax (21 minutes)

12.20pm - pays off his taxes on alcohol, tobacco and betting (8 minutes)

1.10pm - pays off his employers' National Insurance bill (50 minutes)

1.21pm - pays off business taxes on his employer (11 minutes)

After 1.21pm he is free to earn for himself. Unbelievably, just 3 hours and 39 minutes of his working day are dedicated to generating his own income before he goes home at 5pm.

Why not share this video with other people? If you'd like to get links and html to embed the film on your own website or blog, click here. If you're a Twitter user the abbreviated link is <http://bit.ly/cK3zD5>.

More TPA policy takes centre stage

Last week, we saw many welcome proposals in the coalition's Programme for Government document. The TPA scored a few victories on some key areas of our campaign, like executive public sector pay and spending transparency. Well, there was more good news this week. We have been campaigning for six years for cuts to public spending to reduce the size of the state and to lower taxes. So it was good to see that on Monday, the new Treasury team announced £6.25 billion in spending cuts – many of which are TPA proposals that have been taken up in full or in part.

These include:

- Abolishing the Child Trust Fund: £320 million - proposed in the TPA's How to save £50 billion, September 2009

- Abolishing BECTA: £80 million - proposed in How to save £50 billion, September 2009
- Reducing size of RDAs: £270 million - the TPA proposed scrapping the agencies in The case for abolishing RDAs, August 2008
- Cutting the Business Department by £836 billion - the TPA proposed cutting this department by £930 million in An Affordable Voice for Business, February 2009
- Reduction in grants to local authorities: £1.165 billion - the TPA proposed freezing these grants to save £687 million in How to save £50 billion, September 2009
- Reducing grants to devolved administrations: £704 million - the TPA proposed a freeze in grants, followed by a matching of the cuts in England via the Barnett Formula, in How to save £50 billion, September 2009
- Civil service recruitment freeze: £120 million - we proposed and costed this policy in How to save £3.3 billion a year from the Civil Service, February 2008
- Cutting the consultancy bill - we called for consultancy spending to be cut by £1.1 billion in How to save £50 billion, September 2009
- Cutting the cost of quangos: £600m - the TPA published the most comprehensive survey of the size and extent of the quango sector in October 2009, revealing many bodies that are ripe for cuts. Our full series of quango reports and proposals for savings can be found [here](#).
- Cutting the cost of Ministerial and Whitehall travel: £15m - the TPA identified £10.6 million wasted on first and business class flights in Departmental Use of Air Travel, February 2009. Our report Departmental Use of Taxis and Chauffeured Cars identified over £8m spent on taxis and Government cars in July 2009.

These proposals are welcome but they have to be the beginning of a more extensive programme. Far more work is needed if we are going to close the yawning deficit of £156 billion. In our book How to cut public spending (and still win an election) our proposals go further than those mentioned by the coalition government. For instance, on Monday we heard that a recruitment freeze in the civil service would save £120 million; we outlined a 10 percent cut in our book, which would have saved a whopping £1.2 billion more.

So we know that there is scope for further cuts to spending, to restore our public finances to health. Now that the government has had a look at the books there may even be an opportunity to go further than our recommendations. International evidence shows that when bold steps are taken to correct deficits a period of strong economic growth follows. We hope to see more substance in the upcoming emergency budget and the spending review due this autumn.

Capital Gains Tax

While the coalition government's spending cuts are very welcome, it's not all good news for taxpayers coming out of the Treasury. Many of you have been in contact with us expressing your concern at rumours of Government plans to substantially hike Capital Gains Tax from 18% to a damaging 40% or even 50%.

We've had a flood of responses from people such as private landlords who will now find themselves with significantly more to pay in tax on some already fairly modest sums. What's more this increase is also set to hit anyone who has simply attempted to invest their earnings outside low interest pension funds in order to provide for themselves and their families in the future. Indeed, anyone who has had the forethought to purchase shares or a second property is in line to be hammered in this controversial move, and there's little doubt that it will discourage others from speculating with their savings in the same way. In short, ordinary hard-working people will be heavily penalised for their prudent forward planning whilst the very rich (who we can assume this rise is supposed to squeeze) will employ clever accountants to minimise the damage, if they don't manage to

snake out of it entirely.

We will certainly be opposing any proposed CGT increase, and it is encouraging that as well as vocal opposition from the National Landlords Association and others outside Parliament, there are already prominent figures like David Davis and John Redwood on the backbenches of the Commons speaking out against it. We'll be lobbying hard and working as closely as possible with the whole range of people opposed to a CGT hike. The TaxPayers' Alliance are against all tax rises, particularly when there many obvious, sensible cuts that can be made to free up funds instead of resorting to plundering the hard-earned savings and moderate investments of the general public. Watch this space.

Lies, damned lies and speeches by the EU President

Only the EU could manage to mess up confessing to their own mistakes. Successfully living down to his reputation this week, EU President Herman van Rompuy managed to patronise and mislead the European public in one fell swoop...

In the face of it, it was going to be a brave confession. On Tuesday, Mr van Rompuy was meant to be acknowledging that there are serious problems with the Euro, and that taxpayers right across the continent are furious about the bailout of Greece. However, in the event all he was willing to say was that the public were badly informed and unaware of what Euro membership really involved. Amazingly, he had the cheek to say that "nobody" ever warned the public about the risks of joining the Euro. This is, of course, nonsense – numerous eurosceptic campaigners did exactly that. The real problem was that the EU's cheerleaders (like Mr van Rompuy) spent a huge amount of effort on claiming the Euro was totally safe, bailouts were impossible and that eurosceptics were sounding a false alarm (these quotes are collected in a new report from Open Europe this week <http://www.openeurope.org.uk/research/eurotheysaidit.pdf>). We've all known for a very long time how dodgy the Euro is and how expensive the EU is for ordinary taxpayers, but Mr van Rompuy still seems incapable of admitting that we were right.

Why not email him to set the record straight, at ec.president@consilium.europa.eu ?

Direct Democracy

Finally, we'd like to introduce you to Direct Democracy - an exciting new campaign based on the book *Direct Democracy*, the *localist papers*, and *The Plan*, all written by Dan Hannan MEP and Douglas Carswell MP. This important campaign aims to shift governmental powers back from Brussels to Westminster, from Whitehall to town halls, and from the state to the citizens. Under a system like this power would be dispersed among communities democratically, through localism and through referendums.

As you may be aware, the coalition government has already made great strides in introducing many of the proposals Direct Democracy supports. Free schools, reformed welfare, devolution of power to local councils, open primaries and the Great Repeal Act are all great ideas which were suggested in *The Plan*, and though Direct Democracy were pleased to see all of these reforms announced in the Queen's Speech, they also recognise the need to make sure that the government and Parliament are held accountable and follow through on their promises. That is where this campaign comes in. This new organisation will be keeping tabs on the government and pushing for even more transparency, accountability, and citizen democracy.

Dan Hannan announced the campaign's launch here in his blog on Thursday and they've already have had many people get in touch and sign up to be a part of the Direct Democracy movement (including a number a number of suggestions and ideas for campaigns!). If you would like to be a part of this new movement then please do visit the

website here. Dominique Lazanski, their new Director, works with us at 55 Tufton Street, so we look forward to having a strong relationship with them as they grow the campaign.

Best of the Blogs

EU: Van Rompuy's all-new history of the Euro
Burning Our Money: Taking Arms Against a Sea of Waste
EU: The Euro, symbol of stability
Economics 101: The importance of efficient spending
Grassroots: Climate Change Festival makes a comeback
Burning Our Money: Non-job of the week
Better Government: Not everyone supports essential spending cuts
Burning Our Money: OECD Urges Osborne To Be Tough

www.taxpayersalliance.com

1st June '10

To undisclosed recipients:

Maureen Spalek (Agnew) is summonsed to appear in Warrington Magistrates' Court on 7th June. What terrible crime has she committed? Her son was 8 years old on 16th April - AND SHE SENT HIM A BIRTHDAY CARD!!! If you possibly can, PLEASE be at the court on that day to see what punishment they decide to inflict on Maureen.
Norman Scarth.

MAY 2010

31st May '10

Subject: An eye opener - a Quisling if ever there was one.

Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 20:36:54 +0100

The stupidity of Mark Wallance equals his treachery. He has shown himself & his organisation (the TPA) to be completely false. Fantasies? How much evidence does he want? Let Bernard Stone, the local Branch Chairman (who lives only five miles away) call & see it. To think that 67 years ago brave men (of both sides) were dying around me in large numbers as we fought to keep the old Nazis from our shores. They at least were honest. These Nu-Nazis & Quislings, flying a false flag, are FAR worse.
Norman Scarth.

From: Mark.Wallace@taxpayersalliance.com

To: hedleylester@googlemail.com

CC: enscarth@hotmail.com; A1DAVEDI@aol.com; Emma.Boon@taxpayersalliance.com; Sara.Rainwater@taxpayersalliance.com; info@taxpayersalliance.com; jef00002@yahoo.com.au; bjgerrish@tiscali.co.uk

Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 13:25:03 +0100

Subject: Re: What IS the Taxpayers' Alliance interested in?

This paranoia is absurd - please stop emailing myself and my colleagues. It is sad that you

allow Mr Scarth's and others' fantasies to divert you into attacking the TPA rather than genuine enemies.

On 31 May 2010, at 11:58, "Hedley Lester" <hedleylester@googlemail.com> wrote:

Norman,

It begins to sound as if the Tax Payers Alliance might have been infiltrated. Has anyone run a Common Purpose check on Emma Boon, Mr. Stone, etc.?

Hedley

On Mon, May 31, 2010 at 11:06 AM, norman scarth <enscarth@hotmail.com> wrote:

The February Bulletin of the TPA announced proudly 'A new branch of the TPA has started up in West Yorkshire!' Branch Chairman Bernard Stone lives within the Bradford Metropolitan District Council area, as I do. He lives about 5 miles from me. Wonderful, thought I, so I sent him the folloing story:

I am an 84 year old World War 2 veteran. The last government generously decided to give we old folks a Winter Fuel Payment of £400, & Cold Weather Payments for each week the temperature was below a certain figure, to ensure we didn't die of hypothermia. On the 31st December 2009 (the middle of the coldest winter for several years) Bradford MDC dived into my bank account & seized every last penny of my meagre life savings (£6,500) - INCLUDING THE WINTER FUEL PAYMENT & COLD WEATHER PAYMENTS. That of course is not the sort of matter which comes within the remit of the TPA. However, I told them that Bradford MDC had handed over at least £100,000 of taxpayers' money to crooked lawyers to grab this £6,500. One would think that WOULD have been of interest to the TPA, & particularly to this new local branch. Sadly, no: Mr. Stone gave me the brush off, & said I should contact the London Office. I did, but they are not interested either. So, I repeat the question in the heading: "What IS the Taxpayers' Alliance interested in?

Norman Scarth.

Date: Sun, 30 May 2010 18:54:45 -0700

From: jef00002@yahoo.com.au

Subject: Reply: Please remove me from your address book, I do not wish to receive these e...

To: A1DAVEDI@aol.com

Hi Emma

I agree with dave here

John

John Flanagan,

Deputy Registered Officer,

Non-Custodial Parents Party (Equal Parenting).

<http://ncpp.xisle.info>

--- On Wed, 26/5/10, A1DAVEDI@aol.com <A1DAVEDI@aol.com> wrote:

From: A1DAVEDI@aol.com <A1DAVEDI@aol.com>

Subject: Re: Please remove me from your address book, I do not wish to receive these e...

To: Emma.Boon@taxpayersalliance.com

Cc: Various.

Received: Wednesday, 26 May, 2010, 5:00 AM

From David Currie 12a Keymer Avenue, Peacehaven, East Sussex, BN108NG, UK. Tel: 01273 579480

A1DAVEDI@aol.com

Emma Boon states that Taxpayers money spent on dealing with these issues is not of concern for all the members of The Taxpayers Alliance and regards the email as spam.

Now, what do you think?

Send Comments to;

Emma.Boon@taxpayersalliance.com, info@taxpayersalliance.com,
mark.wallace@taxpayersalliance.com, Sara.Rainwater@taxpayersalliance.com

David.

In a message dated 5/25/2010 7:21:11 A.M. Pacific Daylight Time, Em-ma.Boon@taxpayersalliance.com writes:

Please remove me from your address book, this is not a matter for the TPA and I do not wish to receive these e-mails.

I will regard future e-mails as spam

Regards,

Emma

Emma Boon

Campaign Manager | The TaxPayers' Alliance

55 Tufton Street | London SW1P 3QL

Tel. 0845 330 9554 | Media 07795 084 113 (24 hrs)

www.taxpayersalliance.com

www.twitter.com/emmaboone

From: A1DAVEDI@aol.com [mailto:A1DAVEDI@aol.com]

Sent: 24 May 2010 20:55

To: DavidCurrie

Subject: Robert Green - Repeated Request for the Copy of the Warrant to Raid His Home in

From David Currie 12a Keymer Avenue, Peacehaven, East Sussex, BN108NG, UK. Tel: 01273 579480

A1DAVEDI@aol.com

In a message dated 5/23/2010 10:29:42 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time,

penelope.pullen@sky.com writes:

Message from Robert Green for you good people.

Keep up the good work and spread the word to as many people as you can.

Pen x

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2010 12:07 PM

Subject: Robert Green - Repeated Request for the Copy of the Warrant to Raid His Home in February 2010

Just received this from Robert - So passing it on.

He's still trying to source the warrant that was issued in Feb, to remove documents, computer and belongings from his home - So far - nothing's been produced.

He's since written a letter to pursue this matter - making it clear that if there was no warrant issued, then the removal of these belongings (including confidential, legal documents, exclusive to Ann and Hollie Greig.) was infact unlawful and therefore there was a burglary committed.

If no reasonable document/warrant is produced - Robert is insisting that charges are brought against the officers from Grampian police, who removed these items from his home - stating that nobody is above the law/prosecution.

I'll send copies of the letter he's written and documents of correspondence out to everybody when I receive them -

Also, did you know that Maureen Spalek was arrested again on Thursday night and then released on Friday?

As you may know, her bail conditions forbid her from entering Widnes, where the adoptive parents live.

However, as she lives in Hunts Cross and her former partner in Warrington, it can be a bit unrealistic to avoid Widnes whilst travelling. She was with her former partner in MacDonalds in Warrington and then drove home alone on a road that just touches the outskirts of Widnes. It was there that she was pulled over by the police and arrested. The time when she could possibly have been within Widnes` boundaries was a few minutes.

How did the police know she would be there?

It transpires that the natural adult daughter of the adopters, who Maureen does not know, was in MacDonalds at the same time , followed her, contacting the police. This would appear to be stalking and it could not possibly be said that Maureen was making any attempt to contact her children or the adoptive family. When Maureen collected the car, she found that her property, consisting of 2000 leaflets, had been confiscated.

Robert

9th May '10

To District Judge Mrs Susan Bouth, Bradford Magistrates' Court.

Dear Mrs. Bouth,

As everyone knows, it is a crime to prevent a voter from voting. I accept that you did have an arguable case on Tuesday 27th April 2010 when blocking my attempt to prosecute for that crime.

However, I do not think there is a legitimate legal way to block the Information I put before you now. Though this document is primarily to combat crime by a powerful organisation, it is also to give you the opportunity to show that you do have some regard for your judicial oath, & not the same contempt for it as Deputy High Court Judge Richard Mawrey QC, & far too many of those who might be described as the 'Judicial Mafia' (to say nothing of Police Inspector Dodds, my email message to whom is below).

You will see I was reporting to him crime by Barclays Bank Ltd. You will also see in the update added that he has completely ignored that message. Since he is in dereliction of his duty, I now Lay the Information in that message before you (as a substitute for a Justice of the Peace), & trust you will issue a summons, as you must do if the law of the land & your Judicial Oath means anything to you.

Though 'Harassment of a Debtor' is a comparatively minor crime, behind it there lies much more. The 'debt' only came about because of the contempt of Richard Mawrey for his Ju-

dicial Oath, as shown by the words he used, quoted in glaring headlines on the front page of the Bradford Telegraph & Argus, this showing equal hatred from the editor. (See attachment). Said by anyone else, those words would have been classed as hate crime, & prosecutions would have followed.

Whether true or false, they were equally deplorable. If false, they were obviously so. If true, they were an attack on an old man (a WW2 veteran) suffering from mental illness. Either way, the words were outrageous, especially coming from a person who purported to be a judge.

However, there was more than hate crime: Mawrey had been in collusion with the police to withhold a vital document. Never were there better grounds for appeal against his decision, & my appeal had progressed to the point when trial bundles had been served on each of the two respondents, only awaiting a date for the hearing.

At this VERY late stage, Deputy Master of Civil Appeals Susan Young had a bright idea: She suddenly decided to pretend that the Appeal Court 'did not have jurisdiction', & gleefully told Kelly-Anne Coleman, Registry Clerk, Civil Appeals Registry to send an email to Denis Schulman (a 'legal officer' employed by Bradford MDC) telling him that the appeal had been 'killed off' (note the 'legal language'). Obviously that email was intended only for Dennis Schulman, but he foolishly let the cat out of the bag, by including it in a message to me. With such blatant judicial misconduct (albeit by a low level Master) in the Royal Courts of Justice, it was obviously no use looking for a remedy in that building. So, I wrote to Jack Straw PC MP, the Secretary of State for Justice (by email & by post) telling him of it. Rather surprisingly it brought a reply from Mr Straw's Principal Private Secretary Alison Blackburne, dated 19th November 2009, telling me "Your letter has been referred to our correspondence unit for processing in the usual way". It was no surprise at all that that there has not been a word from the Correspondence Unit. For a letter from a Litigant In Person suggesting that corruption is rampant in the RCJ, the 'usual way' is probably the shredder! However, my complaint (even though from a LIP) should perhaps have been accorded better treatment, as similar condemnation has come from persons of high standing in the legal profession: e.g. "County Courts in Chaos", said London's most senior County Court judge, HH Judge Paul Collins a couple of years ago. "The Criminal Courts are a shambles" said the Recorder of Leeds, HH Judge Norman Jones on his retirement. At his annual press conference in 2008, Lord Chief Justice Lord Phillips of Worth Maltravers said that there had been a 60% turnover of staff at Wandsworth County Court, leading to backlogs & delays, & that 'data needed to run the courts efficiently is unreliable'. I think it was Lord Phillips who recently spoke of 'Crisis in the Courts'. He cited Lord Justice Stanley Brunton as saying that 'Aylsbury Crown Court is 'not fit for purpose'. We also know of the investigation & resulting castigation of Leeds Magistrates' Court by four of Her Majesty's Chief Inspectors, & the sacking of the senior Legal Director. However, the role of the Ministry of Justice itself in this particular matter also bears examination. To remind the 'Correspondence Unit' that I was still waiting for a response from them, I sent several emails, but each one was knocked back by the 'Postmaster' as 'unable to deliver'. Puzzled & worried by this, I asked some friends to send an email to the same email addresses I had been using.

They did, & their message got through OK. It had an effect: (the message thread is near the end of this message). It contains the rather feeble excuse that an 'administrative error' had caused my messages to be blocked, but that this had now been corrected.

Without doubt if my appeal against Mawrey's decision ever comes before judges whose Judicial Oath overrides their ingrained hatred of the Litigant In Person, Mawrey's decision will be reversed, my 'debt' of £46,000 to Bradford BDC will be wiped out, as will the 'debt' which Barclays Bank claim I owe to them.

There you have the recent background to my request that you issue a summons against Barclays Bank for the Statutory Offence of 'Harassment of a Debtor'.

Though Barclays can offer no defence to the harassment charge, they can of course claim they had no option but to seize the money I had entrusted to their care & hand it over to Bradford MDC (who, it must be noted, have spent AT LEAST £100,000 of taxpayers money to grab £6,500 from me). Barclays can claim they had no option because of the Order from Master Fontayne(?) at the RCJ.

However, it should also be noted that Barclays & Master Fonteyne were well aware that the money they were seizing were the savings from my old age pension (only there because I live frugally), plus the Winter Fuel Payment & Cold Weather Payments so generously given by the Government to we old folks over 80 to ensure we do not die from hypothermia.

Staff in my local branch of Barclays were horrified that this was being done, but Master Fonteyne made the Order with glee, totally unconcerned as to whether I had money even to buy food. So, Bradford Council, the 'Collection' people at Barclays & Master Fontayne can claim that they were acting 'lawfully' (though maliciously) on this occasion.

However, the 'background' goes much further, to 1996, to a previous occasion when Barclays Bank seized the money I had entrusted to them. In those days the seizing of money from a bank was done by what was then known as a Garnishee Order. Barclays cannot claim they were acting lawfully on that occasion. The story is told in my book 'CAUSE for CONCERN', published in 1997, & I give an extract from it now:

'District Judge XXXXXX made a 'Garnishee Order' (10/1/96) to my bank to seize all the money in my account, mostly my Old Age Pension. This is a 'Garnishee Nisi', and means the money is seized but not handed over. A month later there should be a hearing to decide whether the Order should be made 'Absolute'. If so, the money is handed over to the plaintiff's solicitor. The 9th February was set for the hearing. Without notice to me District Judge YYYYYY brought the 'hearing' forward to 24/1/96. Knowing that I would not be there and, KNOWING FULL WELL that he was taking every last penny of my Old Age Pension (including the pensioners' £10 Christmas bonus), he nevertheless made that Order 'Absolute'. However, that is not all: the 'Garnishee Order Absolute' had not been 'Sealed' by the Court, and thus was quite invalid, with no more authority than a piece of toilet paper. In spite of that, Barclays acted as though it were valid, & handed over my then savings of £1,500.'

During the recent harassing telephone calls from Barclays, apart from telling them they were committing a crime (which worried them not a bit), I told them to look in their records, when they would see that worthless piece of paper. They told me "It will have been destroyed under the Data Protection Act". How convenient!

Message from Kelly Anne Coleman at the Royal Courts of Justice is below. Message to Police Inspector Dodds below that, & message from the Operational Security Team at the Ministry of Justice below that again.

-----Original Message-----

From: Civil Appeals - Registry [mailto:civilappeals.registry@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk]

Sent: 09 November 2009 10:32

To: Dennis Schulman

Subject: RE: Norman Scarth v Mohammed Amin case 2009/2221. or m330/08 - urgent

Dear Mr Schulman

RE: Scarth 2009/2221

Upon closer inspection of this application, the Deputy Master of Civil Appeals has directed that this Court does not have jurisdiction to entertain the application. Therefore the matter has been 'killed off'(??) and all papers have been returned to Mr Scarth. Please find attached a copy of those directions.

Regards,

Kelly-Anne Coleman, Registry Clerk, Civil Appeals Registry

Room E307, Court of Appeal, Royal Courts of Justice, Strand

London WC2A 2LL. Tel: 020 7947 7121. Fax: 020 7947 6740 �

Copy of email message sent to Police Inspector Dodds.
100411-Harass

NS File ref. Dodds-

From: enscarth@hotmail.com
To: hadvsec@westyorkshire.pnn.police.uk
CC: enscarth@hotmail.com
Subject: REPORTING A CRIME
Date: Sun, 11 Apr 2010 10:27:12 +0100
To: Inspector Stephen Dodds,
Lawcroft House Police Station,
Lilycroft Road, BRADFORD BD9 5AH
HADVSEC@westyorkshire.pnn.police.uk

Dear Inspector Dodds.
I wish to report a crime, details as below:

Under SECTION 40 (1) (a) of the ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE ACT (1970) it is a criminal offence to 'TO HARASS A DEBTOR IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO CAUSE HIM ALARM, DISTRESS OR HUMILIATION'.

Barclays Bank claim that I owe them £806.15p. I dispute their claim, the truth being that THEY owe me considerably more.
However, that is immaterial. Even if their claim is valid, 'Harassing a Debtor' is STILL an offence. On 16th March 2010 Chris Heaton of Barclays Bank rang (from 08448 111 330) about the 'debt'. I must say that Mr Heaton was most respectful and, making the first telephone call, was NOT committing any offence.

However, he did tell me that they would continue to ring every day until I repaid the (supposed) 'debt'. I told him that I would be writing about the matter as soon as I could find time. In spite of this, they HAVE rung every day, ringing my BT line & my mobile phone, sometimes two or three times a day.

Sometimes they ring off as soon as I answer, sometimes they speak, & not always with the respect shown by Mr Heaton. The numbers calling are usually different ones, among them being 0448 110 350, 0448 110 333, 0448 111 651, 0448 111 606, 0448 111545, 0448 111 524, 0448 111 505, 0448 111 338, 0448 111 334, 0448 111 649, 0448 111 338, 0448 111 342, 0448 111 608, 0448 111 649.

I expect you to prosecute Barclays Bank for this blatant crime, trust you will do so without delay (bearing in mind that, at the age of 84, my life expectation is limited), call me as a witness if required, & keep me informed of progress.

Yours faithfully,
Norman Scarth.

PS: I assume the actions of Barclays Bank will also be a crime under the newer, general 'Harassment Act'. I presume you will consider this also? NS.

UPDATE, Saturday 8th May 2010:

Inspector Dodds has contemptuously ignored this message, as he has ignored my complaints of other crimes committed against me.

FW: A World War 2 Hero.

From: Operational Security Team (OperationalSecurityTeam@justice.gsi.gov.uk)

You may not know this sender. Mark as safe | Mark as junk

Sent: 06 May 2010 12:54:28

To: enscarth@hotmail.com
Cc: gov-crime-xpsd@live.co.uk
Dear Mr Scarth,

I have investigated your query and can confirm that the Ministry of Justice does have the ability to prevent emails from being received.

I have conducted an investigation and due to an administration error your emails were being prevented from being received. This has now been rectified and any future emails to the Ministry of Justice will now be delivered.

Regards
Jennifer
Operational Security
Service Support Assurance, Service Management
MoJ ICT
Tel: 0161 238 6659
Ministry of Justice | Creating a safe, just and democratic society

From: Scourge of Shysters [mailto:gov-crime-xpsd@live.co.uk]
Sent: 30 April 2010 20:49
To: general.queries
Cc: enscarth@hotmail.com
Subject: A World War 2 Hero.

Norman Scarth, a World War 2 veteran (one of those to whom we all owe a great deal) tells us that his recent emails to the Ministry of Justice are meeting 'failure' messages from the 'Postmaster' (though earlier ones had got through OK). He wonders whether his messages are now being deliberately blocked by you, & has asked us to investigate. If they ARE being blocked by you, this is quite disgraceful, considering all he has done for Britain. If they are NOT being blocked by you, would you please contact him at enscarth@hotmail.com
The GCX Team.

To District Judge Bouth:

Please consider this whole document as a Witness Statement.

I, Norman Scarth, hereby declare that the facts in this Witness Statement are true to the best of my knowledge, belief & recollection.

Monday 10th May 2010

Endnote:

With the background as described above, you may fear that for you to issue a summons might bring the Royal Courts of Justice crashing down in disgrace. Perhaps it should, but that won't happen. All that is likely to happen is that a lawyer for Barclays will appear at court, will plead guilty, plead mitigation that they did not know it was a crime, & promise they won't do it again. The bench will fine Barclays a few £s, other banks that may have been doing this will stop, & that will be all. There will be no earth shattering consequences, & no repercussions for yourself. If you are able to issue the summons without my appearing at court, all well & good. If you require my attendance, you will no doubt inform me.

Yours sincerely,
Norman Scarth.

APRIL 2010

22nd April '10

'Mr. Scarth',

aka: Able Seaman PJX 518946 SIR!, 'The Defendant', Prisoner GV 5409, 'A public Irritant', Uncle Norman, Lorne, Laura, Lord Scarth of Lynfield Nuthouse, - now the new Dr. Who! (see attachment).

From, 'A paranoid, Disturbed ... etc. etc.'

BCR TIME LORD & LADY.ppt

3290K View Download

13th April '10

Lovely to hear from you Sharon. You were wonderful when I was in need, & I will be forever grateful. I respected your message back along that you needed to retire from the front line. Seeing your name again recently made me think that, even if not 'in the front line', you were active again. I did wonder whether I was right to send my more recent stuff to you, & am pleased that you were happy to receive it. Now re-assured, I will continue to do so. I hope life is going at least reasonably well for you,

Much , , , &

Norman.

Date: Tue, 13 Apr 2010 11:28:00 +0100

Subject: a quick hello

From: sharonzaki@googlemail.com

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Hi Norman,

Just a swift message to let you know that even though I don't respond [lack of time - work and family duties], I do appreciate you copying me into your emails - I try to read as many as poss - and that I'm very proud of you still doing battle with the corrupt lot in high places. Well done you.

Keep on exposing them...

Sharon.

12th April '10

All that Patrick says is important, However, I suggest that the one thing that sums it all up is one of the videos via the You Tube link. See them all if you have time, but you MUST see 'No, I will not comply!' (Thank you for sending it Patrick).

Norman Scarth.

From: patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk

To: albertburgess@hotmail.com; & others.

Subject: FW: Magistrates' Courts - Organised Crime against We the People in these Bureaucratic RECORDLESS COURTS: -Date: Sun, 11 Apr 2010 21:13:39 +0100

From: Patrick Cullinane [mailto:patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk]

Sent: 11 April 2010 19:58

To: Peter Oakes - HM Victim; Subject: Magistrates' Courts - Organised Crime against We the People in these Bureaucratic RECORDLESS COURTS: -

FAO: ALL,

This is beautiful, put it to good use in your courts

Subject: Yes - you can win in court: - With a secret VIDEO recording of your 'hearing'

QUESTIONS: Have the people seen in this COURTROOM interaction, exposed crim-

inalacts of FRAUD being perpetrated against the people?Is this not the incontrovertible evidence why EVERY court case in the UK must be VIDEOED by the victims'?Why have We the People allowed these Bureaucratic Kangaroo Courts to operate in the UK to solely DEFRAUD us? - And then deny us Transcripts, Court Files and Court Orders when we go to appeal the ORIGINAL Corrupt Judgement; this, while their Bailiffs are breaking down our doors and robbing us of our homes and property. The following VIDEOES will show you why we DON'T have courts of RECORDS' in the UK, as the GANGSTERS don't want their ORGANISED CRIME exposed, like it is here: - Part 1 of

2<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=caQcx0H17fo>Part 2 of

2<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QwIAYQVgQms&NR=1>These are the very same Gangsters that are telling, We the PEOPLE, "if you have nothing to hide, you have nothing to worry about" Therefore, why are there NO CAMERAS in the UK courts, which would solve 95% of the unsolved SERIOUS crime against We the People, which is committed by the GANGSTERS running these RECORDLESS Courts in the UK?By Justin Davenport, Evening Standard on 19.09.07Tens of thousands of CCTV cameras, yet 80% of crime unsolved

London has 10,000 crime-fighting CCTV cameras which cost £200 million, figures show today.<http://www.thisislondon.co.uk/news/article-23412867-tens-of-thousands-of-cctv-cameras-yet-80-of-crime-unsolved.do> The above report was in 2007, and still NO CAMERAS in 'OUR' Courts, where NATURAL JUSTICE is supposed to be seen to be done. We the People must use the above VIDEOS to justify us taking OUR OWN video and recording systems into court to protect ourselves from these Court GANGSTERS, who are HIDING their ORGANISED CRIME against We the People in their FRAUDULENT recordless courts. Please note: When you see a THREATENING NOTICE on a Courtroom Door, that you cannot Tape Record or Video Record in that courtroom, report it immediately to the PRESS and the MEDIA, as it is the incontrovertible evidence that the Court GANGSTERS are operating behind that door and do NOT want their crimes against We the People RECORDED - and on RECORD. It is pointless reporting these unlawful THREATENING NOTICES to the Police or the criminal activity going on behind these doors, as they are in on the scam, and will confiscate your evidence, on behalf of the Court Gangsters, to pervert the course of justice, which is a criminal offence. This is exactly what the Police did to Noel O'Gara and Patrick Cullinane in Bradford Crown Court to protect Conspiring Court Gangsters, 'Judge' Openshaw and 'Judge' Gullick. Where is my Tape Recorder and Noel O'Gara's Mobile Phone, as we would have laid information and started criminal proceedings ourselves, had the police not ROBBED us of our EVIDENCE to protect (hardened) CRIMINALS, 'Judge' Openshaw and 'Judge' Gullick who are operating under the guise of Judges (sic) at Bradford Crown Court. The Conspiratorial FRAME-UP and jailing of Noel O'Gara and Patrick Cullinane on 6th September 2007, to pervert the course of justice: -

Does the jury know that my client Mr. Castree had NO access to the 'two van loads of evidence' to prepare his defence and instruct his legal team accordingly? This is a clear legal ambush of my client and a serious violation of his human rights under Article 6 (3b) of the ECHR with regards, "to have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of his defence" - I would have brought this criminal violation of my clients fundamental rights to the attention of the jury had I not been arrested myself by Detective Steven Ridge and Detective Constable Paul Sherwood on 6 September 2007; and sentenced to 4 months in prison by 'Judge' Gullick (for recording the 'hearing' of my client's bail application) on 7 September 2007 - and banned from the court by 'Judge' Openshaw on 2 October 2007 - This while I was still in Hull Prison awaiting the appeal of Gullick's corrupt judgement, which I won at the Court of Appeal on 4 October

2007.<http://www.yorkshireripper.com/Patrick%20Cullinane's%20submission%20to%20the%20court%2031st%20October2007.htm> Noel O'Gara gives a brief outline of the STITCH-UPS going on in our RECORDLESS Kangaroo Courts in the UK: -We sat in the front row

of seats and immediately one of the policemen asked us to vacate them as he said he was reserving them for the family of the victim. We refused to move as it would be impossible to observe anything from the back seats and Patrick would have no chance to make his application from that position. As it was we were unable to see the judge unless we stood up, so we told the policeman that we would not move and we had a right to come and sit where we chose as we were interested in this case. I asked him for his name and he refused to give it just saying that he was a detective in the West Yorkshire force. Then I asked him if he would be interested in knowing the whereabouts of the Real Yorkshire Ripper Billy Tracey and he wouldn't answer me. Shortly after that the family members came in with more policemen including Max McLean who sat with them at the back of the small public gallery and then the judge entered and the case commenced and it became obvious that the lawyers had already been in the well of the court as were the journalists while it was supposedly sealed.

Mr Stephen Couch barrister for Mr Castree who replaced Jonathan Rose submitted his bail application in quiet tones before justice McKinnon and such a weak and half hearted argument one would be hard pressed to replicate. Couch's application had already been lodged in court and no doubt the judge had read it before he entered and had his replying judgement already written down for a later delivery, but the show was put on for the consumption of the press, the family members of Lesley Molseed and the wider public.

Judge McKinnon then read out a long harangue about the seriousness of the charges and actually blamed Ronald Castree for contributing to Stefan Kiszko's long sentence in prison without a word of blame for the judge, the scientists, the CPS lawyers who prepared the case and police who framed him and denied him his basic human rights. Then he rejected the application and Castree was taken immediately from the court without another word.

If ever there was a prejudiced judge hearing a bail application this surely was evidence of it and judge McKinnon will forever have it on his record.

Patrick stood up immediately he had finished and asked the judge if he had read his application and he retorted that he saw it and he stated "you have no standing in this court" and he got up and left the room. If McKinnon had been an honest judge he would have recalled Castree immediately and asked him what was the status of Patrick Cullinane but he didn't want to do that because he is engaged in the conspiracy to frame Castree.

<http://www.yorkshireripper.com/molseed.htm> Lord (sic) Neuberger is taking the name of the Lord you God in vain. And he is also a fraudulent GANGSTER, as he assisted in the ROBBERY of my home and possessions because he ignored the incontrovertible evidence and put JUSTICE second place for the Christian Irishman: - Critics are particularly alarmed by a ruling by Lord Neuberger, the Master of the Rolls, on behalf of the Court of Appeal, that Lillian Ladele, a registrar who refused to conduct civil partnerships ceremonies – because they were against her Christian beliefs – broke the law.

The Court of Appeal decided in December that the right to express a strong Christian faith must take second place to the rights of homosexuals under Labour's equality laws.

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newstoppers/religion/7574690/Church-leaders-head-for-showdown-with-top-judges-over-bias-against-Christians.html>

David Neuberger's violations under the Third Commandment: -As a practising Catholic, it is very offensive to me for David Neuberger to be taking the name of the Lord you God in vain to support his evil crimes against Catholic People like myself in the UK: - "You shall not make wrong use of the name of the LORD you God; the LORD will not leave unpunished the man who misuses his name." - The New English Bible..... "Do not use my name for evil purposes, for I, the Lord your God, will punish anyone who misuses my name." -

Good News Bible - ... ""Do not swear falsely by the name of the LORD..." This commandment is to never take the name of God in a vain, pointless or insincere oath" - David Neuberger's oath to serve JUSTICE is both pointless and insincere, as his corrupt judgments show! This man is a FRAUD not a LORD, as his many victims will testify. Have David Neuberger and Jack Straw covertly hijacked British Justice from under the noses of the British People? Wake up People when you watch the following Channel 4 Video: - Dispatches: Inside Britain's Israel Lobby

Video - Channel 4 UK - Broadcast November 16, 2009

Dispatches investigates one of the most powerful and influential political lobbies in Britain, which is working in support of the interests of the State of Israel.

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article23997.htm>

In the public interest and the interest of justice, I strongly recommend that EVERYONE take Tape Recorders and Video Record into court and secretly record the Gangsters at work defrauding you. What is the point paying big money for a transcript (that is if it is available) when the criminal Gangsters in the courts have them DOCTORED. Which is NOT a TRUE record of proceedings. Don't leave criminals in OUR Courts call the shots, and if they do, you will have a TRUE record of proceedings to put up on YouTube and elsewhere: - Patrick Cullinane's address in the House of Commons on 23 April 2009 "This is a short video of the corruption at the Inland Revenue; who conspired with High Court 'Judges' and the Police to pervert the course of Justice. Trial by Jury is the ONLY answer to this Government's Tyranny."

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eFakScc9Z80>

Report by Phillip Inman of The Guardian, Financial Section, Monday 25 August 2008

"Evidence put to the high court shows that Cullinane, far from owing tax, was due a rebate.":

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/money/2008/aug/25/tax.taxandspending1>

Report by Phillip Inman of The Guardian, Jobs & Money, Saturday May 10, 2003:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/guardian_jobs_and_money/story/0,,952455,00.html

Are 'OUR' undercover investigative reporters too craven to STING these Corrupt Court Gangsters in the UK? Come on The Guardian and show these cowards at The Sun and the 'News' of The World etc, etc, how it's done. Where is their campaign for CAMERAS' in our recordless courts, where they should be watching the REAL CRIMINALS at work DEFRAUDING the people and TERRORISING them with their Court BAILIFFS who are criminally acting on word of mouth as there is NO valid PAPERWORK? Most Bailiffs are ex Police Officers, who have already lead a life of crime by covering-up the serious organised crime in the Peoples' Courts in the UK. Now you also know why we CANNOT get Court Orders or our OWN files from these Corrupt Gangsters Lording over 'OUR' Courts to DEFRAUD us! Go Guardian, go for it! Patrick Cullinane, victim of these FRAUDULENT RECORDLESS COURTS in the UK.

=====
No law compels a private-sector non-governmentally-privileged work eligible

man or woman to submit a form W-4 or W-9 (or their equivalents), nor to obtain or disclose an SSN as a condition of being hired or keeping one's job. With the exception of an order from a court of competent jurisdiction

issued by a duly qualified judge, no amounts can be lawfully taken from one's pay (for taxes, fees or other charges) without the worker's explicit, intentional, knowing, voluntary, written consent.

<http://www.preferredservices.org/NonconsensualTaking.html>

--

Robert King

"We stumble over pebbles, not mountains"

"You must be the change you wish to see in the world."

Please support Ban Las Leigheas Foundation.

www.ban-las-leigheas-foundation.org

"Nature is the curer of disease." Hippocrates, (the father of medicine)

Brits Planned Genocide of Irish, in 1972! (November 2008)..doc

218K View Download

MARCH 2010

2nd March '10

This VERY useful information has come to me from a new contact.
'Laura Norder'.

Hi there,

you called my wife this evening about the idea for photographing the police and i thought this might be of interest to you.

<http://www.met.police.uk/about/photography.htm> (it may be worth printing this out in case you are ever stopped)

As i'm sure you are aware it has been a much discussed subject and maybe these links will also be of interest.

<http://www.talkphotography.co.uk/forums/showthread.php?t=149404>

and

http://www.flickr.com/groups/photography_is_not_a_crime/

Thanks for geting in touch through the website, it is something that concerns me although ive only ever been challenged in Tesco and Asda by the staff there, so far on the street the police have ignored me but i'm certainly interested in standing up for my rights should i be told what i should be taking pictures of.

All the very best

XXXXX & XXXXX

2nd March '10

Photo Competition: 'The Best Stasi Smile'. First Prize: A free holiday in a Nuthouse of your choice.

The video of the man in London with the megaphone (link below) is wonderful. Interesting that it had to come to us from Brasscheck in California.

The photo competition will be held this Saturday, 6th March, in your town centre, when it will be run in conjunction with the 'Hug the Gestapo Day'.

From Lorne Auder, & my niece, Laura Norder.

> To: enscarth@hotmail.com

> From: news@brasschecktv.com

> Date: Mon, 1 Mar 2010 05:17:52 -0500

> Subject: Brasscheck TV: Life, liberty and the pursuit of sanity

>

- > Notbrainwashed
- > "Life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness..."
- > They understand these concept in England too.
- > A unique, and I think very effective, non-protest.
- > In humor, there is truth - and a good laugh.
- > Video:
- > <http://www.brasschecktv.com/page/812.html>
- > - Brasscheck
- > P.S. Please share Brasscheck TV e-mails and
- > videos with friends and colleagues.
- >
- > That's how we grow. Thanks.
- > =====
- > Haiti: How you can help:
- > <http://www.brasschecktv.com/help-for-haiti>
- > =====
- > Brasscheck TV
- > 2380 California St.
- > San Francisco, CA 94115
- > To unsubscribe or change subscriber options visit:
- > <http://www.aweber.com/z/r/?zAxs7OwctMwcjOwsnCwctEa0bMwcnIxM7A==>

FEBRUARY 2010

27th February '10

Nick, Using cameras outside Newspaper, TV & Radio offices (as well as police) is an excellent idea. Congratulations.
'Lorne Auder'.

Nick

indeed. you are right

The Media's behaviour is stoney silent: they are absolutely silent and it is sickening
what's your phone number Nick ?

I had Lucy Ballinger, Daily IMail reporter pull at the last minute from attending the High Court

Ditto Nick Collins, reporter from The Telegraph

Daily Mail slamming the phone down when I rang them today - cut me off 3 times

BBC acting in a similar vein - disappearing into the long grass

I am being ignored by all of them now

even the BBC crew said they "are getting their researcher on to it NEXT WEEK' - all say they are "too busy and on deadline" - reporting NWO bs.

Lord Burns letter to MP Stephen Pound of 3 Nov 2009 states "I am advised that if Ms Miller-Foulds attempts to go back to court that she will be unsuccessful"

-since when did HE become a prophet ?

I will scan his letter and circulate it soon

pls cover patricia's horror story ASAP. I found out today that her home has been taken over by 3rd party interlopers called 'AMG' (Asset Management Group) who have "cleared her shackles' and stolen all her belongings

kind regards, Liz

On 26 Feb 2010, at 21:05, nick chance wrote:

" a very silent media " - exactly - so why don't we protest about these things outside the media offices - starting with the BBC. Then it can hardly be ignored! BBC, ITV, SKY, National newspapers. We could have a field day.

If this indeed a runner I can resurrect the information about a Protest March on the 'WeAreAngry' website and I know there are many down in Cornwall and Devon and around the country, just waiting for the opportunity to join a protest march.

[On a personal note I am away in Oz until March 31st but anything I can do from here, website wise, just let me know.]

Nick Chance

<http://WeAreAngry.co.uk>

```
*          BRITAIN OUT NOW MORE THAN EVER!          *
*          TELL EVERYONE & CAMPAIGN,                  *
*          "BRITAIN OUT OF THE EU N O W"              *
* HTTP://WEAREANGRY.CO.UK                             *
```

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: discoveries@btinternet.com; de8765@hotmail.co.uk; j.foenander@btinternet.com;
roger@thebcgroup.org.uk; albertburgess@hotmail.com; caul.grant@hotmail.com;
nickchance@hotmail.com; nmaine@bigpond.net.au; jhwilson@rightsandwrong.com.au;
jhwilson@acay.com.au

CC: phcooper1503@yahoo.co.uk; roy.persaud@btinternet.com; oraki2003@hotmail.com;
lewnic@waitrose.com; tigerrish@tiscali.co.uk; patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk; da-vidfabb@hotmail.co.uk;
johan4u@aol.com; lindat2007@hotmail.co.uk;
alanedwards4@hotmail.com; jazras@hotmail.com; terry.armstrong@triple-a.uk.com; to-ny@agmp.net;
judith@bizunlimited.com;
eva_adshead@hotmail.com; alandebenham@hotmail.com;
bradmeyer@collaboration.co.uk; julietgough@hotmail.com; info@ctjnet.co.uk;
m_artinos@yahoo.co.uk; dmitch8090@aol.com; fame_97@hotmail.com;
agel@earndiamonds.com; editor@ukcolumn.org

Subject: Mass camera use. 6th March. 'They' have theirs - let us use ours!

Date: Fri, 26 Feb 2010 17:45:00 +0000

I am pleased that the 'Campaign for Truth & Justice' is concerned about Judicial Corruption. I too 'hold documented evidence of judicial corruption which the Judiciary are desperate to suppress through a very silent media' (the latter would bring praise from Josef Goebbels). My own view is that judicial corruption is central to the current state of the nation. I urge everyone to take on their boot boys, the Stasi/Gestapo Police on Saturday 6th March, as proposed by Nick Chance at 'WEAREANGRY': i.e. Let us ALL take photographs of individual police officers, police stations, courthouses, etc. etc. Apart from which, we should ALL use our cameras whenever we see members of the public being harassed by police. It is our DUTY to disobey the bad laws brought in by the murderous Blair Regime, & the equally murderous 'Heirs to Blair'.

Norman Scarth.

PS: If any have home (& second home) addresses of Judges & MPs, please circulate them, & we can use our cameras there too . NS.

CC: phcooper1503@yahoo.co.uk; Subject: Fwd: One Voice Action Group

Date: Fri, 26 Feb 2010 01:49:41 +0000

We should team up together and impact the Judicial corruption sooner rather than later - see email below

Add Caul to your mailing lists

Begin forwarded message:

From: Caul Grant <caul.grant@hotmail.com>

Date: 25 February 2010 14:05:24 GMT

To: <discoveries@btinternet.com>, ctj <info@ctjnet.co.uk>

Subject: One Voice Action Group

Hi there Liz,

Thank you for taking a look at our website and taking the time to contact us. Whilst we are appalled at the treatment meted out to Sally, we are not at all surprised.

We welcome the opportunity to join your mailing list and are happy to engage in the campaign to expose Judicial Corruption. However, why wait for a million people, why not a thousand because one thousand people on a march to expose any form of corruption could not be ignored.

Campaign for Truth & Justice (CTJ) hold documented evidence of judicial corruption which the Judiciary are desperate to suppress through a very silent media and what we need right now, is to break the silence by way of public protests outside the High Court, Ministry of Justice and other venues alike.

Let us know what you think about that, because we have a great deal of experience in street protests but, at the moment we lack numbers. If you have numbers and would consider public protesting, let us know and we can make all the necessary arrangements with Scotland Yard or the relevant local Police and with One Voice, I am sure, we would be an extremely powerful force which could not be ignored.

Looking forward to hearing from you soon,

Caul

Campaign for Truth & Justice

www.ctjnet.co.uk

PS, You can email me direct on the address above and also add it to your mailing list

CC: phcooper1503@yahoo.co.uk; Subject: Fwd: One Voice Action Group

Date: Fri, 26 Feb 2010 01:49:41 +0000

We should team up together and impact the Judicial corruption sooner rather than later - see email below

Add Caul to your mailing lists

Begin forwarded message:

3rd February '10

The message below is from a man who tried to give Maurice a badly needed aspirin during a break in the 'trial'. 'Reliance Security' (the Waffen SS - who seem to rank above the judge!) pounced on both of them. The Samaritan was told that if he set foot over the threshold of the Courthouse again he would go to prison for 7 days. His message below:

"Norman,

MK must have called you just before he called me. <snip>

Maurice Kirk telephoned me at 16.10 hrs 03 FEB 10. He wanted to know what went on in court today.

He said that he had had no news from Frank Werren who was representing Maurice in court in his absence. Frank told me that the judge had given him permission to visit Maurice in the prison but Frank had been refused entry into the prison.

The judge has received my 2 faxes of complaint about Maurice's treatment and the errors in the court over evidence, see the website www.mauricekirkflyingvet.com for the latest postings.

Now back to Maurice's message: After the evidence given by Geoffrey Martlew of Prestige Air, Haverford West, in court yesterday, Maurice is seriously considering a libel/slander action against him (Note from NS: No libel libel action is possible for anything said in court). He suggested that Maurice, a pilot in command of aircraft having flown over 80 varieties of aircraft in his life, has a haphazard attitude towards regulations requiring the keeping of records such as entries in flying log book and the filing of flight plans. Maurice considers this outburst to have been quite outrageous and wholly unfounded."

atb Paul @fame97

ps. Having been banned from the court, it seems that my faxes have had some effect on the judge because he has said that I can attend court as a witness!!!"

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: cardiff.cm.crn2@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

CC: general.enquiries@justice.gsi.gov.uk; smithj@parliament.uk; hainp@parliament.uk; moonm@parliament.uk; moon@janet23.fslife.co.uk - & others.

Subject: MAURICE KIRK: IT GETS EVEN DIRTIER!!!

Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 18:21:23 +0000

To HH Judge Paul Thomas at Cardiff Crown Court, & anyone in that building who might have a conscience. This malicious prosecution must have already cost the taxpayer millions of £s. It is not only a malicious prosecution, but Cardiff Prison Governor Sian West is being equally malicious! It appears that ALL departments of the Ministry of Oppression (Police, Courts & Prison Service) are in collusion to prevent the rampant corruption in South Wales from ever coming to light. At 4pm today, Wed. 3rd January 2010, I received a hurried telecall from Maurice. I cannot guarantee that every word I write is correct, but the essence is this: Judge Paul Thomas has written a personal letter to Maurice, "As you refused to come to court (I presume this means Tuesday) the prosecution case continued in your absence. If you refuse to come tomorrow, the prosecution case will again continue in your absence. I will assume that you have no wish to present a defence, & I expect to give my summing up on Friday". Maurice DID NOT REFUSE TO GO TO COURT! The sadistic prison staff refused to allow him to take with him vital documents. They wanted to send him to court effectively naked. Maurice said he could not go to court without the documents. Deputy Prison Governor Day said, "You are refusing to go to court?" Maurice said emphatically, "No, I am NOT refusing, but I must have those documents with me". Prison staff twisted this to tell the court he was 'refusing' to go. Knowing that they will go to ANY lengths to stop the exposure of corruption as widespread as this, it would be no surprise if it were announced 'Maurice Kirk has committed suicide (???) in prison'. I

hope this message might pre-empt such a foul deed.
Norman Scarth.

JANUARY 2010

29th January '10

Nadia's mum Ellinor rang me at 22.37 this evening having had to get a carpenter to get in to their home. She was in great distress & is in poor health. Apparently while Ellinor was at Crown Court hoping to see Nadia, SEVEN police smashed into their home, trashed it, took the computer (& whatever else) then sealed the door. She had to borrow the money to pay the carpenter. She said "Why couldn't they have asked me? I would have let them in". See account below from a newcomer to this affair. It appears that this re-arrest is because Nadia wrote down the names of the jurors & published them on Facebook. Not wise perhaps, but I'm sure she did it innocently. The names were read out in court, & as far as I am aware, ANYTHING said in court can be reported - unless the judge orders that it shall not be. Anyway, she has not been given bail, is held at Cardiff Bay Police Station, & is likely to appear at Cardiff MAGISTRATES' Court either Saturday morning or Monday. One would think they were allies with Osama bin Laden. Why don't they arrest the mass murderers of the Blair Regime & the 'Heirs to Blair'?

Norman Scarth

From:

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Subject: not sure whats going on

Date: Fri, 29 Jan 2010 18:14:12 +0000

nadia was in crown court today for a hearing but the judge discharged her (case probably wasn't worth the toilet paper it was scribbled on) and then she was immediately re-arrested on the way out whilst still in custody and away from her mum at all times in the back corridors of the courts. the police still want to string her up, something to do with releasing the names of the jury onto facebook. we don't know what's going on really as it's all being done behind our backs but the judge in court said something about publishing details of the juries names on facebook.

we heard that the police had taken possession of nadia's computer this morning too which means they must have broken into her house and helped themselves to personal possessions (and possibly turned the house upside down) whilst nadia's mum was in court earlier today.

she will be taken to a local police cell (to find out if she will be released on bail or not) and will have a court appearance either tomorrow or on Monday in the magistrates.

when the police go through her computer they might try and implicate others she was in contact with for doing the same similar thing i.e. putting details of the case publicly on facebook. (is that even against the law?). i better be careful. i really don't want the police coming here turning the place over.

i might get a call off XXXX (family friend of nadia) later with more updates i think, he was helping nadia's mum today, with lifts and emotional support etc he has my number and he said he can give me a ring later.

me personally i'm just a stranger to all this only just met them the other day and don't even know nadia's mum's name but just doing my bit to give moral support and help where i can. however the police are taking things to extremes and it's all getting too close for comfort.

28th January '10

"The courts of Britain are open to all, in every sense of the words, & I trust they will always remain so" said the eminent Chief Justice Cockburn in 1860. Much the same was said some fifty years later by LJ Lawrence (later to preside at Nuremberg).

Below are the words of an observer who attended Cardiff Crown Court, hoping to see a fair trial. In Britain? SOME BLOODY HOPE! (I have removed her name, though i am sure she would be happy to stand by her words).

- - - - -
Cardiff Crown Court Paul T. Jenkins & I are Not allowed in Court Room (8) again!

From:.....

Sent:27 January 2010 21:49:52

To: enscarth@hotmail.com; Paul Talbot-Jenkins Victim (paul.talbot-jenkins@virgin.net)

Dear Norman

Another impossible beggars belief day in CROWN COURT CARDIFF!!

I do not know how much more Maurice can stand!!

He is NOT having a fair trial!...the judge is absolutely grotesque inhuman abusive, unlawful, contradictory, dishonest....

He delights in denying Maurice any of his basic rule of law requests/basic rights...

The judge denies him such by using a rhetoric of either saying, "Its not in my remit" and his other favourite is, "Its not relevant"!!!!.....when it is!

(I feel this judge is so bad he must deliberately perverting the course of justice!

AGENDA?!

One rule for one and one rule for.....

Maurice told the judge that many prosecution witnesses over the past few days have been making lots of notes whilst in the public gallery.

I made notes (I always do) a lawful activity!!....

Court ushers reported my note taking....

HHJ Paul Thomas says, "NO APPROACHING THE DOCK WHERE MAURICE WAS & NO NOTE TAKING!"

[Maurice had (PRIOR) called me over after court had finished at one segment,>Reliance Security aggressively denied a very distressed Maurice to speak to me etc

(RELIANCE DISHONESTLY TRUMPED THIS UP TO THAT MAURICE AND I WERE SHOUTING!!...(This is not true in fact we spoke in a quite tone to prevent being overheard!! etc

[NOT TO MENTION What security said to my mother & I...the chap that you met on the day you came when we had to go through the scanner and bad checks etc, when the one stated that you were going to display your laminated docu/pic in court etc

Which you had stated you would not do so!....well him

He said there has been reports of shouting!, that is not true! we explained.....he then said the following:

I know your history here, so if you don't follow rules in court then the judge will have you removed & locked up!...I said, "With respect, I beg your pardon, no you do not know our history at all and we have not done anything unlawful!....these are the peoples courts....

He quickly said, "I know you support Maurice in every way and form"!...(sly because he did not imply this he was being insulting to imply trouble makers how dare he!)

I replied, "Only lawfully we support Maurice" nothing else!

HHJ Paul Thomas: said someone has been taking notes Mr Thomlo....Yes Mr Thomolo says...

HHJ Paul Thomas: says has that person been identified?...

PROSECUTION Barrister: Yes the lady at the back (pointing to me)

Judge then tells me "Stand up"...and asks if I have been writing?

Yes I reply...

I said to the judge, "Sir I am only doing a lawful activity...and under whose authority am I asked not to"?

HHJ PT: MINE bellowed the judge

ME: Sir, do you have an oath of office?!

HHJ PT: CONTEMPT!...

Told RELIANCE "REMOVE THAT WOMAN"!

ME: I said on what grounds Sir?

HHJ PT:"GET OUT!

I left quietly!...Maurice voiced, 'No I need her to stay and witnesses everything!....

Not long after me Paul Talbot-Jenkins got threatened with SEVEN DAYS IN PRISON!!....

Maurice, had asked continually for one aspirin for a ongoing migraine/headache/pains since Monday.....during recess (judge gone off and jury etc) a distressed in pain insistant Maurice asks Paul...

Paul kindly obliges!...RELIANCE SECURITY GO BESERK!

They & court lackeys report this to judge, and approx 12 Reliance staff grab and assault Maurice dragging him back off out of the court (Maurice cried out in pain as his body scrapped and was pummelled about!..

(It was diabolical!!.....12 people to restrain one man who is desperate for some pain relief!!

Norman, I have witnessed nothing other then brute force and he has been treated as a common criminal.....it is greatly upsetting as we are all powerless to help!!!

Paul Jenkins has been told, "IF HE CROSSES THE COURTS THRESHOLD TOMORROW HE WILL INSTANTLY FACE SEVEN DAYS IN JAIL!!!!!!"....

I also cannot attend the rest of the trial!!!!...which leaves Maurice seriously hampered as I was to take over from Celia's scribing...(she has been doing a superb marvellous job for Maurice!!)

He is being denied ALL HIS PAPERWORK!...giving small amounts at any given time....

Being blackmailed and bullied that he has to sign for any of his files etc without sight first of them and with a clause that he may only have x amount at any given time for x amount of time..

This is not adequate in any way shape or form!!!

Report from

27th January '10

Richard; (Bcc to others). It was Tuesday. 'HHJ' is a common abbreviation of 'His Honour Judge' ----- (in this case Paul Thomas). Some 'TRIAL'!!! It is a Spanish Inquisition, Torquemada in charge! The only hope is the jury: As Lord Devlin famously said; "The jury is the guiding light by which we see that Freedom lives". Let us hope they prove to be on this occasion. It DOES happen occasionally though, sadly, they are usually overawed by the judge, who will not allow them to see or hear the important stuff anyway. Nadia says "(the jury) are soooo young". This is neither good nor bad of course, as neither youth nor maturity nor old age guarantees perception, wisdom or integrity.

Norman Scarth.

PS: Note to PT-J: Oh for a large banner outside the court (or even your battle-bus) with Devlin's words on it! That would not be a 'Protest'. I would gladly pay, & would mortgage my life to do so. N.

From: rcolborne@live.co.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Subject: RE: "... the weapon?" ???

Date: Wed, 27 Jan 2010 01:12:48 +0000

Terrible! When did this happen Norman? Today? Was this the start of Maurice's criminal trial? Is HHJ the judge? Richard

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: feldmeier@btinternet.com; celiajeune@hotmail.com

Subject: "... the weapon?" ???

Date: Tue, 26 Jan 2010 23:49:21 +0000

Nadia,

I have just noticed the question you quote: "HHJ: Thomas: Do you accept possession of the weapon?"

That is from the same box of tricks as the classic trick question, "Have you stopped beating your wife? - answer yes or no!!" To which the victim of the trick has to answer "Yes, (I have stopped beating her)", or "No (I haven't)". Surely, the answer to Thomas's question is, "It was not a weapon". It was also diabolical for Thomas to say "If you do not answer then you will lose your right to 'Disclosure' and you will have no defence, if you do not answer my question!". I hope the jury can be made aware of the trickery.

And who is running the court? RELIANCE SECURITY???

Norman.

Date: Mon, 25 Jan 2010 21:53:10 +0000

From: feldmeier@btinternet.com

Subject: Maurice v Regina

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

<snip>

HHJ Paul Thomas said he can only have the documents that the "CPS SAY ARE RELEV-
ENT"!!!!.....

HHJ: Thomas: Do you accept possession of the weapon

MAURICE: I have already previous answered this.and for me to do so I would need the Occurrence reference inorder to decide if I may change my plea etc

HHJ: If you do not answer then you will lose your right to 'Disclosure' and you will have no defence,if you do not answer my question!...(He did not answer) (good)

BLACKMAIL??.....

Norman,it was a FARCE!...even Maurice asking permission to speak with his dear sister,involved game playing...ie

Maurice asks may I speak with her in private etc

HHJ Paul Thomas,says he'll see/think about it etc...after toying with the fact the judge states his mkenzie friend is Werren etc then asks the RELIANCE SECURITY people if that it is ok etc

The reliance chap shrugs his shoulders and said he have to check with his 'supervisor' if permitted etc

(Judge states on the record his remit is limited!!) ?????

Maurice was granted this afterwoulds but what a waste of time? tax payers hard earned cash?!?!

THE JURY WAS SWORN IN....

Christine Brice

Melanie Jones

Tania Evans
Diane Lawland (surname ?)
Jillian Davies
Mathew Fox
Martin Gay
Helen Jones
Christine Stenna
Patrica Nicolls
Alan Penston (Surname ?)
Mathew Johns

Franices Werren would be best to bring you up to speed further as he managed at the end to stay and talk awhile with the Prosecution barrister...

Maybe he can get some help from the Judge he is staying with while the trial is going on!....I hope so!

Nadia

12th January '10

Date: Mon, 11 Jan 2010 16:15:44 -0800

From:

Subject: Maurice Photographs from the court in Cardiff today

To:

Hi

Was good to meet you today.

Heres the 3 not too great photographs I took today - Jay took more and they were better - hopefully you'll have his already or very soon :)

Keep in touch

from

Not got a Hotmail account? Sign-up now - Free

3 attachments — [Download all attachments](#) [View all images](#)

110120101061.jpg

506K [View](#) [Download](#)

110120101062.jpg

536K [View](#) [Download](#)

110120101063.jpg

494K [View](#) [Download](#)

12th January '10

Attached are pictures (taken by a young freedom fighter) of Maurice Kirk, in handcuffs, being taken from the prison van to the civil court on Monday. I have just heard today, Tuesday, that Maurice is now in the Punishment Block. These screws are as sadistic as the Waffen SS.

Norman Scarth

12th January '10

Dear Friends, My message to Russ Murray of the RBL is below. I would be grateful if EVERY ONE OF YOU would send your own message in support, preferably under a dif-

ferent subject heading. If we can stir the RBL into action, it might make a difference!
Norman Scarth.

From: enscarth@hotmail.com
To: rmurray@britishlegion.org.uk
Subject: RE: CONTACT WITH THE ROYAL BRITISH LEGION
Date: Tue, 12 Jan 2010 12:49:50 +0000

Dear Mr. Murray,

Thank you for your prompt response. Yes, I certainly DO need assistance, not so much for me personally, but to expose the corruption & sadism of those who have achieved power. Marsha Singh (who is male, & a Sikh) was not involved in the robbery, but he, like so many of our MPs, has turned a blind eye to the evil they know goes on, anxious not to rock the boat, so as to preserve their own place on the gravy train. I presume you must have seen my leaflet, but attach it again, with an added note at the top, in red. No doubt you will be wondering how to help? It would NOT be 'over the top' if every Legion Member in South & West Yorkshire were to march on Bradford City Hall, drag every Councillor & official out, then march THEM to somewhere they would have to answer for their crimes. Are there people in the RBL with conscience & courage enough to do that? If not, what are your suggestions? In the RBL there will be a lessening number of those who fought against the (old) Nazis, as I did. Are there any with courage enough to fight against the Nu-Nazis (for want of a better name), who have surreptitiously seized power in Britain? They are just as bad as the old ones, but less honest, the victims fewer - AS YET! My life expectation is obviously limited, so an early response (& action!) would be appreciated

Norman Scarth

From: RMurray@britishlegion.org.uk
To: enscarth@hotmail.com
Date: Tue, 12 Jan 2010 11:08:54 +0000
Subject: CONTACT WITH THE ROYAL BRITISH LEGION

Dear Mr Scarth,

You have recently sent the Royal British Legion an e-mail in regard to the MP Martha Singh, alleging that she, amongst other government officials, have taken your life savings and other allowances.

If there is any assistance that you feel that the Legion can give you in this or any other matter, I would be grateful if you could contact me soonest. If I do not hear from you by 26 Jan 10 I shall assume that you do not require our help.

I look forward to hearing from you shortly.

Yours sincerely,

Russ Murray
County Manager S&W Yorks
T 0113 256 4477 Ext 225
M 07909687332
E RMurray@britishlegion.org.uk

5th January '10

I had just about given up hope, but Mike Prysner & Phaedruss have revived it. Wonderful stuff. Thank you Hedley for sending it to me.
Norman Scarth

From: hedleylester@googlemail.com
To: hedley.lester@ntlworld.com
Subject: Waking up
Date: Tue, 5 Jan 2010 01:05:00 +0000

People are beginning to wake up:

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ThePhaedruss83>

Thanks to Gary Cavill for drawing this to my attention via Facebook.

See also:

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ThePhaedruss83#p/u/0/8-U5EZ-J75o>
<http://www.youtube.com/user/ThePhaedruss83#p/u/2/U0DTNtzfVoY>

3rd January '10

To Marsha Singh MP
From: enscarth@hotmail.com
To:
Subject:
Date: Sun, 3 Jan 2010 17:25:50 +0000
I'd rather die in the gutter than grovel to these vile, subhuman creatures, but don't worry, they haven't destroyed me yet!
Norman.
Date: Sun, 3 Jan 2010 17:09:45 +0000
From:
Subject: Re: Please publicise it far & wide.
To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Hi Norman,
You need to get one of those forms.
This is not about groveling, you need food, electricity, heating etc
Cmon Norman kick their butts. They cannot get away with doing this to you.
M.

From: norman scarth <enscarth@hotmail.com>
To:
Sent: Sunday, 3 January, 2010 16:50:16
Subject: Please publicise it far & wide.
No, I don't mind at all, & will be delighted if you publicise it. Like your friend, I too am being taken to the RCJ by my local council, the hearing to be on 25th. January. However, as they have put a stop on my bank account, how am I to get the money to buy food till then, & pay my fare to the RCJ? If I survive am I supposed to walk? The 'Interim Third Party Debt Order' says "Hardship: If the third party is a bank or building society & the judgment debtor ... suffers hardship through not being able to meet ordinary living expenses as a re-

sult of not being able to withdraw money from the account, a court may make a hardship payment order allowing some money to be paid out. An application form (N244) can be obtained from any court office (see overleaf for further details). 'Overleaf' is a blank page! Even so, I don't grovel before anyone, least of all this sadistic, thieving Judicial Mafia. Al Capone & the Kray twins were like Mother Teresa in comparison.
Norman.

Date: Sun, 3 Jan 2010 14:01:52 +0000
From:
Subject: Re: 'Always look on the bright side of life'.
To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Hi Norman,
I hope you don't mind but I have shared your circumstances with a proactive pensioner friend of mine.
She was taken to the RCJ by her Local Authority for the sum of £47,000 they eventually settled out of Court where she had to pay in the region of £14,000.
She has come back to me with the following email.

Happy New Year to you firstly and poor Norman. I dread to think what he must be feeling like. (The good lady has no need to think of me as 'Poor Norman'. It is the highest compliment they could pay me, that they are so afraid of one little old man!) When I was in Court they stated that the Council could only legally take £9.75 out of my money so why can they do this to him ? Is it because it is a Court Order I wonder. I settled out of Court. Let me know how he is getting on and if there is anything you want me to do.
Hope your family and you all have a brilliant New Year.
best wishes,
Linda :)

I will make some searches on her press coverage and pass it on.

Kind regards, M.
From: norman scarth <enscarth@hotmail.com>
To:

Sent: Saturday, 2 January, 2010 15:32:51

Subject: 'Always look on the bright side of life'.

M, I was of course badly shaken by this depravity, & annoyed with myself for not stashing what little bit I had where they couldn't get at it. However, I've recovered & beginning to think it could be a good thing, in that people might begin to realise just how evil these people are. I'm not done with yet!

Norman.

'Always look on the bright side of life'

Date: Sat, 2 Jan 2010 14:27:13 +0000
From:
Subject: Re: Christmas 1943: The Sequel: A £6,000 robbery.
To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Hi Norman,
What a start to the New Year for you.
I am lost for words my friend.
M.

From: norman scarth <enscarth@hotmail.com>
To: Brian Gerrish <bigerrish@tiscali.co.uk>
Cc: MikeUKC <editorukc@googlemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, 2 January, 2010 4:28:41
Subject: Christmas 1943: The Sequel: A £6,000 robbery.

A Happy New Year ???

First I repeat the last part of 'A Very Different Christmas' (amended slightly).

I was proud to have 'done my bit' to keep the Nazis from our shores. My pride remained until the age of 70.

I am less proud now. Tragically, all those who died, British & German, gave their lives in vain. The Nu-Nazis (for want of a better word) have achieved by stealth that which Hitler failed to achieve by force. A new 'Master Race' (not the one envisaged by Hitler) has surreptitiously seized 'Absolute Power', & will destroy anyone (big or little) they see as a threat to that power. They Are Lawyers! EVERYTHING we fought against in WW2 has come about under Lawyer Blair's Regime & the 'Heirs to Blair', the poppies they wear being a nauseating sham. Added to which we have the evil as in Stalinist Russia, where sane whistle-blowers & 'dissidents' are silenced by incarceration in 'Mental Hospitals'. Those voices calling for help haunt me yet, not only at Christmas. It is for them, as well as those of our own side who gave their lives, that I will fight to my last breath to expose these Nu-Nazis – who are less honest than the old ones. That of course is why I am now labelled 'A very dangerous man'. Indeed I am, but a danger to whom, & in what way?

Norman Scarth

Today, 31st December 2009 I was robbed of my life saving. £6,000.

1) Not a lot after a lifetime's honest, hard work & fighting for my country in World War 2. It is not entirely my own fault. I have been robbed before. About 10 years ago crooked judges dived into my bank account (into which I had my old age pension paid) & grabbed every last penny – including the pensioner's £10 Christmas bonus. Why?

2) It was revenge for my 1997 General Election manifesto, for my book 'Cause for Concern' (published the same year), & particularly for my single-handed success in the European Court of Human Rights (case 33745/96). It brought a vital change into British law, incorporated into the 1998 Human Rights Act. The change is much hated by lawyers & judges - & I am hated for it.

3) That was only the start however: On 8th August 1999 there came a potentially lethal terror attack by a mob-handed gang of West Yorkshire Police (being used as a private army) – without even the pretence of a lawful excuse. They demanded entry & radioed for a battering ram & riot shields – against one solitary old man, doing no wrong in any shape or form.

4) The intention was to silence me by fear, a heart attack or a stroke the hoped for outcome. Few men of my age would not have had one or the other. Though my heart was pounding & my head bursting (as yours would have been) I defied them. Because a crowd of concerned neighbours had gathered, they did not use the battering ram, but instead laid siege to the house for three hours before backing off, saying, "We have decide this is not a police matter", but adding the sinister threat – "We'll be back!" (All that, & much more is on tape.)

5) In the days, weeks & months which followed, my desperate S.O.S. messages were faxed to EVERY watchdog in the land - & I do mean all of them! Amnesty International, Liberty, Justice, Ombudsmen, the 'News Media' (?) & about 200 MPs. All of them being lawyer controlled, a curt brush-off came from only two; from the rest not even acknowl-

edgment. It was obvious the police had licence to commit any crime against me with impunity.

6) For eleven months I dared leave the house only three times, imprisoned by fear - & I am not a timid man. My fear proved justified on 20th July 2000, when there came a second attack. This time (armed with a bogus Possession Order), they did smash into my home & gave me a brutal kicking that put me in hospital on intravenous treatment. To cover up this police crime I was stitched up for a non-existent 'crime'. Instead of my attackers, it was me in the dock at Sheffield Crown Court, a Kangaroo Court if ever there was one. My witnesses & my evidence were banned, as were the Press! The sadistic Judge was David Ronald Bentley, who then lived with wife Christine at 311 Ecclesall Road, Sheffield.

7) Three times when I complained about his gross misconduct he had me literally dragged & thrown back to the cells. He fed monstrous lies to the specially selected jury (all relatives & friends of police) to get the verdict he wanted, then, for a first 'offence' by a 75 year old WW 2 veteran, imposed a sentence to ensure I died in prison. Well, I didn't die, but lived long enough to have 'Paid my debt to society', & was due to walk free on 25th April 2005.

8) Instead, I was unlawfully carted off to Newton Lodge Gulag 'Mental Hospital', Wakefield, not as a patient (neither needing nor receiving any treatment), but a captive, just as much as Terry Waite, John McCarthy, Brian Keenan in Beirut, Daniel Pearl in Pakistan, Ken Bigley & Margaret Hassan in Iraq. I never expected to be let out alive, but by a miracle it happened, & here I am, still trying to waken the nation to the corruption which is rampant throughout this ruthless, lawless, murderous & merciless '1984' Police State. And still under attack from the evil ones.

9) NOW TO COME TO THE LATEST ROBBERY: It was a mugging by the Judicial Mafia! Some of you may be aware that I was arrested while a candidate at the local election last year to prevent me from campaigning (the police admitted it was on orders from 'higher up'), & that I put in an Election Petition to have the result declared void because of this Zimbabwean treatment. I was required to put down £2,500 as security for costs.

10) The Kangaroo Court 'Hearing' of the Petition took place in Bradford City Hall on 6/7th May 2009, when the evil, sadistic, corrupt, Jekyll & Hyde Deputy High Court Judge Richard Mawrey QC (in collusion with the police to withhold vital evidence) ruled against me, & of course the £2,500 was seized.

11) Then came a request from Dennis Schulman, the crooked, sadistic lawyer for Bradford Council, that I make proposals as to how I intended to pay a further £46,000 costs. I did of course put in an appeal against Mawrey, which is still to be heard.

12) Today, out of the blue came a High Court Order telling me that they have put a stop on all the money in my bank account (as they did on the previous occasion). The only reason I had £6,000 is because I live frugally, don't smoke, drink, run a car, or have holidays, & have managed to put by a little from my Old Age Pension to use to continue the fight against corruption, & pay for my funeral.

13) So, they have decided to grab that – including the old folks Winter Fuel Payment, given so generously by this government of war criminals – the same one that is determined I will die in penury!

14) There is to be a 'hearing' in the 'Royal Courts of (IN)Justice & Corruption' on 25th January 2009, when another crooked judge will undoubtedly order that the £6,000 be handed over to the crooked lawyers acting for the corrupt Bradford Council.

15) As my paltry savings are nowhere near the £46,000 'debt', they will then have me declared bankrupt, to ensure I can never try to seek a remedy in the courts again, or stand for election again. THAT of course has been the aim all along. THAT is my reward for fighting for my country in WW2 & a lifetime's honest hard work. THAT is 'Democracy' in Britain. We do not have the Rule of Law here, but the Rule of Law-yers – a very different thing!

16) At her Coronation the Queen swore before Almighty God "To Deliver Justice with Mercy". These lot have as much mercy as Ian Brady & Peter Sutcliffe! Knowing from past experience that this could happen, it had been in my mind to withdraw my savings from the bank, & stuff it under the mattress or somewhere.

17) However, I didn't get round to it. For the last six months I have been working night & day trying to help that other whistle-blowing victim Maurice Kirk, & have not had time to look after my own interests. Though this is obviously bad for me, it is just possible that good might come of it. Those who pretend that everything bad for Britain comes out of the EU may realise that Police State Britain is even more evil.

FOOTNOTE: The attack on 8th August 1999 came just 17 days after the ECtHR had made the ruling in my favour which brought the change in British law (the change much hated by lawyers & judges). It was also just 6 weeks after I had appeared in the Civil Appeal Court, & been bold enough to tell Lord Woolf (then Master of the Rolls), Lord Justice Otton & LJ Ward, "Her Majesty the Queen, whose courts these are, is badly served by the shysters who now infest the judiciary". A newspaper report carried the glaring headline, "Norman 'Ousts' Top Judge". You don't do THAT & get away with it!

From Norman Scarth.

WW2 Veteran, Parliamentary Candidate, Successful Law Reformer, Investigative Author & Publisher, Associate of the Article 6 Group, Human Rights Activist, Prison Reform Activist, Founder & Leader of the Anti-Crime Party. ADDRESS: 36 Anvil Court, BRADFORD BD8 7QW. Tel: (01274) 541 213. Email: enscarth@hotmail.com 1,725 words

NOVEMBER 2009

29th November '09

YOU COULDN'T MAKE IT UP (1). Criminal Charges against Maurice Kirk: Indictment: "Count 1. POSSESSION OF A PROHIBITED WEAPON, contrary to section Blah, blah, blah, blah. PARTICULARS OF OFFENCE: Had in his possession a firearm ... so designed that two or more missiles could be successively discharged without repeated pressure on the trigger."

"Count 2. SELLING ... A PROHIBITED WEAPON contrary to section blah, blah, blah. PARTICULARS OF OFFENCE: Sold ... a firearm ... designed etc. etc. etc. without repeated pressure on the trigger."

Here we come to the best bit: The ancient Lewis gun (attached to a replica WW1 biplane), bought by Kirk & sold on by him did not HAVE a flippin' trigger – it had been removed! It did have holes drilled in the side, & the spout bunged up. Even so, it could still cause serious injury – if you dropped it on your foot! The brave boys & girls in blue will say it could have a trigger fitted, the holes welded up, & the barrel bored out. No doubt it could. With much less trouble a 6" x 3' drainpipe propped at an angle can be used to fire a high explosive mortar bomb, & any handyman could soon turn a 4" drainpipe into a rocket propelled grenade launcher.

YCMIU (2). In London there is a very expensive wastepaper bin which calls itself 'The Office for Judicial Complaints' (OJC). It is there you send complaints about judges. I complained to them of criminal collusion between Judge Nicholas Cooke, South Wales Police & Dr. Tegwynn Williams. A reply from case Worker Anna Scranny dated 28th November 2009, ref. 6914/2009 dismissed my complaint, & said "You should refer this matter to the Police Authorities." So, if the Gestapo have committed crime, you should report them - to the Gestapo!

YCMIU (3). I understand that the first social worker's report states that Maurice is a grade 3 threat "due, in part, to the threat of his attracting media attention"!!

Can anybody find words to describe any of this? - Because I can't!

Norman Scarth.

29th November '09

A correspondent asks: "Norman, Would it help your efforts or hinder them if others were to send letters/e-mails to any/all of your various recipients just to inform them that it not is not only Norman Scarth who is watching them and their actions very carefully?"

It certainly WOULD help - the more the better! Brief messages to all those with power & influence could make a great difference. Turning a blind eye to the wrong-doing of their chums makes them as guilty as the perpetrators. At the moment we should concentrate on the great evil being done to Maurice Kirk. .

My earlier message is below: Below that are email addresses for MPs & Peers, the so-called 'News Media', NHS people, Dept of Health people, Ombudsmen et al. Please send your brief message to all. (sorry if the email addresses are spread about, but my internet skills are limited).

----- Original Message -----

From: norman scarth

Sent: Friday, November 27, 2009 10:51 PM

Subject: A scoundrel Chief Executive, & a Good Doctor (hopefully).

My simple request to Alan Yates, Chief Executive of Ashworth High Security Hospital, Liverpool, brought not even acknowledgement to me. However it would appear he instigated a very sinister investigation into me, my history & my life, eventually emailing, & then telephoning another NHS employee, hoping to get her co-operation to drag me into a Nut-house. Fortunately, she is a very good friend of mine, & told me of it. As said, it is very sinister, & suggests that Yates is himself paranoid. Or, quite possibly he is well aware of the misuse of psychiatry in his establishment, & has a genuine fear of being found out. Though I was initially shocked, his reaction was really no surprise, knowing as a do what goes on in Police State Britain.

However, there was a pleasant surprise to get a better response to my letters to Dr. Edward Silva. A letter arrived from him today, saying, quite rightly, that he could not discuss Maurice Kirk with me. However, he did invite me to set out my thoughts, & send them to him. This I have done, in the attachment.

Norman Scarth.

NEWS MEDIA

copy@pa.press.net ; jon.snow@itn.co.uk , ian.rumsey@itn.co.uk
; t.davies@independent.co.uk ; s.kelner@independent.co.uk ;
a.grice@independent.co.uk ; editor@medialens.org ; bh@bbc.co.uk ;
james.reynolds@bbc.co.uk , james.naughtie@bbc.co.uk , sarah.montague@bbc.co.uk
; andrew.marr@bbc.co.uk , john.Humphrys@bbc.co.uk , today@bbc.co.uk
; sebastian.cottam@bbc.co.uk ; geoff.love@bbc.co.uk ;
steve.herrmann@bbc.co.uk ; paul.horrocks@men-news.co.uk ; newsdesk@men-news.co.uk ; yp.editor@ypn.co.uk ;
kimgold@btinternet.com ; elaine.jinks@halifaxcourier.co.uk ; chris.benfield@ypn.co.uk
; news@channel4.co.uk ;
news@the-sun.co.uk ; news@timesonline.co.uk ; newsletter@info.which.co.uk
; news@northeast-press.co.uk ;
news@sky.com ; newsdesk@bradford.newsquest.co.uk ; newsdesk@ycp.co.uk
; newsdesk@yrnltd.co.uk ;
comments@observer.com ; newsdesk@notw.co.uk ;
sundayexpressnews@express.co.uk ;
mailbox@mirror.co.uk ; sunday.editor@telegraph.co.uk ;
stobes@private-eye.co.uk ; chris.fay@nne.co.uk ;
chris.lloyd@nne.co.uk ; chrispage@selbytoday.co.uk ;

comment.is.free@guardian.co.uk ; echo.news@northeast-press.co.uk ; echo@nne.co.uk ; isavage@burytimes.co.uk ; inl.newsdesk@ncjmedia.co.uk ; letters@independent.co.uk ; letters@thetimes.co.uk ; macer.hall@express.co.uk ; margaret.parsons@eastlancsnews.co.uk ; sundaymercury@mrn.co.uk ; 52.

NHS & Health people

communications@merseycare.nhs.uk ; complaints@merseycare.nhs.uk ; dhmail@dh.gsi.gov.uk ; complaints@ombudsman-wales.org.uk ; enquiries@cqc.org.uk ; s.conway@welshdiv.rcpsych.ac.uk ; efox@rcpsych.ac.uk ; sblake@rcpsych.ac.uk ; gmcwales@gmc-uk.org ; practice@gmc-uk.org ; standards@gmc-uk.org ; customerservicemanager@gmc-uk.org ; susan.bailey@abm-tr.wales.nhs.uk ; paul.batcup@abm-tr.wales.nhs.uk ; ask@ombudsman-wales.org.uk ; ; office@bridgendchc.org.uk ; thepeoplewhocare@userfriendlygroup.com ; phso.enquiries@ombudsman.org.uk ; 18

MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT & PEERS: Don't let them get away with the usual cop-out, "IT IS A STRICT PARLIAMENTARY CONVENTION ...". This is of the greatest importance to ALL constituents of ALL MPs!

camerond@parliament.uk ; cawseyi@parliament.uk ; clarkek@parliament.uk ; clarkg@parliament.uk ; cleggn@parliament.uk ; cryera@parliament.uk ; daviesp@parliament.uk ; daviesp@parliament.uk ; duncana@parliament.uk ; fitzpatrickj@parliament.uk ; forstermike@parliament.uk ; foxl@parliament.uk ; francoism@parliament.uk ; press@respectcoalition.org ; govem@parliament.uk ; gillanc@parliament.uk ; graylingc@parliament.uk ; greend@parliament.uk ; greenp@parliament.uk ; grieved@parliament.uk ; hainp@parliament.uk ; haguew@parliament.uk ; hammondp@parliament.uk ; hansond@parliament.uk ; hemmingj@parliament.uk ; hendryc@parliament.uk ; herbertn@parliament.uk ; huntj@parliament.uk ; jacksoncat@parliament.uk ; ionessj@parliament.uk ; lansleya@parliament.uk ; lansleya@parliament.uk ; letwino@parliament.uk ; luffpj@parliament.uk ; mcleanc@parliament.uk ; mcnultyt@parliament.uk ; marshallandrewsr@parliament.uk ; maudef@parliament.uk ; mayt@parliament.uk ; mcloughlinp@parliament.uk ; mitchella@parliament.uk ; mundelld@parliament.uk ; moonm@parliament.uk ; gagenk@parliament.uk ; patersono@parliament.uk ; earlofonslow@parliament.uk ; osborneg@parliament.uk ; brigg.goole@googlemail.com ; picklese@parliament.uk ; rapsonj@parliament.uk ; rooneyt@parliament.uk ; rowenp@parliament.uk ; sargeantk@parliament.uk ; saundersam@parliament.uk ; shappsg@parliament.uk ; sheridanje@parliament.uk ; longthorna@parliament.uk ; smithj@parliament.uk ; spelmanc@parliament.uk ; sucklingl@parliament.uk ; strathclydet@parliament.uk ; sutcliffeg@parliament.uk ; tongej@parliament.uk ; tongeuk@mac.com ; webstera@parliament.uk ; vanderstraatena@parliament.uk ; villierst@parliament.uk ; warsis@parliament.uk ; willettsd@parliament.uk ;

williamskj@parliament.uk ; williamsv@parliament.uk ;
wyattd@parliament.uk ; yallopa@parliament.uk ;
youngg@parliament.uk ; youngsr@parliament.uk ;

76.

Kirk-091127-Silver.doc

83K View Download

25th November '09

The video link 'hearing' in Cardiff Magistrates' Court (when Maurice is prosecuting South Wales Police), is on Friday 27th at 2pm in Court 6. It is open to the public. Maurice will obviously be in great difficulty, trying to prosecute from a screen, with no access to his documents.

Below are two letters which Maurice has sent, one to the GMC, one to Dr. Silva.

NS.

BM 8473 Kirk
HM Prison
Cardiff
CF23 0UG

13 November 2009

Secretary
General Medical Council
Regent's Place
350 Euston Road
London NW1 3JN

Dear Sir

REFUSED MEDICAL ATTENTION

I have been reluctant to complain but following further misconduct by The Recorder of Cardiff this morning, playing his usual game of local politics, I make a complaint against whoever else is responsible for failing to release my medical records in order to obtain a second opinion.

I have suffered severe headaches, tinnitus and symptoms of a low grade infection. My hearing has also significantly deteriorated since I was subjected to a purported Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography SPECT scan in August, clearly against my will, at the Princess of Wales Hospital, Bridgend, South Wales.

My Member of Parliament, Mr John Smith, specifically wrote to the Ministry of Justice on receipt of my wife's 15 July 09 letter, I came to know about many months later.

Her (and my) enclosed letters clearly influenced my sudden Section 35, under the 1983 Mental Health Act, a few days later and my terrifying experiences that have now affected my family for life, whilst incarcerated in HM Caswell Clinic Secure Psychiatric Hospital.

I am entitled to my own medical records and appropriate medical care irrespective of the incestuous little world of bitterness and greed within the South Wales privileged few.

Names of doctors responsible are not all on my website yet, as so many have been caught

up in the collateral damage whilst attempting to just do their job.

Yours faithfully
Maurice Kirk

Copy to: John Smith MP, www.kirkflyingvet.com

Maurice Kirk
HM Prison
Cardiff
CF 24 0UG

14 November 2009
Dr Edward Silva
Ashworth Hospital
Mersey Care NHS
Maghull
Liverpool
L31 1HW

Dear Sir

MY 11TH PSYCHIATRIC REPORT

My apologies for the brief 'examination' through my cell door but no one gave me proper notice of your identity, arrival or purpose of visit. I believe you were someone I could trust.

It is still a mystery to some as to just where you feature, as you are my 11th psychiatrist while the Cardiff Crown Court continue to refuse to release to me those reports from:

Texas State Psychiatric Hospital – May 2008
Fixed Threat Assessment Centre, Whitehall, January 2009
Princess of Wales Hospital Bridgend, April 2009
Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University NHS Trust, June 2009
Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements Meetings, June – November 2009
ABM Caswell Clinic, Bridgend, August – October 2009
Whitchurch Mental Hospital, Cardiff, October 2009
12th Psychiatric Report requiring no examination or consent etc etc.

His Honour Judge Cooke went so far as to spring a court hearing on me at 9.30am on 13 November with just 1 hour 35 minutes warning, to enjoy his repeated refusals to disclose the previous psychiatric reports favourable to me, for the purpose of being 'fit to plead', or CPS disclosure.

His Honour uttered the usual court 'niceties' such as a 'free transcript' and 'legal aid' knowing full well he was lying again, especially when he again refused to seize the Lewis Machine Gun, before the police further tampered with it, refused me a couple of tablets for a severe headache, or order the Crown Prosecution Service to provide evidence I was 'unemployed', of 'no fixed abode', would 'interfere' with witnesses or 'abscond', their reason for remand.

6 Judges and the CPS are attempting to withdraw the machine gun case now it has suc-

ceeded in well and truly screwing up my civil action against the South Wales Police in early January 2010.

Cooke et al, are now attempting an indeterminate prison sentence under IPP Emergency Procedure and 1964 Criminal Procedures (Sanity) Act so I may see you shortly in your High Security Lunatic Asylum.

Best regards
Maurice Kirk

PS I again ask for 'The Court Bundle' in the Cardiff Crown Court Brief.

23rd November '09

To John Smith, Vale of Glamorgan MP. Your failure to take any meaningful action to remedy the diabolical State Crime against your constituent Maurice Kirk amounts to collusion in the crime. Despite your poppy wearing sham, you also spit on the graves of all those who gave their lives in WW2

From Norman Scarth, WW2 veteran. Everything we fought against then is here now, though hidden behind a nauseating pretence of 'Democracy'. I may not live to see it but, as at Nuremberg, there will come a day of reckoning. (Added to the evil of Nazi Germany, we have that of Stalinist Russia, as being used against Maurice Kirk. See attachments.)

(Typed copy of a handwritten document)

From Maurice Kirk BM8473, Unconvicted prisoner,
Unrepresented,

F Wing, HM Prison Cardiff,
Knox Road, CARDIFF CF24 0UG

12th November 2009 (reached the typist 21st Nov.)

To Governor Sian West, HMP Cardiff. (Copy to John Smith MP)

REAL REASON FOR CUSTODY

Madam,

1) I have, for many years, been under South Wales Police covert surveillance, as a 'Threat'.

2) 'Fixated Threat Assessment Centre' (FTAC) & 'Multi-Agency Public Protection Arrangements' (MAPPA), both run by the police, have disclosed their apparent paranoia, (delusional I suggest), by leaks of internal memos, not unlike those already from HM Attorney General's minions, now also in my possession, to certify me as a 'Vexatious Litigant'.

3) My 11th psychiatrist gained access to my cell last week, except he was not acting for me! It turned out he was acting for the court for an 'Indeterminate Prison Sentence Assessment'.

4) Neither HMP Cardiff nor HM Caswell Clinic will release my medical records, in order for me to employ my own doctor in rebuttal (post from the clinic was tampered with).

5) Your staff refuse to locate HM Prison evidence as to why I am classified as 'HIGH RISK' (in red), or your own records. Why?

6) Six Cardiff judges have not lifted a finger to expedite my Crown Court trial. It would seem they are anxious to delay it. I wonder why?

M.Kirk.

4 attachments — Download all attachments

Kirk-091111-OJC.doc

74K View Download

Bloch-090130-Petition.doc
30K View Download
DSM-IV-060728.doc
31K View Download
Andy-Cmprsd-080810.doc
639K View Download

1st November '09

To the Office for Judicial Complaints.

OJC ref. no. 6914/2009

Dear Anna Scranney, Please ensure that this is seen by your superiors.

In my last email (30 Oct. 09) I put forward two possibilities, one of them that Judge Cooke may have been misled by Dr. Tegwyn Williams. As an observer at the grossly improper court hearing on 1st October 2009 I would say emphatically that the opposite is true: i.e. Dr. Williams knew what Judge Cooke expected of him, did his best to oblige, but has now had an attack of conscience, & reversed his diagnosis.

No lawyer dare accuse a judge of misconduct - it would be professional suicide! Only the Litigant In Person has the courage (or is foolish enough) to do so. Regrettably, any judge so challenged will use the same tactics as Stalin did with anyone who failed to support him 100%. Those who escaped a bullet in the back of the head were put in 'Mental Hospitals': 'Obviously, if they disagreed with Stalin (be it ever so mildly), they must be mentally ill' (!!!?) That was in the Soviet Union, & it was denounced by we in the West as inhuman. To the great shame of this nation, it has become the practice in Britain (see extracts from 'Russia's Political Hospitals', attached), used against those who do not accept that judges are Gods, incapable of sin (i.e. bias). The invariable reaction from the judge is to order a psychiatric examination. Conscious of the power of a judge, the psychiatrist (also employed by The State) almost always gives the diagnosis he knows is expected of him. Rarely (if ever) does the psychiatrist have an attack of conscience, as with Dr. Williams.

The name of your department gives the impression that your reason for existence is to investigate complaints against judges, & take appropriate action. Your response to this one will show whether 'appropriate action' means serving the interests of those with power, rather than serving those who have suffered from the abuse of power.

Present indications are that there are but two laws in Britain: one to protect the powerful, & one to oppress those who dare to challenge them, all 'complaints procedures' being designed to send the complainant running around in circles until his brain becomes curdled, or s/he gives up in disgust. It matters little to me at my age, but for the sake of the nation I hope you will prove me wrong.

Norman Scarth.

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: anna.scranney@ojc.gsi.gov.uk

CC: Various

Subject: A crime worse than murder: A punishment worse than the gallows.

Date: Fri, 30 Oct 2009 14:36:12 +0000

Dear Anna Scranney,

Re. Complaint against HH Judge Cooke. There IS a crime worse than murder, a punishment worse than the gallows. It is to put a sane person in a 'madhouse' (the word used by Solzhenitsyn - who had experience of them!) Here we call them 'mental hospitals' ('A rose by any other name would smell as sweet!') The name matters little. Only a person with exceptional mental strength will survive such treatment without becoming mentally ill, sane though s/he may have been to begin with. If such treatment can be inflicted on a sane, but

tiresome whistle-blower, it can be inflicted on anyone! Think of it happening to you or yours - forcible medication to destroy your brain! The General Medical Council refused to believe the brave woman doctor who tried to tell them of the activities of Harold Shipman. And so he was able to claim many more victims. You should be aware that Dr. Tegwyn Williams has now altered his diagnosis, & Maurice Kirk is back in prison, on remand for a trumped-up charge. Judge Cooke may have been misled by Tegwyn Williams. OR, there may have been tacit collusion between them, he being as anxious as South Wales Police that Maurice Kirk be silenced

Sincerely,

Norman Scarth.

Subject: Re: Your complaint, ref. no. 6914/2009

Date: Fri, 30 Oct 2009 07:57:49 +0000

From: Anna.Scranney@ojc.gsi.gov.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Dear Mr Scarth

Please see enclosed letter.

<<Letter to Mr Scarth - ref. no. 6914 - 30 October 2009.doc>>

Yours sincerely

Anna Scranney

Office for Judicial Complaints

10th Floor, Tower, 10.52

102 Petty France

London SW1H 9AJ

Tel. 020 3334 2519

Fax. 020 33342541

Email: anna.scranney@ojc.gsi.gov.uk

OCTOBER 2009

30th October '09

Dear Anna Scranney,

Re. Complaint against HH Judge Cooke. There IS a crime worse than murder, a punishment worse than the gallows. It is to put a sane person in a 'madhouse' (the word used by Solzhenitsyn - who had experience of them!) Here we call them 'mental hospitals' ('A rose by any other name would smell as sweet!') The name matters little. Only a person with exceptional mental strength will survive such treatment without becoming mentally ill, sane though s/he may have been to begin with. If such treatment can be inflicted on a sane, but tiresome whistle-blower, it can be inflicted on anyone! Think of it happening to you or yours - forcible medication to destroy your brain! The General Medical Council refused to believe the brave woman doctor who tried to tell them of the activities of Harold Shipman. And so he was able to claim many more victims. You should be aware that Dr. Tegwyn Williams has now altered his diagnosis, & Maurice Kirk is back in prison, on remand for a trumped-up charge. Judge Cooke may have been misled by Tegwyn Williams. OR, there may have been tacit collusion between them, he being as anxious as South Wales Police that Maurice Kirk be silenced

Sincerely,

Norman Scarth

Subject: Re: Your complaint, ref. no. 6914/2009

Date: Fri, 30 Oct 2009 07:57:49 +0000

From: Anna.Scranney@ojc.gsi.gov.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Dear Mr Scarth

Please see enclosed letter.

<<Letter to Mr Scarth - ref. no. 6914 - 30 October 2009.doc>>

Yours sincerely

Anna Scranney

Office for Judicial Complaints

10th Floor, Tower, 10.52

102 Petty France

London SW1H 9AJ

Tel. 020 3334 2519

Fax. 020 33342541

Email: anna.scranney@ojc.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Re: Your complaint, ref. no. 6914/2009

Date: Fri, 30 Oct 2009 07:57:49 +0000

From: Anna.Scranney@ojc.gsi.gov.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Dear Mr Scarth

Please see enclosed letter.

<<Letter to Mr Scarth - ref. no. 6914 - 30 October 2009.doc>>

Yours sincerely

Anna Scranney

Office for Judicial Complaints

10th Floor, Tower, 10.52

102 Petty France

London SW1H 9AJ

Tel. 020 3334 2519

Fax. 020 33342541

Email: anna.scranney@ojc.gsi.gov.uk

29th October '09

To Dr. Tegwyn Willaims, Caswell Clinic, Glanrhydd Hospital, Tondur Road, BRIDGEND CF31 4LN. T: 01656 753 036. Email: karina.sansom@bromor-tr.wales.nhs.uk

Dear Dr. Williams,

1). Under the Freedom of Information Act, I ask that you inform me what communication there has been between yourself & the General Medical Council, since my complaint to that body.

2). The GMC have told me that my complaints against you should be made to your employers. Though the complaints should certainly be addressed by the GMC, they are perhaps right in saying that your employers should also be involved. Could you please give me the name & contact details (including email address) for your employers.

3). You have published words saying explicitly that I have made threats against you. This is highly defamatory, & I ask you to withdraw all such accusations. Should you fail to do so, I hereby give notice that I may take action through the courts, without further notice. Note: With the current postal situation, I ask that communications from all concerned be by email.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours faithfully,

Norman Scarth, 36 Anvil Court Chambers, BRADFORD BD8 7QW.

28th October '09

To: Siobhan Stilwell, Investigation Officer, Standards and Fitness to Practise Directorate, General Medical Council.
Cc Ombudsman-Wales. Ref. 200901327, & to Baroness Young, Head of the Care Quality Commission.

Dear Siobhan Stilwell,
Re. GMC Complaint: E1-4TY0IV. Professional Incompetence &/or Criminal Misconduct of Dr. Tegwyn Williams.

Your conclusion that this relates only to my "... disagreement with Dr Williams' ..." is a nonsense.

First, I make a request under the Freedom of Information Act. Could you please inform me what communication there has been between yourselves & Dr. Williams since you first received my complaint. I suspect that you & he have agreed that he should change his diagnosis, that he should not oppose Maurice Kirk's release from Caswell Clinic, or seek to have him removed to a High Security Unit. I suspect you & he hoped this would remove cause for complaint. It does NOT. A perfectly sane man has spent three months in a Mental Hospital, treatment which is, according to Nobel Laureate Alekzandr Solzhenitsyn, "Worse than the gas chambers". Perhaps a little exaggerated in this case, but it IS a terrifying experience.

Your suggestion that this "can best be considered at a local level, by the doctor's employer" is an astonishing cop-out.

I suggest that you at the GMC have misdirected yourself on the matter totally. The attached document is the current case law, & shows clearly that Dr. Tegwyn's conduct is capable of being classed as misconduct.

The complaint is about:

1. failure to properly assess the patient with a view to his clinical condition.
2. breach of the expert witness GMC guidance.

I suggest that this warrants a thorough investigation, & urge you to instigate such a one, without delay.

Yours sincerely,
Norman Scarth.

Subject: GMC Complaint: E1-4TY0IV
Date: Mon, 26 Oct 2009 13:57:43 +0000
From: SStilwell@gmc-uk.org
To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Dear Mr Scarth

Thank you for your emails of 20 and 26 October 2009 about Dr Williams.

Our Fitness to Practise procedures focus on the most serious concerns, which call into question a doctor's fitness to practise and right to retain unrestricted registration – that is his or her right to work. In many cases, concerns about a doctor can best be considered at a local level, by the doctor's employer.

We have carefully considered the information you provided and understand your reasons for writing to us. However, we have decided that this is not a matter that justifies action

under our procedures.

Your complaint seems to be solely about your disagreement with Dr Williams' conclusions in the medical report you refer to. Although we recognise your dissatisfaction with the report, from the information available there is no evidence to suggest that the doctor has acted unreasonably or improperly in preparing the report. We are therefore unable to take action on your complaint.

I enclose a factsheet that explains more about our decision.

Yours sincerely

Siobhan Stilwell
Investigation Officer
Standards and Fitness to Practise Directorate
General Medical Council
5th floor
St James' Buildings
79 Oxford Street
Manchester
M1 6FQ

Direct Dial: 0161 923 6488

Fax: 0161 923 6578

Email: ss Stilwell@gmc-uk.org

Unless otherwise expressly agreed by the sender of this email, this communication may contain privileged or confidential information which is exempt from disclosure under UK law. This email and its attachments may not be used or disclosed except for the purpose for which it has been sent.

If you are not the addressee or have received this email in error, please do not read, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on it or any attachments. Instead, please email the sender and then immediately delete it.

General Medical Council

St James Building, 79 Oxford Street, Manchester, M1 6FQ

Regents Place, 350 Euston Road, London, NW1 3JN

The Tun, 4 Jacksons Entry, Holyrood Road, Edinburgh, EH8 8AE

Regus House, Falcon Drive, Cardiff Bay, CF10 4RU

9th Floor, Bedford House, 16-22 Bedford Street, Belfast, BT2 7FD

The GMC is a charity registered in England and Wales (1089278) and Scotland (SC037750)

Pal-v-GMC.doc

100K View Download

28th October '09

See attachment.

From U No Hoo.

Date: Wed, 28 Oct 2009 08:56:06 +0000

From:

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

CC: feldmeier@btinternet.com; captainalexkirk@hotmail.com; anniecarey@supanet.com; meirionbowen@btopenworld.com; cm_white@hotmail.co.uk; in-destructable_iron_monkey@hotmail.com; ckellers41@yahoo.co.uk; bert1701@hotmail.co.uk; patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk; editor@nhs-exposed.com; catchthesun2@yahoo.co.uk; newinningalway@yahoo.co.uk; werrenasthall@aol.com; m.kellett@tiscali.co.uk; fame_97@hotmail.com; cp014d2774@blueyonder.co.uk

Subject: Re: Respect (if deserved) yes, bowing & grovelling no.

Norman,

Your bias is perfectly reasonable because you have expressed EXACTLY what the situation is IN TRUTH.

That is exactly what is happening, and the reason is because these shysters have so much to lose.

They do not contribute to our civilisation one iota in actual fact.

They are nothing more than parasites that have self-created niches for themselves, over centuries, and by stealth.

For the simple reason that can do nothing contributory. They can't build houses, plumb in Central Heating Systems, or anything actually useful.

They are exactly the same as Politicians.

Never forget: However many "X"s an MP gets, before he can rake a seat in the HoC he must swear allegiance to the Queen ... NOT THE POOR SUCKERS WHO TROOPED DOWN TO THE POLLING BOOTHS IN THE RAIN! Henceforth he will be doing "What the Queen wants" ... not, necessarily, what his Constituents want.

That's the British System. That's our Constitutional Monarchy. And that's what the Shysters unquestionably support.

The answer to all this was spelled out in the Magna Carta 1215. It was called "Lawful Rebellion". It contains the blueprint for ridding us of this tyranny.

Best,

Veronica

norman scarth wrote:

You put it very well Nadia. I understand the female judge on 26th October was Eleri Rees. In January 2001 Lord Woolf (then LCJ) gave a lecture at University College London, during which he said, "We should no longer show excessive deference to doctors, as we have in the past". Time to stop showing 'excessive deference' to jumped up shysters! The so-called 'News Media' leads the populace in worshipping them as Gods. Note the hallowed tones of BBC types as they refer to 'A High Court judge'. Respect, yes (if they deserve it!), but bowing & grovelling - DEFINITELY NOT! 'LAW' is mostly very simple, it is the shysters who love to make it complicated.

Pressuring a litigant to be represented by a lawyer is EXACTLY the same as Al Capone or the Kray twins 'inviting' a business man to purchase 'Fire Insurance' or 'Security Services' from them. Decline the 'invitation', & there will certainly BE a fire, or a 'security problem'!

So great is the hatred of the professionals for the Litigant In Person, a lawyer who takes on someone who has previously been a LIP will only do so to stitch him up.

Perhaps I am a little biased? Please tell me if so.

Norman Scarth

PS: Lord Woolf (then Master of the Rolls) was playing 'Mr. Nice Guy' when I appeared before him in the Civil Appeal Court in 1999. My case was red hot, & Lord Woolf said, "I see points in your case which are important, not only for you, but for other Litigants In Per-

son". He said he would recommend that the 'Pro Bono Unit' should take on the case for me. I thanked him but declined. From then on one could see clenched teeth behind the 'friendly smile'. Later I told him, LJ Otton & LJ Ward, "Her Majesty the Queen, whose courts these are, is badly served by the shysters who now infest the judiciary". Large newspaper headlines said, "NORMAN OUSTS TOP JUDGE". You don't do that & get away with it! Just 6 weeks later came the first potentially lethal terror attack by a mob-handed gang of West Yorkshire Police - being used as a Private Army!

'We live in interesting times'.

PPS: Thomas Fuller (1608-1661) (Chaplain Extra-ordinaire to Charles II) said: "Be you never so high, the law is above you". Quoted by the 'great' (?) Lord Denning when giving judgement against the Attorney General. A much greater authority said, "Be you never so low, the lawyer is below you". NS.

Date: Wed, 28 Oct 2009 02:11:09 +0000

From: feldmeier@btinternet.com

Subject: RE: Re;CROWN Court Sends Maurice back Cardiff Prison!!...

To: daviddegrothier1@yahoo.com; enscarth@hotmail.com; captainalexkirk@hotmail.com; anniecarey@supanet.com; meirionbowen@btopenworld.com; cm_white@hotmail.co.uk; indestructable_iron_monkey@hotmail.com; ckellers41@yahoo.co.uk; bert1701@hotmail.co.uk; patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk; gov-crime-xpsd@live.co.uk; editor@nhs-exposed.com; catchthesun2@yahoo.co.uk; steven@hamilton23.fsnet.co.uk; newinngalway@yahoo.co.uk; werrenasthall@aol.com; m.kellett@tiscali.co.uk; fame_97@hotmail.com

Dear Paul

Re;"I am sure the judge was trying to be helpful in advising him to get counsel to represent him etc

How is blackmailing Maurice's into handing over his unalienable rights without a fight helpful?...

Maurice knows the value of PRO SE v REPRESENTATION

He knows an officer of the court will fight against him!.

Lawyer will not make certain crucial arguments for him!

He is master of the facts!

The case is complex by virtue of all the data/facts over seventeen years etc and a lawyer is only going to understand part & remember part of it.

There are things one forgets to tell the lawyer and s/he can't read your mind...(Maurice knows it all that gives him an advantage)

Speaking truth to power in the courtroom is itself an important form of activism!

Re;"He seems to think the judge was blackmailing him"

Maurice doesn't 'think' he knows.

Unscrupulous lawyers routinely use blackmail!!...

She was blackmailing him!

She is a soulless corporate legal fiction draconian bully playing a sinister false & misleading role".....only interested in getting paid!

She NEEDS Maurice to accept Counsel as the court will be operating 'DE JU-RE'.....(always embarrassing for them (administrators) when Maurice many times has capably shown, public servants/Crown Prosecution's perjury etc etc in front of a JURY of his

peers!)

How much better to have him remain silent for the most part if he is represented!!..

Right now the Crown (DE FACTO) has no power over Maurice (without his CONSENT) (since the judiciary in a court DE Facto derives all it's power from colour-of-law/statutes.

This judge (can we find out her name?) showed her narrow legal mind.ie usage of cliches such as, "A man who has himself for his own counsel is a fool" etc etc

More like:

[A MAN WHO IS REPRESENTED BY A FOOL,IS A FOOL!!]

Re;"The problem with litigants who represent themselves is that (A) they say too much to quickly for the judge to comprehend (B)They rarely tell the judge what to do".

No hes equally paced!.....one only has to listen to him in court and he is the one asking the judge if he can finish what he is saying as they interrupt him....and he directs as much as he can.

Re;"We know the system is corrupt but how do we change it?"

We must keep speaking out!..

If YOU see an injustice & say nothing,you have taken the side of the oppressor.....

Judges are NOT royalty & should NOT be treated as nobility!

Addressing them as "YOUR HONOUR" and to stand when they enter the court room are all acts of acknowledging them as nobility.

We must adress them politey (Sir, Madam etc) only!, we must stop treating them as a Judicial Monarchy!

Spend the whole time claiming your rights!...

Will you be able to coordinate more help for the 4th December as it has been poor?...
Nadia

--- On Tue, 27/10/09, Paul Talbot-Jenkins <fame_97@hotmail.com> wrote:

From: Paul Talbot-Jenkins <fame_97@hotmail.com>

Subject: RE: Re;CROWN Court Sends Maurice back Cardiff Prison!!..

To: daviddegrothier1@yahoo.com, "norman scarth" <enscarth@hotmail.com>, "NEW 251009" <captainalexkirk@hotmail.com>, anniecarey@supanet.com, "MEIRION BOWEN" <meirionbowen@btopenworld.com>, cm_white@hotmail.co.uk, indestructable_iron_monkey@hotmail.com, ckellers41@yahoo.co.uk, bert1701@hotmail.co.uk, patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk, gov-crime-xpsd@live.co.uk, editor@nhs-exposed.com, catchthesun2@yahoo.co.uk, steven@hamilton23.fsnet.co.uk, "William Finnerty" <newinngalway@yahoo.co.uk>, "NEW 251009" <werrenasthall@aol.com>, "Maurice Kellett" <m.kellett@tiscali.co.uk>, "nadia" <feldmeier@btinternet.com>

Date: Tuesday, 27 October, 2009, 12:12 PM

Hi David,

Myself and Frank Werring were allowed to go and talk with Maurice in the court cell, we

had an hour 20 minutes with him. I am sure the judge was trying to be helpful to Maurice in advising him to get counsel to represent him in a bail hearing, he seems to think the judge is blackmailing him, but he should now consider his position and regain his liberty before the trial over the machine gun, he will then have time to organise his defence. the problem with Litigants who represent themselves is usually that A) they say too much too quickly for the judge to comprehend and B) they rarely tell the judge what they want him/her to do. We know the system is corrupt, but it is the only one we have right now. How do we change it? It has taken thousands of years to get this far - we don't hang or crucify people anymore, but lingering litigation and fighting the corruption is as bad a crucifixion and worse in that it last a damn sight longer.

The UN has issued an update to the Human Rights Charter to stop impunity which means that judges even can be prosecuted.

But whether this country will be bound by it is anybodies guess no less than the non ratification of Articles 1 and 13. You have seen in the media how THEY have tried to discredit the military chiefs for speaking out about equipment, lack of man power and the very othos of waging war in Iraq and Afghanistan So how small a voice is ours? Evelyn Waugh wrote in his book "Robbery Under the Law" -"Given propitious circumstances,men and women who otherwise appear quite orderly, will commit every conceivable kind of atrocity". It is only by the opinion and desire of the people that such people are not given "propitious circumstances". It seems nowadays that the media, both news, documentary and entertainment are being used to reduce the opinions and desires of the people to a level of "every conceivable atrocity". Perhaps it is just an evolutionary chain that cannot be broken. It is said that "Power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely" look at history - do we ever learn? And why should a banker be paid anymore that say a designer or engineer at Rolls Royce, who has the greater responsibility? The aero engine designer and engineer has the responsibility that the failure of his product will cause people to loose their lives and loss of faith in his company's products. The banker has nothing to loose, he is playing with money, other peoples money and really doesn't give a damn if pensions go belly up or peoples expectations of retirement are never met.

And what of these judges? If a judge gives a verdict against one side that has all the evidence in their favour just because it is the opposite sides barrister's turn to have a win how does the judge feel about the victims who have lost? I dare say he will be congratulating the winner over a whiskey or two in the pub opposite the RCJ.

You are right, we should not be giving these crooks the means by which to impoverish us and our civilisation, but who would agree to a blanket boycott of the courts and the legal services industry? Such a boycott would bring the legal system to its knees and deny it funding as well as security of jobs. I heard it once mentioned that a solicitor's salary was based upon his age, £1000 for every year, so a 50 year old solicitor is paid £50k, that was back in the 70s it is probably ten times that now!

atb Paul @fame97

Date: Tue, 27 Oct 2009 04:13:36 -0700

From: daviddegrothier1@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Re;CROWN Court Sends Maurice back Cardiff Prison!!...

To: enscarth@hotmail.com; captainalexkirk@hotmail.com; anniecarey@supanet.com; meirionbowen@btopenworld.com; cm_white@hotmail.co.uk; indestructable_iron_monkey@hotmail.com; ckellers41@yahoo.co.uk; bert1701@hotmail.co.uk; patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk; gov-crime-xpsd@live.co.uk; editor@nhs-exposed.com; catchthesun2@yahoo.co.uk; steven@hamilton23.fsnet.co.uk; newinngalway@yahoo.co.uk; werrenasthall@aol.com; fame_97@hotmail.com; m.kellett@tiscali.co.uk; feldmeier@btinternet.com

Hello Nadia I trust you are well I do sincerely feel for Maurice. However and I am well aware the people don't like to be told...I told you so...but I have been warning you all for over 30 years of the corrupt state of so called justice in the UK. People it seems don't want to listen until that is it hits them.

Until people take back their sovereignty and the power for a just administration I am afraid those in power will continue to be those in power and the people will continue to get what their indolence towards these issues dictate that they will. Best David

=====

Nil Carborundum Illegitimi!

Life is easy, or should be, if we all do unto others as you would want then to do to you, and yours!

Perhaps in fact enough really is enough, and it is we who are the fools for allowing things to continually go from bad to worse.

We forget that the true power lays within the hands of people and that government can only govern through our consent and not the force of their oppressive rules(statute law). This is exactly how I feel:-

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=90ELleCQvew&feature=related>

We must demand:---Sustainable Security with dignity, THROUGH TOTAL ACCOUNTABILITY, for the benefit and welfare of all.

--- El lun, 26/10/09, feldmeier & feldmeier <feldmeier@btinternet.com> escribió:

De: feldmeier & feldmeier <feldmeier@btinternet.com>

Asunto: Re;CROWN Court Sends Maurice back Cardiff Prison!!...

Para: enscarth@hotmail.com, "Alex Kirk" <captainalexkirk@hotmail.com>, "Annie" <anniecarey@supanet.com>, "MEIRION BOWEN" <meirionbowen@btopenworld.com>, "Christopher White" <cm_white@hotmail.co.uk>, "Chris Grant" <indestructable_iron_monkey@hotmail.com>, "Carla Buckle" <ckellers41@yahoo.co.uk>, "rob taylor" <bert1701@hotmail.co.uk>, "Patrick Cullinane" <patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk>, "Scourge of Shysters" <gov-crime-xpsd@live.co.uk>, "Dr Rita Pal" <editor@nhs-exposed.com>, "STOP INJUSTICE NOW" <catchthesun2@yahoo.co.uk>, "Steven Hamilton" <steven@hamilton23.fsnet.co.uk>, "William Finnerty Galway Ire" <newinngalway@yahoo.co.uk>, "David Harding Thomas DeGrothier" <daviddegrothier1@yahoo.com>, werrenasthall@aol.com, fame_97@hotmail.com, m.kellett@tiscali.co.uk

Fecha: lunes, 26 octubre, 2009 7:43

Hi All....

Monday 26 October 2009

Crown Court, Court 1.

Female Judge (aka administrator) name (?) she said Maurice was in a "Precarious situation"

Maurice did really really well!!.....

Maurice conveyed to her the following...

Re;"New Evidence" (re;Brian Woodward etc)

Re;To hold him longer would break the law etc

Re;He vehemently requested to represent himself

Re;Prosecution have lied 15 times etc

Re;Prosecution using excuses to say there no ready to proceed when he is etc

Judge tried to use blackmail to get Maurice to agree to having Counsel,

Judge said Caswell clinic has now "PASSED" & Maurice will not have to go back there but back to Cardiff Prison.

Said,another opinion can be obtained by another doctor.....(Maurice said he doesnt want another opinion,he wants to defend himself now...etc

Judge said if he accepts counsel she can get on and fix the Trial by Jury date etc etc.....

Judge said, "A man who has himself for his own counsel is a fool".....(she wanted Maurice to agree to the Crowns terms period)

I said,"Nonsense"!.....

This is the SAME CROWN THAT TWICE INSISTED/ FORCED ME,A BEREAVED GRANDAUGHTER TO DEFEND MYSELF IN CARDIFF CROWN,WHERE I WAS SUBJECTED TO ABUSE DISCRIMINATION,HOMOPHOBIC ATTACKS,RASCISM ETC ETC (AND HAD NO EVEN HAD TIME TO PREPARE MY DEFENCE FROM THERE LYING ALLEGATIONS!!)

Which is it to be?.....why force me to defend myself when I pleaded to be permitted under article 6 the right to representation etc and insist that another Litigant In Person has counsel??!!..

[No one knows one case better than ones self!]

[The Crown KNOW a "barrister" will circumvent much of the facts etc whereas Maurice will do his best to give it light of day to a hopefully moral jury]

SHAME ON YOU CROWN!!

Maurice trial at Crown court is now December 4th 2009!....

The judge directed the security to get rid of me!!!,ie. "Remove that woman",she said!.....

I asked her,"On whos authority are you asking me to leave?"

"Do you have an Oath Office?"

"Where is it?"

One Security person came and moved my body to leave the court room.....

Only in a police state!!!....

Nadia

25th October '09

Dr. Nelson Borelli demolishes the "incoherent" Report by 'Dr.' Tegwyn Williams!

Date: Sat, 24 Oct 2009 14:10:34 -0700

From: fogartyc@att.net (CHICAGO JOURNALIST)

Subject: Fw: Psychiatric report on Maurice Kirk by Dr Tegwyn Williams.
To: enscarth@hotmail.com; patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk

Here are Psychiatrist Nelson Borelli's unofficial comments on the Crown psychiatrist's evaluation of Maurice Kirk.

Chris

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Nelson n-borelli@northwestern.edu CHICAGO PSYCHIATRIST.
To: Christopher Fogarty <fogartyc@att.net>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 5:32:43 AM
Subject: Re: Psychiatric report on Maurice Kirk by Dr Tegwyn Williams.

Chris

This report could be funny if was not so tragic: according to the author, one of the symptoms of Mr. Kirk's "mental illness" is his "poor writing."

It is sad to see that a presumably free, highly educated person such as Dr Tegwyn Williams, writes such a long, incoherent piece to be read in a court of law of Wales.

The doctor constructs a "brain damage" hypothetical and then the doctor transubstantiates the hypothesis into a fact that "causes Mr. Kirk's false beliefs."

God Save the Queen and the Prince of Wales.

Regards,
Nelson

----- Original Message -----

From: Christopher Fogarty
To: Nelson Borelli
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 11:41 AM
Subject: Fw: Psychiatric report on Maurice Kirk by Dr Tegwyn Williams.

Nelson:

This Maurice Kirk is yet another who seeks justice against a specific case of blatant judicial corruption in Britain. <SNIP> Here is the sinister report by a State psychiatrist being used to silence him.

Chris

----- Forwarded Message -----

From:
To: Various.
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 4:07:49 AM
Subject: RE: Psychiatric report on Maurice Kirk by Dr Tegwyn Williams.
AN EXTRACT FROM THE PSYCHIATRISTS REPORT ON MAURICE KIRK.
LEGAL.

"Paragraph 32. Maurice Kirk's history is complex, convoluted and difficult to understand. The clinical picture appears to be of a man who has always had a background of minor cognitive difficulties, (poor writing and spelling).. He developed a personality characterised by narcissism (abnormal sense of entitlement), grandiosity (believing that normal rules and regulations do not apply to him) and paranoia (believing he is the victim of persecution). He also shows evidence of poor judgement, impetuosity and a willingness to hold himself hostage by way of hunger strike in an attempt to manipulate his environment. Whilst these personality characteristics have undoubtedly overshadowed Maurice Kirk's life, and probably had a negative effect on his social and family functioning, they appear to have been

reasonably stable throughout his life. However, Maurice Kirk and the evidence both suggest that over the past two years his functioning has deteriorated and that his beliefs have become more intense and that his beliefs have become more intense and overwhelming and at times, to no others, and purely act normal. Maurice Kirk now shows clear evidence of some degree of neuro-cognitive damage (brain damage), probably as a result of a combination of normal ageing, previous heavy alcohol misuse and deceleration injuries following plane crashes. The specific area of brain damage affects his ability to monitor and control his behaviour, decreases self awareness, judgement and decision making abilities and have compounded his paranoid beliefs to the extent that when subjected to further stress, his beliefs intensify so that for periods they have a quality of paranoid delusional disorder (mental illness characterised by fixed false beliefs unamenable to reason of a paranoid nature).

“Paragraph 33. With regard to treatment neither Maurice Kirk’s underlying personalities or brain damage will respond to medical intervention. Due to the transient nature of his clearly abnormal beliefs, as opposed to his general paranoid view of the world, it is unlikely that medication will make any significant impact, though it is impossible to be certain. Appropriate medication has been offered to Maurice Kirk which he has refused.

“Paragraph 34. Clinically it is unclear whether Maurice Kirk’s brain damage is likely to progress. Should it be so his difficulties will become more marked and he will become more obviously disabled. Of particular concern is that this may well involve increasing impulsivity and poor judgement, features which are already apparent.

“Paragraph 35. With regard to risk, risk is always difficult to quantify especially in highly complex cases such as this and it is almost impossible to consider Maurice Kirk’s risk in isolation from those he encourages to act on his behalf. The risk of Maurice Kirk continuing his action against South Wales police and acting in a way that he feels justified to achieve his ends is high, but whether Maurice Kirk himself would be involved in inter personal violence is less, it cannot be discounted nor is the risk that others would act violently with his encouragement. If Maurice Kirk’s condition is progressive, these risks are likely to increase over time.”

CLINICAL.

“Paragraph 36. I have been asked to give my mind to the issue as to whether or not Maurice Kirk is fit to plead and stand trial. Maurice Kirk clearly understands the nature of the charge and the significance of the plea. However, due to Maurice Kirk’s mental disorder described above, specifically his brain damage and its relationship to self awareness, judgement, decision making, self regulation of behaviour and control of emotions, combined with difficulty in organising and sequencing information, his inability to filter out relevant information and his problems with attention and concentration, his overwhelming perception of himself as being a victim of persecution by the system, all of which are clearly evident in discussions with him concerning the alleged offence, he appears unable to address a specific legal and technical area of law necessary to appropriately conduct his defence.”

“Paragraph 37. Should Maurice Kirk be legally represented in court, I would consider him a fit person to stand trial as a legal representation would be able to focus on the relevant features.

“Paragraph 38. Maurice Kirk’s current clinical presentation is clearly causing major problems for the criminal justice system, though is not of a nature and degree to warrant compulsory treatment. He would, however, benefit from continued contact with mental health services to both monitor his condition and attempt to establish a relationship which would allow other treatment avenues to be explored.

“Paragraph 39. I am aware that my opinion will cause significant difficulties for the court.. I am also aware of the difficulties that the court has had gaining further psychiatric evidence which to a degree is due to Maurice Kirk’s perceived ability to intimidate and threaten

those who become involved in his case. Should Maurice Kirk request inpatient hospital treatment. I have concerns that a medium secure unit would not be able to provide the degree of procedural security necessary to maintain the safety of its staff, because of the confidentiality of other patients and the necessary security. Should the court wish a second opinion, they may wish to consider instructing a psychiatrist from a high security hospital to assess Maurice Kirk both as to treatment and the environment in which that treatment should take place. I stress that the requirements for conditions of specific security are purely as a result of Maurice Kirk's communications with the encouragement of others, rather than his clinical presentation.

"Paragraph 40. Maurice Kirk can return to court for any disposal that the court sees fit."

21st October '09

To Mr. Max Mulvaney, Administrative Support Officer, Office for Judicial Complaints, 10th Floor, The Tower,
102 Petty France, LONDON SW1A 9AJ
T: 0203 334 2524. F: 2541.

Dear Mr. Mulvaney, In my earlier message I mentioned the brave woman doctor (who sadly died young) threatened by the GMC that her own career could be in danger if she persisted in complaining about Harold Shipman. This 'closing of the ranks', & the determined refusal to accept that there could be such a person in the medical profession, enabled Shipman to continue killing. The closing of the ranks by the judiciary (& those who purport to deal with complaints about them), is even tighter than the medical profession, & their refusal to accept that there are rotten apples among them is much stronger. "Out of touch" is the only criticism allowed.

"Who will rid me of this turbulent priest?" said Henry II. Volunteers quickly stepped forward to silence Thomas à Beckett. A call has gone out from The Establishment "Who will silence this tiresome whistle-blower?" Judge Cooke & Dr. Tegwyn Williams have stepped forward to silence Maurice Kirk.

Like the brave woman doctor, your own career could undoubtedly be jeopardised if you take this complaint seriously, but I hope you might have conscience & courage enough to resist the pressure to dismiss it out of hand.

I ask that you appoint an independent observer to attend the hearing on 26th October.

Yours sincerely,

Norman Scarth.

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: Various.

Subject: Maurice; at the mercy of another Shipman (but more sadistic?)

Date: Tue, 20 Oct 2009 18:07:37 +0100

Maurice Kirk is due to appear in Cardiff Crown Court again on Monday 26th October 2009, listed for 10am, but check with the Court Listing Office on Friday (Tel: 02920 678 760). He has read out to me on the telephone from the 11 page 'Final Report' by 'Dr.' Tegwyn Williams. (Maurice tells me that he is not allowed to send copies to his lawyers or his family, by any means, letter, fax or email). He also tells me the Report contains at least 20 serious errors of fact.

Para. 39 includes the words, "Should the court wish a second opinion, they may wish to consider instructing a psychiatrist from a High Security Hospital to assess Maurice Kirk both as to treatment, & also in which environment that treatment should take place". Para. 40 says, "Maurice Kirk can return to court for any disposal that the court sees fit"

The idea that Maurice needs ANY treatment is preposterous. Harold Shipman was not the only criminal in the NHS.

From Norman Scarth.

'Any disposal that the court thinks fit'? 'Disposal'? 'Final Report' They are reminiscent of

the 'Final Solution'. Tegwyn Williams is a madman. NS.

20th October '09

To Bradford Councillors, MPs, & others.

Until the age of 73 I trusted the British Bobby, believing they were there to protect law-abiding citizens such as myself.

See the attachment, & you will understand why I am now worried at the sight of one, & in great fear when they come knocking at my door.

Norman Scarth.

LegInj-081006-MnghmPttn.doc

5179K View Download

19th October '09

('Vitaly important' to all MPs who are not corrupt - if any!)

Few of you will know that I was a candidate at the 1997 General Election as an 'Independent Old Age Pensioner'. The main point in my manifesto was my concern at the total LACK of concern by regular politicians (or the people!) at the ever increasing number of violent attacks on the old & vulnerable. Almost unheard of until the 1960s, they are now an everyday occurrence. That was also in my book 'CAUSE FOR CONCERN'.

Little did I think that two years later I would myself be victim of such attacks, NOT by burglars or muggers desperate for drug money, but by agents of The State itself! The horror of the next 7/8 years is told elsewhere.

Some of you will know of the 'Zimbabwean' treatment meted out to me when a candidate at the 2008 local elections, for the Anti-Crime Party. My Petition to have the result in Manningham Ward declared void on those grounds was heard on Bradford City Hall on 6/7th May 2009. The hatred of Deputy High Court Judge Richard Mawrey QC (a Jekyll & Hyde character) was palpable as he ruled against me, & ordered that I pay another £46,000 costs, on top of the £2,500 I had been forced to put down as security for costs. I am appealing against his outrageous decision, & that which follows concerns my serving Notice of Appeal on the First Respondent, Mohammad Amin, which I was required to do by law. Norman Scarth, WW2 Veteran.

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: All Bradford MDC Councillors.

Subject: Terrorism!

Date: Mon, 19 Oct 2009 01:34:00 +0100

This is not the 'Terrorism' we are all warned about, but the terrorising of one 84 year old man by West Yorkshire Police (in collusion with other departments of this Police State). It started on 8th August 1999, a couple of years after I stood for Parliament & the publication of my book 'CAUSE FOR CONCERN'. It was just 17 days after the European Court of Human Rights ruled that I had been a victim of a violation of Article 6, bringing a vital change in British law. The change is much hated by lawyers & judges, & I am hated for it. I leave out the horror story of the next 7 years & come to January 3rd(?) 2009, when I was assaulted, robbed & thrown to the ground. While on the ground I called for help to police who were only 25 yards away. They turned their backs. The response to my complaint about this was a letter (not immediately to hand) from WY Police dated February 2009, saying, "(A certain officer) was investigating, & that (the writer, an Inspector I believe)) would ensure a speedy response". Not a single word since! It appears that ANY-ONE has licence to commit crime against me.

Now to the events of Saturday 17th October 2009, as told in my previous email (below). I really was frightened (& I am NOT a timid man). I was recovering to some extent, but it appears that someone (Councillor Amin perhaps?) had decided to put the frighteners on - as if I hadn't had enough! At about 9.30pm this evening, Sunday 18th October, someone rang the buzzer of the sheltered housing where I live, saying they were The Police, & demanding that I press the button to open the door. I said I had no wish to speak to them, & told them to go away. So frightened was I, living alone, that I rang a friend who lives a couple of miles away, who said she would get a taxi & come immediately. It appears the 'frighteners' did obtain admittance in some way but, whether police or not, without a warrant they were trespassers. They knocked on the door of my flat, & asked to speak to me. I told them to go away, if they had anything to say, to put it in writing, & that if they wanted admittance they would have to use a battering ram. The male 'officer' - if that is what he was - spoke through the door, warning me about MY conduct the previous day. This was outrageous! To my great relief, the 'frighteners' then left, but I greatly appreciated the company of my friend, who stayed a couple of hours. Is there NOBODY to ensure my twilight years are free from fear?
Norman Scarth, (World War II veteran.)

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN - especially Bradford Councillors.

Is there something wrong with me, that I can attract such hatred? Is it something wrong with Labour supporters? We heard from Councillor Qasim Khan how the police had to be called during the 2008 election because Labour supporters were intimidating those attending the LibDem office. Is it something wrong with Muslims? Or is the whole world filled with hate? If it is me, would someone please tell me. See message to Councillor Amin, below:

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: cllr.mohammadamin@bradford.gov.uk

Subject: A frightening experience,

Date: Sat, 17 Oct 2009 19:08:54 +0100

To Councillor Mohammad Amin.

Dear Mr. Amin, On Friday 16th October 2009 I went to City Hall to deliver copies of an Appeal Notice to Mr. Anthony J. Reeves & yourself, as required by law. You not being there, I thought it best to deliver to your home, & knocked on the door about 5.30pm on Saturday. Two or three young men sitting in the bay window looked at me. I nodded to them pleasantly. One young man came to the door. I asked if you were at home, & he went as though to bring you. Instead, about 3 hostile, aggressive young men came, demanding to know what it was about. I told them I had an envelope to deliver, & asked that one of them should sign for it. One tried to grab envelope & receipt. Very worried, I tossed the envelope into the hallway & tried to retrieve my pen from the man that had it, but he kept hold. Though badly shaken, I told them what I thought of their behaviour, & hurried to the taxi I had waiting. One young man ran after me in most aggressive manner, & I was relieved to escape unharmed. This was NOT the treatment I expected when attending the home of a councillor, on a perfectly proper errand. I am puzzled as to why you did not come to the door yourself, but greatly alarmed to have met such hatred, hostility & aggression. Bad enough against anyone, but especially so when directed at an 84 year-old man.

From, a very worried Norman Scarth.

FOOTNOTE:

While a candidate at the 2007 Local Election (for the Anti-Crime Party) I had occasion to call at the Labour Party HQ in the Ward, the home of the Muslim Labour Lord Mayor. I was invited in & treated most cordially. Perfectly civilised behaviour in a country which

purports to be a democracy. I know Councillor Amin, have nothing against him personally, & rather expected he might act as the Lord Mayor had done, & invite me in, though with a taxi waiting I would have had to decline. It was a great shock to meet such hatred. I am not a timid man, but I truly was in fear (it was not wise of me to tell them what I thought of them). The taxi driver was appalled by the behaviour, but when I asked for his name (in case I needed a witness), he was afraid to give it. Not surprising - I see that Councillor Amin is on the taxi licensing panel!
Noman Scarth.

17th October '09

To The Public Service Ombudsman for Wales;

Addition to my message of 16th October 2009.

Though this complaint is about the ill-treatment of Maurice Kirk, it goes MUCH wider than that.

Responding to my original complaint, in her message of 5th October 2009 your Senior Administration Officer Claire James wrote,

"We have recently seen an unprecedented rise in the number of complaints we have received. As a result we are sorry to tell you that we may not be able to assess your complaint as quickly as we would want to and as you would expect."

In one respect this is frightening; in another it is heartening. It is frightening because it indicates (as many of us are only too well aware) that Britain is becoming even more of a Police State. It is heartening because it indicates that more people are finding the courage to challenge the abuse of power. Our hope now is that a new breed of Ombudsmen will emerge, who will eschew the whitewash job expected of them: That they will have conscience & courage enough to defy The State which appoints them & pays their salaries, & will expose the many abuses committed by those with power. In doing so they will, hopefully, avert the bloody revolution which otherwise will surely come, whether sooner or later. I sincerely hope you will NOT claim that this is a 'threat' from me (at the age of 84!), as Dr. Tegwyn Williams did because I reminded him of the warning by Lord Denning, an eminent judge of the second half of the 20th century.

Sincerely,
Norman Scarth.

<snip>

From: complaints@ombudsman-wales.org.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Date: Mon, 5 Oct 2009 11:35:20 +0100

Subject: RE: Complaint form - to complain about a public body

Dear Mr Scarth,

Reference: 200901327

Thank you for your complaint against the Caswell Clinic (Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board) which was submitted via our online system today. The complaint has been allocated reference 200901327 please use that reference in all contact with us.

<snip>

You state that you are making a complaint on behalf of Mr Maurice Kirk;

<snip>

We have recently seen an unprecedented rise in the number of complaints we have received. As a result we are sorry to tell you that we may not be able to assess your complaint as quickly as we would want to and as you would expect.

snip>

Please be assured we are working hard to keep delays to a minimum, and we are sorry for

any inconvenience this may cause.

<snip>.

Regards, Claire James, Senior Administration Officer

Public Services Ombudsman for Wales/Ombwdsmon Gwasanaethau Cyhoeddus Cymru
1 Ffordd yr Hen Gae
Pencoed
Bridgend/Pen-y-bont ar Ogwr
CF35 5LJ

Telephone/Ffôn: 0845 6010987 (local call rate)

Fax/Ffacs: 01656 641199

Email/Ebost: ask@ombudsman-wales.org.uk

From: Norman Scarth [mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com]

Sent: 05 October 2009 00:27

To: complaints

Subject: Complaint form - to complain about a public body

A complaint form has been submitted on the Ombudsman website. The following information was submitted:

A: Your details

Your name in full: Norman Scarth

Address and postcode:

36 Anvil Court Chambers, BRADFORD BD8 7QW

Your email: enscarth@hotmail.com

Daytime contact number: 01274 541213

B: Making a complaint on behalf of someone else: Their details

Their name in full: Maurice Kirk

Address and postcode:

Barry, South Wales

What is your relationship to them?

Friend.

Why are you making a complaint on their behalf?

He is being held captive, & subjected to ill-treatment.

C: About your complaint

Name of the public body you are complaining about:

Caswell Clinic, Bridgend.

What do you think this body did wrong, or failed to do?

Colluded with others to abduct Maurice Kirk, & hold him captive.

How have you suffered personally from this?

I escaped from similar treatment.

What do you think they should do to put things right?

Release Maurice Kirk immediately.

When did you first become aware of the problem?

June/July 2009

Have you already complained to the body concerned? If so, please give brief details of how and when you did so.

Yes. By email, September/October 2009

Do you have any documents to support your complaint? Please tick appropriate box below:

No

Regards,
Norman Scarth

16th October '09

To The Public Service Ombudsman for Wales;

Yesterday, 15th Oct. 2009 I posted to you authorisation from Mr. Maurice Kirk that I should act for him regarding his incarceration in Caswell Clinic.

- 1). So gross & so blatant are the violations of his human & legal rights, it is difficult to know where to start. Nobel Laureate Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn said, "To put a sane person in a madhouse (his word) is worse than the gas chambers. The torture is more cruel, death takes longer".
- 2). That State crime 'worse than the gas chambers' can occur in a country which purports to be a Democracy is beyond belief, but believe it you must.
- 3). Is Mr. Kirk 'normal'? 'Normal' people watch East-Enders, avidly read about 'celebrities', play the Lottery, & vociferously support 'Man United'. Mr. Kirk is a little different: He flies flimsy aircraft across vast oceans. When he sees what he perceives to be corruption or unjust, he tries to expose it.
- 4). Does that mean he is mentally ill? Hardly. Pity there are not more like him! In his efforts to expose corruption he has upset some very powerful people.
- 5). He currently has pending court actions, criminal & civil, against South Wales Police. These matters should be decided in a court of law.
- 6). It is true that some people do take frivolous & vexatious court actions against innocent people & organisations. When such people persist, the usual course of action is to have them legally declared to be 'VEXATIOUS LITIGANTS', when they must ask permission of a judge before taking action.
- 7). Is Mr. Kirk such a person? If his court actions were to proceed, we would find out. However, his opponents do not want the actions to proceed.
- 8). So, in collusion with other powerful people, they decide to label him as 'mentally ill', & incarcerate him in what is called a 'hospital', but is in fact a prison, without any end to the 'sentence' in site, & the constant fear that 'the liquid cosh' might be used at any time. That fear is itself enough to affect the mind of a person who was sane when admitted..
- 9). It would be almost impossible for him to prepare & present his court cases from in such a place, but it is made completely impossible by denying him the 'equality of arms' to which he is entitled under British Law & the European Convention on Human Rights.
- 10). In 1860, the eminent Lord Chief Justice Cockburn said, "The courts of England are open to all, in every sense of the words, & I trust they will always remain so". In the early part of the 20th century, Lord Justice Lawrence (later to preside at Nuremberg) said much the same.
- 11). Sadly, in the 21st century they are not open to Maurice Kirk, & whistle-blowers like him. It is not 'sad', but tragic that all those who bravely gave their lives in World war II died in vain.
- 12). EVERYTHING we fought against then is here now in Britain, but better hidden. Added to which we have the Stalinist practice of putting sane 'dissidents' in 'hospitals'. There is much more that can be said, & much evidence produced, but I trust you have read enough to realise that this is a very important matter. Important for Maurice Kirk, yes, but also of great importance to Democracy & the Rule of Law. There are those who claim that we have Magna Carta, Habeas Corpus & the 1689 Bill of Rights. In theory, yes, in practice we have none of them. It will take a person of great courage to take this up. I hope you are that person.

Sincerely,
Norman Scarth.

From: complaints@ombudsman-wales.org.uk
To: enscarth@hotmail.com
Date: Mon, 5 Oct 2009 11:35:20 +0100
Subject: RE: Complaint form - to complain about a public body

Dear Mr Scarth,

Reference: 200901327

Thank you for your complaint against the Caswell Clinic (Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board) which was submitted via our online system today. The complaint has been allocated reference 200901327 please use that reference in all contact with us.

You state that you are making a complaint on behalf of Mr Maurice Kirk; in order for the Ombudsman to be able to consider the complaint, he will need the written authority of Mr Kirk for you to act on their behalf. I have forwarded a consent form and stamped addressed envelope for your use. The Ombudsman will not be able to consider the complaint further until the necessary authority is returned to this office.

The Ombudsman normally expects you to have raised the matter with the body concerned, and given them a reasonable opportunity to investigate and respond, before you come to him. Many complaints can be settled in this way. You have indicated on your e-mail complaint form that you have complained by email to the body concerned, but also that you don't have documents in support of your complaint. Please would you send us copies of your email(s) and any responses from the organisation; it is highly likely that we will not be able to assess your complaint without those documents.

We have recently seen an unprecedented rise in the number of complaints we have received. As a result we are sorry to tell you that we may not be able to assess your complaint as quickly as we would want to and as you would expect. We are dealing with complaints according to the date they have been received but regret that it may take up to 6 weeks (from the date that we receive all the required information from you) for us to let you know whether the Ombudsman will look into your complaint. We will let you know if there is likely to be any further delay.

9th October '09

A GREAT RALLYING CALL COLIN! I'm minded to burst into song with Sigmund Romberg's song from 'New Moon': "Give me some men. who are stout-hearted men, who will fight for the cause they adore, ...". Or, Henry V prior to Agincourt: "... For he today that sheds his blood with me shall be my brother, be he ne'er so vile; And gentlemen in England now abed will curse that they with not with us, & hold their peace while any speaks who fought with us upon St. Crispin's Day!" (NOT forgetting the stout-hearted women). Yes, " let's all get together in unision and inundate these bastards with emails, letting them know that Maurice is not alone and the whole damned rotten lot of them are under scrutiny!".

FORWARD!!!

Norman.

SPREAD THE WORD!

From: cp014d2774@blueyonder.co.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com; patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk

Subject: Fw: Kirk-091008-Eq-Arms (1)

Date: Fri, 9 Oct 2009 01:51:50 +0100

Hello there Norman and Patrick. Norman, although we have our differences, I have got to admit that your email to the evil ones was brilliant and I am sure that Patrick will agree.

As you will be aware, on Maurices website, he indicates that the psychiatrist has informed the judge of both of your "threats"

Because of this, rather than leave the pair of you to it, I have thrown my cap into the arena. You both have names of reliable contacts and supporters, so I suggest to you both, canvass these people and let's all get together in unision and inundate these bastards with emails, letting them know that Maurice is not alone and the whole damned rotten lot of them are under scrutiny.

We have the internet now.

Let's use it to make a fully organised and co-ordinated campaign

Patrick, I know that it hurts you that your heading was deleted from your letterhead by Maurice but we do not know of the whys or wherefores or the background.

Please give Maurice the benefit of the doubt and lets launch a coordinated campaign and get behind him.

We might be saving his life.

ATB.

Colin Peters

----- Original Message -----

From: Colin Peters

To: karina.sansom@bromor-tr.wales.nhs.uk ; cardiff.cm.crn2@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 1:28 AM

Subject: Kirk-091008-Eq-Arms (1)

I am in receipt of the above attachment submitted by Norman Scarth and can only endorse his submissions to yourselves.

As a fellow victim of our corrupt legal and judicial system,

(and should you desire substantiation of this, I invite you to visit my website at <http://colinpetersbd40jh.tripod.com>),

I can assure you that, from my own experiences of being in his company, Maurice Kirk is a very intelligent, if slightly eccentric individual, without an ounce of nastiness or any indication of a violent nature within him.

He has been incarcerated by yourselves and subjected to degrading and inhuman treatment simply because he bought an old airplane that came to him complete with an innocuous decomissioned, triggerless, ammunitionless, unusable relic, of ornamental value only, lewis machine gun, and then, in all innocence, sold it on purely as an ornament, a keepsake, to a third party.

Why have the party who sold this supposedly deadly weapon to Maurice not been brought to book, and why also has the party who Maurice sold it to not become involved?

Compare this idiocy, and the judge who has instructed this madness, to recent events here in Bradford, West Yorkshire, where, in a publicised police raid, a gun and live ammunition and CS gas and 3 machetes, and drugs, and thousands of pounds in cash were found and

the culprits taken to court and then given bail.

Where does this disparity and madness end???

In Gods Holy name, through his Son Jesus Christ, I ask that you put an end to this mad, insane, vindictive, situation and give back to Maurice his God given freedom.

In Truth and Sincerety,

Colin Peters.

5th October '09

Dear Mr. Hedges, Hope at last, when we thought there was none! We victims are like shipwrecked mariners in an open boat. Your message is like seeing a mast on the horizon. As the only one with any strength left, I am jumping up & down, frantically waving my shirt! I hope you can appreciate the analogy, that you will not steam away (as others have), but will help Andy McCardle (see attachment) get posthumous justice. This diabolical Stalinist practice is happening not only in Wales, but in Scotland & England.

I was extremely lucky to escape. It will need courage on your part to take this up, but PLEASE do - & show you & the Welsh Ombudsman are NOT puppets of The State. A WARNING: WATCH YOUR BACKS! Remember what happened to Elizabeth Filkin because she took her job seriously. And that is NOTHING to what can happen! Must end now, much to do. Please get back to me.

Norman Scarth.

PS: Also two more attachments.

From: Lea.Hedges@ombudsman.org.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Date: Mon, 5 Oct 2009 14:13:19 +0100

Subject: RE: Complaint form - to complain about a public body

Dear Mr Scarth

Thank you for copying me into your email. I am unsure whether there is a complaint that you would like the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman to investigate and would be grateful if could clarify this for me.

I should let you know though that we have no jurisdiction to investigate complaints about health authorities in Wales; as you know they fall within the remit of the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales. If you have a complaint that you would like the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman to consider, please reply to this email saying who your complaint is about, what you would like the Ombudsman to achieve for you and what you have done to try and resolve your complaint with the organisation concerned.

Yours sincerely

Lea Hedges (Mr)
Customer Service Officer
Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman

From: norman scarth [mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com]

Sent: 05 October 2009 13:25
To: TegwynW
Cc: Scourge of Shysters
Subject: FW: Complaint form - to complain about a public body

To Caswell Clinic; Will you please ensure that Mr. Maurice Kirk receives a copy of this document & the attachment, & confirm to me by email that you have done so.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours respectfully,

Norman Scarth.

4th October '09

From WW2 Veteran Norman Scarth, Associate of the Article 6 Group,
(‘Committed to exposing criminal misuse of law in British courts’)

Address, & brief CV, at end.

To: ‘The People Who Care’.

thepeoplewhocare@userfriendlygroup.com

Caswell Clinic, Bridgend, South Wales CF31 4LN

Tel: 01656 662 179. (Robert C. Mobile 07976 872461)

I understand you care about the people in Caswell Clinic, & ask you to start ‘caring’ about Mr. Maurice Kirk, a completely sane man, held captive (a Political Prisoner) in that establishment. How long he can remain sane under the ‘treatment’ he is receiving is doubtful. We at the Article 6 Group are fearful that he may suffer the fate of Andy McCardle (see attachment). I personally made a 500 mile round trip to attend the hearing in Cardiff Crown Court on 1st October 2009, intending only to be an observer, hoping to see a great injustice remedied. What I saw was a Kangaroo Court, The Recorder of Cardiff & Dr. Tegwyn Williams in collusion to silence this whistle blower (who I understand is seeking to expose corruption in South Wales Police). Seeing the treatment of Mr. Kirk by Judge Nicholas Cooke, & hearing him read out the outrageous Report by Dr. Williams, I was affronted at this travesty of justice, could not stay a silent observer, & was forced to speak out. I was then ordered from the courtroom by Judge Cooke, unable to observe further. That a Report by an ‘expert witness’ (Dr. Williams) could be read out, & acted upon, when Dr. Williams was not in court to be challenged on his ‘evidence’ was only one of the reasons why the hearing was grossly illegal. I hope you will live up to your name. While writing this I have been listening to a programme on Radio 4 ‘File on 4’ the subject being patients who are released as ‘safe’, but then go on to commit horrific crimes. This must call into question the competence of the psychiatrists. They blame ‘shortage of staff’. No wonder, when their time is taken up experimenting (in collaboration with the drugs industry) on sane dissidents & whistle-blowers, at the behest of those who now rule Britain, determined to silence anyone who dares to speak the truth. I hope you will treat this as urgent, & confirm that you are doing.

Thanking you in anticipation.

Norman Scarth.

Associate of the Article 6 Group, Founder & Leader of the Anti-Crime Party (‘Our main focus is on crime by people with power’), a successful reformer of law (via the ECtHR, case 33745/96), bringing a vital change in British law, incorporated into the 1998 Human Rights Act, & a Human Rights Activist,

ADDRESS: 36 Anvil Court Chambers, BRADFORD BD8 7QW

Tel: (01274) 541 213. Mobile: 0779 435 8691. Email: enscarth@hotmail.com

NOTE: This message has been circulated to others, who may contact you. NS..

Andy-Cmprsd-080810.doc
639K View Download

3rd October '09

To Court Manager Lynne Mills: Will you please ensure that The Recorder of Cardiff receives copies of the attached documents, & yourself take action as suggested in the first.
To Dr. Tegwyn Williams, Caswell Clinic: Will you please ensure that Mr. Maurice Kirk receives copies.

Respectfully,
Norman Scarth.

2 attachments — Download all attachments

Kirk-091002-Rcdr.doc

91K View Download

Andy-Cmprsd-080810.doc

639K View Download

SEPTEMBER 2009

30th September '09

STOP PRESS: Maurice Kirk (completely sane) has just rung me from a Stalinist 'Mental Hospital' in South Wales. He is to be in Cardiff Crown Court at 10am tomorrow & believes they are intending to get permission to start forcible injections to turn him into a zombie. He hopes as many people as possible will attend. Do so if you possibly can! SPREAD THE WORD! I was not intending to go, but will be doing now. We must stop this diabolical practice!

Norman Scarth. 01274 541 213. M: 0779 435 8691

27th September '09

Maurice (Kirk) rang me this morning (Sunday) & asked me to tell you that the Gestapo Police have said they will arrest anyone who demonstrates outside Cardiff Crown Court on Thursday 1st October, when he is due to appear. It is to decide whether they can continue to keep this completely sane man incarcerated in the Nuthouse. Whether you want to risk arrest is up to you. Pity there can't be a thousand there. Or can there? If not this time, perhaps the next?

Norman Scarth.

26th September '09

"They whom the Gods wish to destroy, they first drive mad" said Euripides. If they fail to drive the victim mad, they will label him/her as such. It happened in Stalinist Russia. It is happening in Britain - NOW!

The message below is from another victim of this diabolical practice, a lady who has been standing up to 'Authority' - not without cause! So, as usual, they pretend that she is mentally ill & pump drugs into her by force - to 'cure' her!. Could anything be more depraved?

Norman Scarth.

NOTE: This has NOT been imposed on us by the EU. NS.

From: susannekj@live.co.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com; Subject: Urgent

Date: Sat, 26 Sep 2009 15:39:42 +0000

Dear All, need urgent info on the side-effects of forced injections of Olanzapine and Piportil. Went to GP twice last week as I had a severe period, which would not stop. It got worse and worse and lasted three weeks by the time I got to GP last Tuesday. They would not listen, just said it was the change.

I already had all the symptoms of severe anaemia. No blood pressure was taken, no pulse was taken and my heart was not listened to either. I kept asking for blood pressure to be taken, and she did eventually. She said it was OK. I asked what it was and she told me. That is far too low for me I said. She argued, checked on computer and admitted that it was. Did not check pulse or listen to heart. I asked if she was going to do blood test as I was losing at least 1/4 pint of blood a day, and she did not respond. Asked me to make appointment to see other GP with Gynae training for double appointment and prescribed me tablets to stop period. Went to reception and asked for double appointment on Friday of last week, they refused. You have to ring on Friday, I insisted over and over again and refused to leave without an appointment.

Went to Health Food Shop and the girls said straight away, you look awful, are you anemic? I knew I was that's why I had gone with a shopping list for Vitamin K and liquid iron supplement (this was Thursday). One always gets more sense and help out of the local Health Food Shop staff....

Went to GP on Friday, tablets were not really working, did internal, listened to me telling her that I was sick, dizzy, nauseas, could not stand up properly, could not bend down, that the floor was getting very close etc., but she did not check blood pressure, pulse or listen to my heart. Nor did she prescribe iron tablets, ask if I was taken any or ask about my diet!!!!

She did give me a form to go for a blood test and did refer me for an appointment for an ultrasound scan though and gave me a script for hormone tablets. As it was late Friday afternoon, everywhere locally was closed for blood tests, except the local hospital, which was on my way home. I legged it to the bus in as far as I was capable of fast movement at that stage and went to the phlebotomists. Who said straight away that this was not my normal skin colour and that I did not look as if I had enough blood. Sure enough, they could not find any veins or get any out of me. It took several attempts and the supervisor to extract some. However, they also were very sensible: drink stout, guinness, eat plenty of broccoli etc....

Monday morning I was extremely ill, the bleeding was getting worse, so I started the hormone tablets as instructed and rang the surgery to see if the blood results had come back. Yes they have, but Dr has not looked at them yet. You need to ring tomorrow I got told. On Monday night I had palpitations, my limbs were numb and I simply resigned myself to the fact that I would bleed to death. Which to me, was a far superior option to die in my own bed than to die on a mental ward bleeding to death or even worse, to die from a heart attack in handcuffs in the back of a police prison van, all of which quite likely of course, based on passed experiences.

Well, Tuesday morning I was a walking corpse, only capable of sitting on my sofa and washing the blood stained carpet in my lounge and bathroom, as well as all the soaked clothing, bath towels and clothes. Then I get phone call from GP at lunchtime to say that I should get a taxi immediately to A&E and report to reception. My blood results were back and my blood count was only 7. She was trying to find me a bed on a gynae ward (much to my amazement as I anticipated the holding cell at the mental health unit again). Arrived there and was sent straight to the gynae ward, immediate bloods taken, tucked up in bed, and amazingly enough, for the first time in 12 years (!!!!) treated with kindness, genuine concern for my health, and given proper nursing care. Drs came to inform me, told me exactly what was going on, explained it all to me very professionally, listened to me, and gave me options! This was a totally new experience, with the thought of being stuck on a mental ward always at the forefront of my mind of course. And the thought of the usual treatment (nurses surrounding my bed and holding me down and injecting me) in my mind's eye.

It did not happen! I was put on a drip, and once they cross matched my blood given 2 pints of blood via a transfusion. The Consultant was very worried and stated that I had given them all a scare. Well, it was not me that scared them, was it? I bet that GP was crapping herself at that stage. Negligence is not even the right word.

Got home from hospital last night, look like death warmed up, but still standing. The Drs could not work out why the lining of my uterus was so extremely thick. I have a very good idea as to how that came about though.

These forced injections, which are supposed to cure my views, opinions and ideas about the medical profession around here and the local police force, have caused amongst other things: central nervous system damage, massive weight gain, blurred vision, oedema, breast milk, massive rise in Prolactin levels to name but a few. Being a health care professional I have known for years, that what they were basically doing, were inducing my brain to think that I was permanently pregnant, with all the physical changes thrown on. That naturally messed up all my hormones.

I am back home now and desperately trying to get my hands on info re after effects of blood transfusion, possible effects of organ damage already done due to not enough blood going around my system for days (dr admitted that I had no iron left, hardly any red blood cells and that my heart had to work very hard to pump too little blood around my system). So, clearly, there could be some serious after effects.

Do I get any aftercare? Follow up blood checks? Regular blood pressure taken???? No, of course not. All I got when I was sent home, was a packet of iron tablets, some hormone tablets with instructions on when to take them and put on the waiting list to remove the lining of my uterus, which may or may not work.

So, I would really appreciate if there is anyone out there who can possibly get their hands on any info at all about the potential development of abnormal uterine lining or other epithelial tissue for that matter on forced anti-psychotic drug treatment.

Also, when I was arrested by the police in March and dragged in a prison van onto a mental ward and given forced injection and drugs, they never, not once did any medical checks at all. I never, not once, saw even one Dr. Nurse from Assertive Outreach

Team kindly came to see me in hospital on Wednesday after transfusion and she had tried to check the blood results from March to see what was going on, only there weren't any!

Told her that I knew exactly why she could not find them, - they had not taken any that's why. No blood pressure taken, no weight checked, not the most basic of medical attention given. Just police arrest forced injection written up - even the nurses did not know who had done that! Just a scribble on the med sheet.

If I complain about any of this of course, I get sectioned and they will give me the forced drugs which have made me so ill, all over again. There are no nurses on these mental wards from hell, nor are there any decent Dr's or medical staff in this country.

The NHS sucks, but at least I now know that at least for once, I was actually treated like a human being on a ward and properly cared for. An entirely new experience after all the violence, threats, intimidation and torture and human rights violations I have had over the last 12 years....

Yours still alive, also only capable of sitting, texting and typing
Susanne Kellner-Johnson