

JULY 2011

22 July '11

Well, I have at least got another bite at the cherry (see message from Civil Appeals Registry, below).

I am undecided: Should I stick to the K.I.S.S. Principle? Should my covering letter include only as already sent in my message to the court? i.e.

"... the papers relating to my appeal were returned to me, on the grounds that it is in 'a criminal cause or matters'.

I ask you to reconsider this. My appeal is against a decision of the Administrative Court in what is purely an Administrative matter.

The first & most important point in my 'GROUNDS FOR APPEAL' is whether a Circuit Judge has authority to overrule an earlier decision by a High Court Judge. I submit he has not, & should not have been put in that position.

I hope you will agree with me that this is an important point, which CAN be decided by the Civil Appeal Court."

(it has at least brought the invitation to resubmit the papers!)

-----

OR - should I add this:

"Dear Deputy Master Meacher; In re-submitting these papers I suspect you may well say that the background to the matter is criminal.

Yes, it does relate to my attempts to start a Private Prosecution, but by their decisions, 3 District Judges, The Honourable Mr. Justice Kenneth Parker & His Honour Judge Gosnell have decided it is NOT a criminal matter. As have West Yorkshire Police by refusing to act on my complaint. That being so, my appeal is solely against the fairness of the Administrative Court hearing, & thus is NOT 'in a criminal matter'."

Obviously, it is I who must decide whether to include that, but the thoughts of you with more legal knowledge than I would be welcome. Or, any other suggestions you care to make?

Sorry to be a nuisance.

Norman Scarth.

From: [civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Subject: RE: Your ref. 2011/P!/11122

Date: Fri, 22 Jul 2011 10:02:34 +0100

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your email.

I would advise that if you wish for the Deputy Master to reconsider your papers that you refile them with a cover letter and then this office can seek a further direction from Deputy Master Meacher.

Kind Regards

John Hebden

Civil Appeals Registry Office

21 July '11

My appeal against the decision of Judge Gosnell on Magna Carta Day has been thrown back at me by the Appeal Court. Below is my attempt to get it in there again.

Deputy Master Meacher may well come back at me again by saying that the background to the matter is criminal. That is irrelevant, when my appeal is against a decision in the Administrative Court.

Yes, it does relate to my attempts to start a Private Prosecution, but by their decisions, 3 District Judges, The Honourable Mr. Justice Kenneth Parker & His Honour Judge Gosnell have decided it is NOT a criminal matter. As have West Yorkshire Police by ignoring my complaint. Who am I to go against those important people? Watch this space.

Norman Scarth.

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: [civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Subject: Your ref. 2011/P!/11122

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 2011 04:17:42 +0100

To Deputy Master Meacher,

The letter dated 13th July 2011 from your Mr. John Hebden tells me it was on your instructions that the papers relating to my appeal were returned to me, on the grounds that it is in 'a criminal cause or matters'. (& that being so, 'No appeal lies to the Court of Appeal'). I ask you to reconsider this. My appeal is against a decision of the Administrative Court in what is purely an Administrative matter.

The first & most important point in my 'GROUNDS FOR APPEAL' is whether a Circuit Judge has authority to overrule an earlier decision by a High Court Judge. I submit he has not, & should not have been put in that position.

I hope you will agree with me that this is an important point, which CAN be decided by the Civil Appeal Court. In which case, I trust you will invite me to send the papers again.

Looking forward to an early reply,

Yours faithfully,

N.Scarth.

From: [civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Subject: RE: Your ref. 2011/P!/11122

Date: Mon, 18 Jul 2011 13:01:10 +0100

Dear Sir,

Your papers were referred to Deputy Master Meacher.

Kind Regards

John Hebden  
Civil Appeals Registry Office

From: norman scarth [mailto:[enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)]

Sent: 18 July 2011 12:39

To: [civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:civilappeals.registry@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Subject: Your ref. 2011/P!/11122

To Mr. John Hebden,

I acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 13 July 2011, in which you say, inter alia, "Your

papers were referred to the Deputy Master of Civil Appeals ...".  
I would be grateful if you could give me the name of the Deputy Master.  
Thanking you in anticipation,  
Mr. N. Scarth

19 July '11

Bent coppers being in the news, I take the opportunity to send as an attachment my letter posted today to the solicitor for West Yorkshire Police. (How reassuring to hear their cry just before we fall asleep, "12 o' Clock & All's Well!")

Norman Scarth.

WYPol-110718-TerrorAttacks..doc

81K [View](#) [Download](#)

14 July '11

Some of you will know of the illegal blocking of my attempts to start Private Prosecutions, for which I am seeking Judicial Review. One of those I have been trying to prosecute is Chief Superintendent Alison Rose, for completely ignoring my official complaints of serious assaults upon me (with many witnesses & clear video evidence).

A few of you will also know that when I cannot get any response from those with power in this Police State, I sometimes use the 'Henry Root Letters' trick (get hold of the book if you can). 30 odd years ago, 'Henry' used to send a £1 note as a 'bribe', which usually guaranteed a reply of some kind. Unfortunately with inflation there are no £1 notes now, so I have been forced to send £5, £10, £20 or even £50 notes. Yes, they usually return the "bribe" (though the Lord Mayor of Bradford has NOT returned the £20 note I sent to him last year), & with it some sort of reply, often saying more than they intend. I used the same device with Ch. Supt. Rose (my letter to her is attached now). Yes, it HAS brought a response - but guess what? Using subterfuge to gain entry through the outside door of the Sheltered Housing Complex where I live, Detective Inspector John Priestley & DS Lofthouse came hammering on my door. I answered in my usual jokey way, "Halt, Who Goes There? Friend or Foe?" "Friend" came the reply. Looking through the spyhole I did not recognise them, so opened the door with the security chain on. DI Priestley said, "I have come to return some money from Ch. Supt. Rose. Can we come in for a moment?" I told them to 'Go Forth & Multiply', that if they had anything to say to me to put it in writing, & shut the door. They persisted that they only wanted to return the money, so I opened the door again with the chain on, & used my video camera. Eventually, they departed, after putting a cheque for £50 under the door. However, they also put something else!: It was an "ALLEGATION OF HARASSMENT NOTICE". It gave my date of birth (how did they get that?) but also said, "An allegation of harassment has been made against you. Details of alleged conduct (specific actions that are cause for complaint)."

"Written communication sent to Chief Superintendent Rose of the West Yorkshire Police on 24th June 2011 making unnecessary reference to her family and causing undue personal harassment". It goes on to say, "HARASSMENT IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997. 'A person must not pursue a course of conduct which amounts to harassment of another and which he/she ought to know, amounts to harassment of the other'. Harassment takes many forms and examples can include: Wilful damage to property, assault, unwarranted verbal or physical threats, abusive communication or repeated attempts to talk to or approach a person who considers such acts to be very unwelcome". There is then a "WARNING: West Yorkshire Police makes no comment as to the truth, or otherwise, of these allegations at this stage. This information is being brought to your attention in the spirit of crime prevention and to make clear to you that the allegation

has been made. A copy of this notice may be retained by the Police. This does not in any way constitute a criminal record."

Then comes, "I have read the above and understand that if I commit any act or acts either directly or indirectly that amount to harassment, I will be liable to arrest. I accept that a copy of this notice could be produced, if necessary in any court proceedings against me. Signed: (this is not an admission or acceptance of this allegation)" Here DI Priestley had written "REFUSED TO SIGN." Obviously Priestley was lying when he said he had 'just come to return the money' (which could easily have been sent by post, rather than an inspector & sergeant wasting their valuable time).

I have said many times that we have a duty to disobey bad laws, & that this is one of the worst. For a seemingly good purpose, it was actually designed to be misused, & is on many occasions! This right up my street! WATCH THIS SPACE!

Norman Scarth (AKA Lorne Auder).

RoseA-110624.doc

72K View Download

10 July '11

Attached is my appeal against the the Magna Carta Day decision of Judge Gosnell in Leeds (acting as a High Court Judge) refusing me permission for Judicial Review of the right to start a Private Prosecution. Though I am reasonably well versed in legal procedures, you will see that I do not stick to their rules & their language - but make no apologies for that. I would be grateful if you could point out any glaring mistakes, otherwise it will be going to the Appeal Court tomorrow.

Norman Scarth.

Appeal-110709-Gosnell.doc

54K View Download

8 July '11

The wonderful Dr. Rita Pal asks me to send this. Don't quite understand the technical wizardry of links & pdf & things, but that's because I'm a geriatric thicko. I'm sure you will. (Bcc to all the genuine people I know)

Norman Scarth

Date: Wed, 6 Jul 2011 17:44:27 +0100

From: [dr.ritapal@googlemail.com](mailto:dr.ritapal@googlemail.com)

To: [maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com)

CC: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Subject: Re:

Hi Norman/Maurice

I don't suppose you could circulate this link <http://www.nhsexposed.com> or [https://www.amazon.co.uk/North-Staffordshire-Whistleblower-Executive-ebook/dp/B0058VFJBG/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1309970454&sr=8-1](https://www.amazon.co.uk/North-Staffordshire-Whistleblower-Executive-ebook/dp/B0058VFJBG/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1309970454&sr=8-1) . They will need this software to read it on their PC

[http://www.amazon.co.uk/gp/feature.html/ref=kcp\\_pc\\_mkt\\_lnd?docId=1000423913](http://www.amazon.co.uk/gp/feature.html/ref=kcp_pc_mkt_lnd?docId=1000423913)

Its a summary of my whistleblowing incident in the NHS. Thought you guys could circulate this and help me out.

Thanks for your support.

Rita

Dr Rita Pal

Independent Medical Journalist

[dr.ritapal@gmail.com](mailto:dr.ritapal@gmail.com)

Skype medicaljournalistoncall

2 attachments — Download all attachments

JRSM-11-0034-1.pdf

623K View Download

dr\_ritapal.vcf

1K Import to Contacts Download

5 July '11

"... a license to hand out leaflets." ?(see below) . My answer would be in one word - SCALLOPS!!!

However, if people want to cover themselves against prosecution, there is a way. ALL hawkers (street sellers, door to door salesmen etc.) have for centuries needed a Hawkers Licence - WITH ONE EXCEPTION ! Anyone selling books or magazines, or offering leaflets promoting books or magazines is exempt from that requirement. That is how the Encyclopaedia Britannica salesmen were able to go door to door, & how the Big Issue sellers are able to offer their wares without hindrance. So, you only need a few words on a leaflet vaguely offering a book to be completely legal.

There is also the ancient legal principle, going back to Roman times; 'De Minimis Non Curat Lex' ('The Law Is Not Concerned With Trifles'), That predates by millennia the present day call for 'Zero Tolerance'. This heavy handedness over 'trifles' causes loss of respect for The Law. IF Zero Tolerance IS desirable, then why doesn't it apply to big people - MPs & the like? Apart from which, paper, being organic (as opposed to the pollution of plastic & polystyrene) is little different to the millions of tons of leaves which fall every year, which may also need a little labour to clear up & turn into compost.

Norman Scarth.

Date: Mon, 4 Jul 2011 01:48:57 +0100

From: [jfhitchin@btinternet.com](mailto:jfhitchin@btinternet.com)

Subject: Leafletting! Change in the Law

To: [jfhitchin@btinternet.com](mailto:jfhitchin@btinternet.com)

Dear All,

I know I owe several of you a reply to e-mails. Please bear with me, one or two problems at this end which I have finally cleared this morning. And just now, I discovered that my 'fix' had not worked at all. Back to the drawing board.

Meanwhile, back at the grindstone, do please take a look at these two links, the first to an article in the Guardian and second, the actual book from the Club which you can purchase.

Be aware that, although councils have not trumpeted the news, you need a license to hand out leaflets. See if this applies to you. Don't find yourself getting a fine for being a decent human being!

See Guardian article at:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/society/2011/jun/30/crackdown-leafletting-blow-liberty-campaign?INTCMP=SRCH>

See pdf of the Manifesto Club book at:

<http://www.tommower.co.uk/manifestoclub/manifesto%20club%20report%20leafletting%20a>

[%20liberty%20lost.pdf](#)

And, as an added bonus, why not take a look at the following link and learn how, you too can turn a salary of £142,000 into a remuneration package of nearly £1 million, as per 'Our Dave'.  
<http://www.morningstaronline.co.uk/index.php/news/content/view/full/106173>

Wednesday 22 June 2011 by Roger Bagley in Parliament  
Britain  
Exclusive: PM's half-million pay package

Kindest regards to all.

Judith

5 July '11

Encouraging support from 'angryoldgit'! (Old? - he's only 69!) (However, he does see clearly what it will take to restore a semblance of Justice to Britain.)  
Norman Scarth.

-----  
On 4 July 2011 14:29, - - <[angryoldgit@theenglishrag.com](mailto:angryoldgit@theenglishrag.com)> wrote:

Hello guys, (he is writing to Maurice Kirk & myself)

I would like to express my admiration for you two warriors, and also express my thanks for the battles you have fought, on behalf of me and our fellow citizens, whilst I, like most of those around me did not even comprehend a war for our freedom was being fought.  
(Highlighting by NS.)

For myself, I was aware that justice had been replaced with dictatorial laws, and law without justice has become a whore, the paid mistress of the highest bidder. This whore is controlled by parasite pimps, who ply their trade hiding beneath their robes and horsehair wigs, protected from us by perverse statutes and the denial of the law of the land, which in reality binds us all.

As for the South Wales Police, they help steal babies, don't they?

You say that you have exhausted all legal processes, but legal is not usually the same as lawful, at least that is what I am beginning to understand.

For myself, I was born in 1942, and later learned that I was the product of a war time affair, between a Sgt Major, Roger Seward, who was killed in the battle to free Crete. I have never pursued further investigation, though I grew up believing he died fighting for our freedom. You have taken on the might of the State and suffered horribly because of it. (A '1984' Police State.)

It is time to fight back, and I do not refer to grabbing a weapon, but using Law and motivating the public into beginning a campaign of civil disobedience, the loyal leaders of the armed forces, to return our troops to Britain, in order to defend us, from the armed EU mercenaries and also from our own police.

The Hereditary Peers to seize control of the House of Lords and suspend the Houses of Parliament, while its traitor members are charged with treason.

As an author, it seems to me that we should publish yours and Norman's account of your battles with both South Wales Police, and the bent Judges, and I suspect that there are honest judges, who are as sickened by the abuse of power, and national betrayal, as we are. (If there were any TRULY honest judges they should be doing what we are doing).

I have visited your websites, but events do not appear to be in chronological order. Could you get together and recount you battles and relevant points, in text, each event as they

actually happened. (The problem is that there are not enough hours in the day, or days in the week).

I will write them up, send the amended version back to you for approval, the finished book would in e.book format and yours and Norman's to do with as you wish, email them as an attachment, sell them whatever. The idea is to use your experiences to make everyone reading it hopping mad, and demanding answers.

In the meantime I shall be writing to as many of the twenty-five barons, as stipulated Magna Carta, to begin the official Second Battle of Britain. (This battle is against the Quislings, far worse than the original Nazis who were at least fighting for their own country. The Quislings are traitors to their own country).

Regards,

Tonyb

[www.kirkflyingvet.com](http://www.kirkflyingvet.com) [www.wacl.org.uk](http://www.wacl.org.uk)  
[maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com)

5 July '11

To clarify what happened in RC(i)J on 28th June. Lord 'Justice'(??) Moses contemptuously spoke only a few words, saying that he was not going to hear Maurice (after he & I had travelled 160 & 210 miles to the listed hearing), & then went on to the next case, expecting Maurice & I to walk quietly & meekly out of court. Not until we denounced him was Security called (as Moses & Mr. 'Justice'(?)) Maddison scuttled out of court like frightened rabbits, abandoning the case they were then supposed to be hearing). Security turned out to be decent men, who treated us with respect. Maurice & I now feel that WE should have called the police to the courtroom because of crime by the judges.

Norman Scarth.

From: [sam@wearechangemanchester.com](mailto:sam@wearechangemanchester.com)

To: [WeAreChangeManchester-announce@meetup.com](mailto:WeAreChangeManchester-announce@meetup.com)

Subject: [WeAreChangeManchester] New Video - A Den of Evil

Date: Tue, 5 Jul 2011 01:34:55 -0400

Hi People,

A new video has been uploaded onto the WAC Manchester Youtube Channel. Click the video title to watch the video.

A Den of Evil - Maurice Kirk & Norman Scarth in court 28/6/2011

28th of June 2011 Contempt Appeal for Maurice Kirk at the Royal Courts of Justice (sic)

Norman Scarth acting as McKenzie Friend when appropriate.

Maurice was refused an oral hearing and security was ordered to accompany these two 'veteran victims' out of the court.

Norman leaves them in no doubt what he thinks of them before being ejected. Maurice and Norman then took the opportunity to demonstrate outside the courts using a megaphone to enlighten passers by as to the evil and corruption within.

Pleased to say that Norman Scarth is now a member of WAC Manchester.

Thanks for listening,

Sam ([sam@wearechangemanchester.com](mailto:sam@wearechangemanchester.com))

3rd July '11

A Bradford judge sent Patrick Cullinane to prison for four months simply for using an audio

recorder in court.

If Lord 'Justice'(??) Moses had any guts he would have stayed in court, called security to take us down to the cells & then sent us to prison, as Patrick was. Instead, while the next case was supposed to be proceeding, he & Maddison scuttled out like frightened rabbits, leaving the pathetic female usher to act as though she were God Almighty!

Norman Scarth

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: [maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com)

CC: [sabine.mcneill@3d-metrics.com](mailto:sabine.mcneill@3d-metrics.com)

Subject: Video inside Appeal Court IS being published worldwide.

Date: Sun, 3 Jul 2011 12:08:54 +0100

See my comment below, in red.

Norman Scarth.

Date: Sun, 3 Jul 2011 11:25:06 +0100

Subject: Video must be shown to the general public

From: [maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com)

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Norman and I are expected to be arrested and jailed in next few days so I have put up Lord Justice Moses video on my blog.

I don't think arrest will happen, but will laugh at them if it does.

Comments from the general public, therefore, cannot go up from me so please publish them, this week, if I am, alone, incarcerated.

I have exhausted all legal processes to expose the South Wales Police 20 year conspiracy to pervert the course of justice, based on vengeance, as it obviously includes a few in HM Partnership.

I finally, with regret, accept both Patrick and Norman are right and have always been right, the Gestapo have taken over our UK 'rule of law'.

Your realisation that Britain is a '1984' Police State has come to you younger than it did to me. I am ashamed to say that I was 70 before the scales dropped from my eyes.

However, you have not quite grasped my views, which are that there are SOME good police officers, who would like to do the right thing (but dare not). There are NO good judges. They are the Godfathers of the Legal Mafia, who run the most successful Protection Racket in the world, & glory in their evil! Gestapo Police act as their boot boys.

Sadly, anarchy has arrived and, I suggest, needs to be explained right across our sick land and to the listening and watching world.

--

Maurice J Kirk BVSc

Puits aux Papillions

49 Tynewydd Road St Doha

Barry CF62 8AZ 22230 Merdrignac

UK 07907937953 Brittany 0033296258451

m 0624571548

[www.kirkflyingvet.com](http://www.kirkflyingvet.com) [www.wacl.org.uk](http://www.wacl.org.uk)

[maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com)

3rd July '11

John.

I urge you to try to get hold of a copy of the Charles Laughton film 'THIS LAND IS MINE'. Yes, it was a wartime propaganda film, but it is important in that it shows that even the courts in Nazi Occupied Europe were better than we have in Britain today.

It is the story of a cowardly schoolmaster who found his courage & supported the Resistance. The Nazis & collaborators falsely charged him with murder. The highlight of the film was his long speech as he defended himself from the dock, during which there was complete silence. After it he was found 'Not Guilty'.

What a difference to my own grotesque 'trial' in Sheffield Crown Court in 2001!

Judge David Ronald Bentley (a sadist if there ever was one) not only banned my witnesses & my evidence, & silenced me when I attempted to tell the truth from the dock, but he also banned the Press. With them absent, he made no attempt to hide his hatred of me. Three times when I protested at his gross misconduct he had me (then aged 75) dragged & literally thrown back down the cells.

He himself fed monstrous lies to the specially selected jury (all of them relatives & friends of police) to get the guilty verdict he wanted, then, where others would have been put on Probation, ordered to do Community Service or given a Suspended Sentence, he gave me a sentence long enough to ensure I died in prison - silenced for ever!

Well, I didn't die, but after seven years incarceration in many different prisons & two lunatic asylums, I never expected to be allowed out alive. However, a miracle happened, & I came under an honest psychiatrist (many are not!)

Even he didn't dare rock the boat too much by releasing me immediately, but, to cover his own back, arranged a pretence of six months 'rehabilitation'. Anyway, am now free, STILL exposing corruption, & STILL under attack for it, physical & financial.

Norman Scarth.

PS: The ending of the film? Not quite as happy as the acquittal suggested: The last moments of the film showed him walking free from the court building, but then Gestapo officers fell in on either side of him, marching him off to ????? Much the same as happened to Andy McCardle (see attachment).

PPS: After my conviction the 'news' papers (Josef Goebbels smiling approval from his grave) published the horror story of my 'crime'. How could they do that, when no reporters had been present at the 'trial'? They obviously published what was fed to them by the prosecution. NS.

JUNE 2011

30 June '11

Like the cowards they are, Lord Justice Moses & Mr. Justice Maddison (The Recorder of Leeds) scuttled from the Appeal Court when denounced.

Another video will follow.

Norman Scarth

PS: Had to sign up to 'YOUSENDIT' to send the videos. Hope you can download. NS

To:

Message:

File:RCJ-110628 001.AVI - 186.38 MB

Expires:

Here's the link to this file:

<http://www.yousendit.com/download/MFo2b2VKQk5OMUEwTVE9PQ>

25 June '22

House of Commons videos, N Scarth etc

<http://edm1297.info/2010/03/11/tackling-the-serious-oppression-of-hm-subjects/>

25 June '11

'ANY QUESTIONS, Radio 4, Friday 24th June (repeated Saturday, 1.10pm):

Guess who got thrown out for complaining about a panel of Quislings answering Pat-a-Cake questions from an audience of sheep! The same pathetic questions, year after year, after year. I usually shout at the radio in frustration when listening to the 'experts' giving their predictable answers. Not until the afternoon did I notice that this week it was coming from Saltaire, about 3 miles from me. Hurriedly making some new placards, I grabbed my megaphone, sallied forth & tried to educate the people going in. After a few minutes of that, it came as a surprise to learn that seats were available - & that me & my two companions would actually be allowed in! We sat quietly until a particularly daft question (something about a glass of wine & psychologists) came up. So (in my timid little voice), I addressed the company, & of course got thrown out. Afterwards, I used my megaphone to address the people as they came out. It was gratifying that presenter Jonathan Dimbleby & producer Victoria Wakely listened carefully. Dimbleby gave a friendly wave & said "I'm sure you have" after I told them I had more real experience than all the panel put together. Perhaps they will take up my offer to be on the panel? It wouldn't be boring if they did!  
Norman Scarth.

23 June '11

PS: I now see the calendar I was carrying with the phrase 'I am Bradley Manning'. I urge you to go to the Petition which asks you to send a photograph saying the same. N.

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Subject: Photos taken at Leeds Courthouse

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 21:59:12 +0100

Hello Maurice and Norman,

Here are compressed versions of all the picture bar two which I took at Leeds. All these nine have some merit.

I have the originals of all 11 frames; all approx 2.7MB. These compressed photos are about 110KB each.

I can send the originals of all or any, and I can crop to order any you want cropped, or I can leave that to you if you want to use any of them.

I see Norman is carrying a calendar with the words "I am Bradley Manning" written on the back for some reason! The words can be removed.

The focus looks poor, especially in the group photos, but it's the compression which has caused this; the originals are okay. If you use better software to compress than I have, the clarity may be retained a little.

Richard

21 June '11

Sharon,

Lovely to get the message you sent today. You might like this one.

Norman. With Much Luv. XX

PS: I was in Doncaster Magistrates' Court today to observe the evil ones who asked for, & the evil District Judge who issued, a warrant for the arrest of Vicky Haigh (& of course her 5 week old baby!) Following which I 'Layed Information' trying to start a Private Prosecution for myself, the fifth Magistrates' Court in which I have tried to do so recently - meeting seriously CRIMINAL obstruction from the crooked judge again. Will tell you more later.

PPS: You will have already seen the T&A front page, me expressing my pride in being a 'Front Page Celebrity'. I have added a different comment now, which more accurately describes the true situation. N.

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: [sabine@3d-metrics.com](mailto:sabine@3d-metrics.com); [zozo@clownzozo.co.uk](mailto:zozo@clownzozo.co.uk)

CC: [maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com); [pauljolliffe@freedom-advocacy-law.co.uk](mailto:pauljolliffe@freedom-advocacy-law.co.uk); [paul@patrocinium.eu](mailto:paul@patrocinium.eu)

Subject: Pleased to meet ANOTHER 'angry old git'.

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 23:21:25 +0100

Hello Tony.

That makes two angry old gits! Too old to start a revolution? LIKE HECK WE ARE! You & I must get together. I live in Bradford, West Yorkshire.

Norman Scarth

AKA Lorne Auder.

AKA 'A Paranoid, Disturbed, Violent & Crazy Old Man' (see attachment).

From: [sabine@3d-metrics.com](mailto:sabine@3d-metrics.com)

To: [zozo@clownzozo.co.uk](mailto:zozo@clownzozo.co.uk)

CC: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com); [maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com); [pauljolliffe@freedom-advocacy-law.co.uk](mailto:pauljolliffe@freedom-advocacy-law.co.uk); [paul@patrocinium.eu](mailto:paul@patrocinium.eu)

Subject: RE: Kidnap

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 23:03:14 +0200

I believe that the PRIVATE PROSECUTION that Norman Scarth and Maurice Kirk are in the process of exercising might be the best possible avenue for family court judges, too.

Hence I Cc Norman and Maurice as well as Paul Randle Joliffe who is a Common Law advocate par excellence.

Keep your anger up, Tony!!!

The wrath of god is VITAL in the face of these crimes!!!

Sabine

---

From: Tony Butler [mailto:[zozo@clownzozo.co.uk](mailto:zozo@clownzozo.co.uk)]

Sent: 21 June 2011 21:29

To: [sabine@3d-metrics.com](mailto:sabine@3d-metrics.com)

Subject: Kidnap

Hello,

How can I lawfully arrest:every family court judge, involved, in the kidnap, of babies and child trafficking, in a denial to the victims of their Common Law right of trial by jury?

The imposition of gagging orders is a blatant attempt to stifle protest.

<http://www.angryoldgit.nottinghamshiretimes.co.uk/residentevil.html>

There must be a provision in Common Law, for a lawful rebellion, against crimes committed by the Government.

One can hardly expect the Government, judiciary, and police, to arrest themselves.

I'm too old to start a revolution, but if no one else is prepared to stop this evil, I must do what I can.

Kindest regards,

Tony Butler

(Angry old git)

T&A-Quisling002.pdf  
1166K View Download

21 June '11

Hi Norman,

So proud of you - still soldiering on, even in your twilight years. You're really putting all those fraudsters and the spineless to shame. Bless you. God is smiling upon you.

Wish I could've been there, but just so busy all the time struggling to keep afloat with full time work and family. [It's a nightmare in our house if I even mention the word corruption. Hubby and the kids are like all the other ostriches who refuse to believe how bad things really are. I keep telling them and my friends and acquaintances that by the time they finally wake up and realise how enslaved we all are it'll be too late to do anything about it....] One day though, I will be back on board with you and all the other good souls out there who are also doing great work awakening the slumbering and making changes.

Sharon.

- Show quoted text -

On 17 June 2011 14:04, norman scarth <[enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)> wrote:

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: [annette-rose-smith@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:annette-rose-smith@hotmail.co.uk); [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Subject: Bless YOU Annette ...

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 13:50:26 +0100

Bless YOU Annette, for finding this stirring performance. I can't sing (as you know ), but if we can get enough to take it up it MIGHT stir others to waken up. After all, the armed forces use music to put courage into the hearts of men. (As the legal phrase goes, 'The maculine embraces the feminine'.)

Norman.

From: [annette-rose-smith@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:annette-rose-smith@hotmail.co.uk)

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Subject: God Bless Norman Scarth===A STOUT HEARTED MAN

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 11:34:19 +0100

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v55F8ocQ--I&list=MLGxdCwVVULXd09AfaFBZgQexh8iZGICQq>

Their spirit lives on

<http://www.britishpathe.com/record.php?id=76981>

The Old Contemptibles

17 June '11

I promised that this instalment would be the story of 'Nice Guy' District Judge Taff in Manchester Mags. However, Sam has allowed me to demonstrate my singing talent(?) with 'Stouthearted Men' which could be 'Our Song'. While that rendition is still extant, it is perhaps fitting that I divert a little.

I am grateful to have a small but significant band of staunch supporters in many parts of Britain and in far off lands. However, there is another Little Gang who have helped me greatly in a different way. I want to copy to you my message to them, but need to divert even further for you to understand.

Some of you will know, but some will not, that at the age of 75, in a Kangaroo Court in Sheffield, under the sadistic Judge David Ronald Bentley, he said I was 'A Dangerous Man'. (Indeed I am, NOT to the public, & NOT because I have guns or bombs, but a danger to 'Bent' Bentley & his crooked cronies, because of the masses of evidence I have of their corruption!) So, he imposed a sentence with the intention I would die in prison. And so, I spent 7 years in a bewildering succession of many different prisons & two lunatic asylums, then 'On Licence' (which is NOT 'Freedom') for another three years. My first period in a Nuthouse (Newton Lodge Gulag 'Hospital', Wakefield) was for three months, supposedly 'For Assessment' (my sentence [but not the conviction] had to be quashed for them to do that). The shrinks knew what was expected of them, & were mad keen to get stuck in with the needles to turn me into a zombie before any assessment. However, the honest nurses (many are just jailers) refused to be party to such depravity. Also a psychiatrist had offered to come at his own expense from Chicago to challenge any diagnosis that I was 'mentally ill'. Anyway, after the three months, the sentence was re-imposed & I was back in prison. Well, in spite of 'Bent' Bentley's intentions, I did not die in prison, but survived long enough to have "paid my debt to society", & was due to walk free. Instead, almost 80 years of age, weighing 8 stone, with spondulosis, osteo-arthritis & congenital fusion of the spine, in double handcuffs, escorted by three sadistic screws & a 'nurse', I was UNLAWFULLY carted back to Newton Lodge Gulag, NOT as a patient, but a captive, just as much as Terry Waite, John McCarthy, Brian Keenan in Beirut, Daniel Pearl in Pakistan, Ken Bigley & Margaret Hassan in Iraq. I neither needed nor received any treatment. It was a minor miracle that though they were itching to use those needles, I did manage to escape that fate. After a year or so there I was moved to a 'Low Security' Nuthouse. Though not quite as bad as Newton Lodge, I was still held behind bars & barbed wire. There I came under the care of my 'Little

Gang', headed by a newly qualified Consultant Psychiatrist, an honest one (all too many are not!) They all knew & said (though not directly) that I was not suffering from mental illness. However, it would have been rocking the boat too much to release me immediately, so we all had to make the pretence of six months 'rehabilitation' before they dared to let me free. Well to come to the point of this little diversion: Having once been a 'certified' lunatic, I am still under their care, so we come to the message I sent them (in case it is not obvious, it is my attempt at humour ):

Subject: FW: PLEEZ come - ASAP! I am hearing voices again!

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 05:31:33 +0100

Dear XXX, (my proper nurse)

The message below is to my psychiatric team, who deserve much praise for curing me of my delusions, keeping me half sane, & to whom I turn when regression rears its ugly head. Dr. XXXX XXXXXX is my Consultant Psychiatrist, 'H' my Psychiatric Nurse, 'L' WAS my Occupational Therapist (until she was promoted), who travels far beyond the call of duty to visit patients in the Greek Islands, Lanzarote, The Seychelles, Bermuda & such places [an 'In Joke' ], 'J' is Louise's replacement. Great thanks to them for my at least reasonable(?) mental health, as there is to you for my physical health.

Regards,

Norman (married to Laura Norder) Geddit?

PS: Clarification: 'H' was to visit me yesterday, but I reluctantly had to cancel, having to make a journey to a foreign land [Lancashire!], of which you will learn soon. N.

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: XXXXXX

CC: 'j'

Subject: PLEEZ come - ASAP! I am hearing voices again!

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 05:01:32 +0100

To the 4 Junior Members of Our Gang (Ah, those wonderful films of the 1930s!)

Wakey, Wakey, rise & shine! 'Dawn is breaking, & a New Day is born, the birds are singing, the Song of the Dawn' (note the time of sending).

PLEEZ come - ASAP! I am hearing voices again! They are saying, "DON'T GIVE UP YURE DAY JOB!"

Uncle Norman.

PS: To 'J': Welcome to 'Our Gang'. The initiation: drinking Spanish wine [do children still brew it? eating liquorice bootlaces, sherbet & gobstoppers, & swearing to be blood brothers [well, uncle, nephews & nieces] will follow soon. UN.

(Story about DJ Taff in Manchester Mags will follow soon.)

Re. 'Our Song?': We could have two - or three?. The Savoy Operas (Gilbert & Sullivan) a wonderful source. Google 'Trial by Jury, to see & hear wonderful performances of "I Am a Judge & a Good Judge Too" on Youtube. 'Wasted' Rock Guitarist Gosnell would not know it. WE COULD HAVE SUNG IT AS WE LEFT THE COURT! N.

Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2011 18:27:39 +0100

Subject: Fwd: [WeAreChangeManchester] Magna Carta Day in Leeds - Wednesday June 15th 2011

From: [illuminati.manchester@gmail.com](mailto:illuminati.manchester@gmail.com)

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Sam Bamford <[sam@wearechangemanchester.com](mailto:sam@wearechangemanchester.com)>

Date: 16 June 2011 17:50

Subject: [WeAreChangeManchester] Magna Carta Day in Leeds - Wednesday June 15th 2011

To: [WeAreChangeManchester-announce@meetup.com](mailto:WeAreChangeManchester-announce@meetup.com)

Hi People,

Wednesday June 15th 2011, saw representatives of WAC Manchester and WAC Leeds come together with people of various political persuasions and beliefs to support Norman Scarth in his fight for Freedom and Liberty.

Let Norman, born in 1925 and a WW2 Veteran speak for himself:

If we are ever to have a semblance of Justice in Britain, we must break the stranglehold which the Legal Mafia have on The Law. One way we can do it is by Private Prosecutions (a right we have had from centuries before the police & CPS were invented). THEY know this, which is why they use every dirty trick to obstruct Private Prosecutions. I am seeking to overcome this obstruction by Judicial Review in the High Court.

People who fought against Nazi tyranny in World War II, but have been so badly betrayed. Buying a poppy once a year has not prevented Britain becoming an Orwellian Police State - though hidden behind a nauseating PRETENCE of 'Democracy', & the 'Rule of Law'. WE HAVE NEITHER! Instead, we have the 'Rule of Law-yers' - a very different thing! They are the new 'Master Race' (not the one Hitler envisaged), have seized Absolute Power, & will destroy anyone (big or little) they see as a threat to their power. '1984' is NOW!!

Norman Scarth (HMS Matchless 1943/44, Russian Convoys & The Scharnhorst battle)

The Video of the day's events and Norman speaking afterwards can be viewed [Here](#) or on the WAC Manchester Youtube Channel

Thanks for Listening,

Sam ([sam@wearechangemanchester.com](mailto:sam@wearechangemanchester.com))

--

Please Note: If you hit "REPLY", your message will be sent to everyone on this mailing list ([WeAreChangeManchester-list@meetup.com](mailto:WeAreChangeManchester-list@meetup.com))

This message was sent by Sam Bamford ([sam@wearechangemanchester.com](mailto:sam@wearechangemanchester.com)) from WeAreChange Manchester.

To learn more about Sam Bamford, visit his/her member profile

17 June '11

To Fellow Maquisard:

4.30a.m: Now refreshed after much needed sleep, I can start to tell you of HH Judge 'Wasted' (Gosnell), & 'Nice Guy' DJ Taff.

My Magna Carta Day hearing in Leeds was before His Honour Judge Mark Gosnell QC, Presiding Circuit Judge for much of Yorkshire. I suggest it is highly improper that a Circuit Judge, who was only ACTING as a High Court Judge should be in a position to overrule Mr. Justice Parker, a genuine High Court Judge, who had refused my Application 'on the papers'. It was obvious, he never would do, even if he could. Below is my presentation of case to Gosnell (a Circuit Judge is usually addressed as 'Your Honour' but, acting as a High Court Judge he was entitled to be addressed as 'My Lord', & I did so) :

-----

My Lord, I was surprised to learn that you are a guitarist in a Rock Band called Wasted. Perhaps younger people will tell me whether you are more likely to be that rare creature an Honest Lawyer?

My Lord, this is about bent coppers & crooked judges. I hope you will not try to say there are none. My Lord, I hope you will not tell us, 'There are proper procedures to seek a remedy'. You know as well as I do that ALL such procedure are designed AND USED to send the victim running around in circles until he collapses from exhaustion or gives up in disgust! None more so than the IPCC – the 'IMITATION Police Complaints Commission', the CCRC – the 'Completely Corrupt Review Commission' or the OJC, the Office for Judicial Complaints.

From centuries before the police were invented, it was the right of everyone in the land to report crime to a Justice of the Peace, who would then cause a Summon to be issued. We STILL have that right. It was confirmed by the blood of all those who gave their lives in World War II to defend that right. Sadly the legal professionals hate us having that right. With contempt for the law they purport to serve, they will use every dirty trick to block us, as now.

That this case is in the High Court at all is itself crime: the extortion of money from the taxpayer to put money into the pockets of the legal profession, when it SHOULD have been dealt with quickly & cheaply by Bradford Magistrates' Court last October. Instead they deliberately sent me, an old man, on what they had arranged would be a wild goose chase, in bad weather with slippery pavements, to Leeds.

Combating those who seek to abolish juries, Lord Devlin said, "They are the lamp by which we see that Freedom lives". Justices of the Peace have that same vital function; to protect us from an oppressive State – & present day Britain is certainly that! Instead, going into a building with a great big sign saying 'MAGISTRATES' COURT', all too often we find ourselves before a District Judge, a lawyer on the payroll of the very State which is oppressing us.

In Leeds, District Judge Darnton was well prepared, ready to repel boarders, i.e. the hated Litigant In Person. To be generous let's say he 'Misdirected himself on the law', though in truth he was really perverting the course of justice, & acting from malice, towards the LIP in general, me in particular. He even said, "I have no power to make Orders", though contradicting himself later, saying "I will decide what Orders to issue".

The false excuses he used in refusing to issue Summonses against the four named persons who had assaulted me were NOT valid against the police officer named [Chief Superintendent Rose, a female, who I wished to prosecute for either 'Misconduct in Public Office' or 'Perverting the Vourse of Justice'].

HAVING BEEN TOLD THAT THERE WAS VIDEO EVIDENCE OF THE ASSAULTS (either of which could have been fatal, particularly on a man of my age) Rose had ignored the letter from the Deputy Chief Constable's Staff Officer, ignored my written complaint & an hour long interview at the police station, refused to investigate the crimes, to record the complaint or to give it a number, but DJ Darnton still refused a Summons in that case too. His only reason for that refusal was that she was a person with power ( though that of course was not said). I remind you: we are SUPPOSED to have equality under the law. I'm sure you are aware of Regina v Dytham, which ruled that failure to act (omission) can be just as culpable is the commission of an offence.

Lord Denning was rated - BY SOME – as the finest judge of the 20th century. In his book 'WHAT NEXT IN THE LAW' he wrote about 'Abuse of Power'. "No matter who it is - who is guilty of the abuse or misuse (of power). Be it Government, national or local. Be it trade unions. Be it the press. Be it management. Be it labour. Whoever it be, no matter how powerful, the law should provide a speedy remedy for the abuse or misuse of power, else the

oppressed will get to the point where they will stand it no longer. They will find their own remedy. There will be anarchy.”

The current Lord Chief Justice said much the same more recently: “If the law will not provide a remedy, people will find someone to throw bricks through windows – OR WORSE!”

If ‘The Law’, (i.e. the police & the courts) will not protect me, or provide me with a remedy for crime committed against me, then I am fully entitled to protect myself & seek my own remedy! As it is, anyone in the land has licence to commit any crime against me with impunity. Far from preventing crime against me the police would be more likely to join in against me. I don’t like guns, but if I am forced to get one to protect myself I will do so.

Lord Denning gave a most important warning to the nation – but is notable that he excluded the judiciary from his list of those who might abuse their power!

Some of Denning’s earlier words were less worthy. At one of his lectures to the Holdsworth Club at Birmingham University he said, “No British judge has been biased for 300 years”: Palpable nonsense, but the ECtHR subscribes to that view.

In *Sirrus v Moore*, 1974, he said, “No matter that (a judge) be motivated by the utmost malice, greed, envy & all uncharitableness, he is not liable to any action”. This self-proclaimed immunity was falsely proclaimed, but accepted as the word of God ever since. Elsewhere Denning said, “Better that innocent men should remain in prison than that the integrity of the judiciary be impugned”.

The words themselves belie that integrity, but Denning has many present day followers, including successive Governments, Parliament, the Media, the Prison Service & the ECtHR. Denning’s words were in gross contempt of his own Judicial Oath, & that of the Sovereign, in whose name he purported to act. So much for the finest judge of the 20th century!

I ask this court to make Orders: (a) that P. Craig Mitchell & Judith Clarke, who know the addresses of those who committed serious assaults on me in 2010, shall give those addresses. (b) That Bradford Magistrates’ Court and Leeds Magistrates’ Court shall issue Summonses when Information is put before them.

You don’t need me to tell you that when doing so the Private Prosecutor (like the police or CPS) only needs to give such detail as will enable the person summoned to understand to what it relates: i.e. ‘On the afternoon of Friday 6th January 1906, Joe Bloggs came into my shop & stole a bar of chocolate’. Court staff should then tell the Informant, ‘That is a Common Law offence’, or, ‘That is an offence under Statute so & so’, or whatever, & a Summons should be issued.

(c) That Bradford & Leeds Magistrates’ Court, the Ministry of Justice, & Bradford Councillors shall cease blocking my emails. (d) That the DPP’s office shall deal with communications from me as appropriate, & NOT (as they have stated) that ANYTHING from me will be ‘put on file & ignored’.

We’re fighting a war that’s DISGUISED as ‘THE LAW’: the enemy are terrorists in police uniform – AND WIGS & ROBES! They don’t follow rules OR the Rule of Law - they follow orders! COVERT orders from the Godfathers of the Legal Mafia. (though the last sentence was in the document which I handed up, I actually spoke something slightly different) Hitler conquered most of Europe, including France & Norway. In France he installed the Vichy Government. In Norway He made Vidkun Quisling Prime Minister. He FAILED to conquer Britain, BUT, waiting in the wings was a Fifth Column. THEY WERE ALREADY HERE!

During the last 60 year British Quislings have achieved by stealth that which Hitler failed to do by force. They are the new Master Race (though not the one Hitler envisaged): During those 60 years Quislings have quietly taken every position of power. WE are the Resistance,

the Maquis – we are Maquisards!

IF THAT IS NOT ENOUGH, THERE IS MUCH MORE! (end of typed copy of presentation, handed up with the request that it be an official document, 'on the record').

-----

I am grateful to Maurice Kirk, my McKenzie Friend, for his suggestion that I should hand up the typed copy of that presentation, requesting that it be an official document 'on the record', & I did so. In fact, I did put in a few spoken ad libs, but I don't think they added much to the above. I felt that I had done a good job, & it was pleasing that the observers confirmed this. Must give a little credit to Gosnell that he gave me permission to use my digital recorder, but would not allow supporters to do so. I told him I intended to appeal his decision, & asked his permission to do so, but he refused. I can still do so of course, by asking the Appeal Court itself.

That's it for now folks: I feel the need to get back to bed for another couple of hours. Will tell the story of 'Nice Guy' District Judge Taff in Manchester Magistrates' Court in the next instalment.

Norman Scarth.

PS: I did suggest to Gosnell, & to all those present that they should try to see the Charles Laughton film, "This Land is Mine". Though it was a wartime propaganda film about Nazi Occupied Europe, the title, & the film itself, is most relevant to Britain today. NS.

Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2011 18:27:39 +0100

Subject: Fwd: [WeAreChangeManchester] Magna Carta Day in Leeds - Wednesday June 15th 2011

From: [illuminati.manchester@gmail.com](mailto:illuminati.manchester@gmail.com)

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

13 June '11

Maurice was arrested on landing from the ferry in Portsmouth. He was coming from the safety of France to attend the Magna Carta Day hearing in Leeds. LET FIVE HUNDRED TAKE HIS PLACE! It is at 12 noon on Wednesday 15th June, at Leeds Combined Court Centre, Westgate, Leeds LS1 3BG. (Close by Leeds Town Hall, a good landmark with its massive dome.)

Norman Scarth. Tel: 01274 541 213. Mobile: 0779 435 8691. Email:

[enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2011 20:23:02 +0100

From: [meirionbowen@btopenworld.com](mailto:meirionbowen@btopenworld.com)

Subject: Maurice arrested by Portsmouth Police update

To: [sabine.mcneill@3d-metrics.com](mailto:sabine.mcneill@3d-metrics.com)

CC: [guidavis@hotmail.com](mailto:guidavis@hotmail.com); [paul.talbot-jenkins@virgin.net](mailto:paul.talbot-jenkins@virgin.net); [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com); [ptds05@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:ptds05@hotmail.co.uk); [maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com); [m.kellett@tiscali.co.uk](mailto:m.kellett@tiscali.co.uk); [jeanjames@telus.net](mailto:jeanjames@telus.net); [dafydd.e.morgan@gmail.com](mailto:dafydd.e.morgan@gmail.com)

Been notified and confirmed by Portsmouth Police that Maurice has been arrested for an outstanding Warrant by a South Wales Court.

He will be collected by Cardiff Police and brought before Cardiff Magistrates Court in the morning.

Told the Police that he has Appealed against the warrant. They were aware of that but are awaiting Cardiff Police to come and collect him.

Must get to Court to see if he has been harmed in any way.

They could duff him up on the way.

11 June '11

Bent Coppers & Crooked Quisling Judges MUST be stopped. Corruption is rampant in the British courts, civil & criminal. Judges are the Godfathers of the Legal Mafia, who run the most successful PROTECTION RACKET in the world. They have a stranglehold on THE LAW, & think they own it. The Fair Lady Justice is raped in our courts every day of the week. MPs (enjoying the ride on their own gravy train) & the so-called 'News Media' lead the populace in worshipping the judiciary as Gods. They are not! Their only human quality is arrogance. If there is ever to be Justice in Britain, we must BREAK that stranglehold. I am attempting to do so in

Leeds Combined Court Centre on Wednesday 16th June, MAGNA CARTA DAY at 12 noon. PLEASE BE THERE IF YOU POSSIBLY CAN.

You owe it to all those who gave their lives in World War II, but have been so badly betrayed. Buying a poppy once a year has not prevented Britain becoming the very thing they fought against. It is an Orwellian Police State - though hidden behind a nauseating PRETENCE of 'Democracy', & the 'Rule of Law'. WE HAVE NEITHER! Instead, we have the 'Rule of Law-yers' - a very different thing! They are the new 'Master Race' (not the one Hitler envisaged), have seized Absolute Power, & will destroy anyone (big or little) they see as a threat to their power. '1984' is NOW!

Your presence in sufficient numbers on Magna Carta Day (as above), might help to restore a semblance of Justice to Britain.

Norman Scarth (HMS Matchless 1943/44, Russian Convoys & the Scharnhorst battle).

Tel: 01274 541 213. Mobile: 0779 435 8691. Email: [enscarth@hotline.com](mailto:enscarth@hotline.com)

8 June '11

Elizabeth,

Good to hear your Bournemouth court hearing went OK.

Re. Trafalgar Square: Wonderful Rally! Congratulations to all. Vicky Haigh & Maggie Tuttle particularly impressive.

Please urge anyone that can to get to Leeds Combined Court Centre at 12 noon on Magna Carta Day, 15th June. Not directly related to State Abduction, but it is VERY MUCH related to finding a remedy.

Norman Scarth. Tel. 01274 541 213 or Email [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

PS: It is a High Court case, Regina (N.Scarth) versus Leeds Magistrates' Court. (The hope is for an honest judge. Will we find one on Magna Carta Day?)

From: [discoveries@btinternet.com](mailto:discoveries@btinternet.com)

To: [hemmingj@parliament.uk](mailto:hemmingj@parliament.uk)

Subject: BRITAIN'S BIGGEST ISSUE - UK SOCIAL WORKERS: LEGALISED THEFT OF CHILDREN FROM LOVING FAMILIES

Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2011 19:26:50 +0100

"Government seeks children's views on Justice System" - Abolish the secret Family courts!

BRITAIN'S BIG ISSUE - UK SOCIAL WORKERS: LEGALISED THEFT OF CHILDREN FROM LOVING FAMILIES

Whatever happened to children's RIGHT to a Family Life ? Without happy children whose sacred relationship with their parents is protected at all costs from interlopers, we as a

country, HAVE no future ! The State is breaking down the Family unit, in a shameless and disgraceful way - and are entirely undermining the right to a Family life.

Family Courts, (much despised and best avoided), are running amok and committing UNCONSCIABLE ACTS - condoning paedophilia and rampant criminality, allowing deception from County Councils and ignoring their abuse of the Court System by many or most of the Councils issuing "orders" themselves, forging and falsifying court "orders" in this way (unaccountable, unchecked and unsupervised by anyone), 'feeding' the jobs of dishonest and disconnected 'officers of the court' who jump on the "care proceedings bandwagon" and who have qualified themselves by taking leave of their conscience, turning a blind eye to the outrageous collusion between police forces and the County Councils (!), where there is no separation of powers which undermines all prospect of any Justice.....These are common occurrences, as Brian Gerrish and other campaigners have pointed out at the recent Child Abuse rally in London last Saturday:

- County Councils are running illegal racketeering at children's expense, turning our most vulnerable sector of society into sacrificial lambs
- Family courts and County Councils (who feed a lifeline to the private Corporations misnamed a 'Police force' in each region), ripping off taxpayers money and the Public Purse FOR THEIR WAGES in this new-fangled "Industry" they have nefariously developed (because of usury);
- Those same councils have the private corporation POLICE in their back pockets (the councils pay their wages and the Council 'legal department' defends the police if they are challenged for ignoring criminality!)
- and so crime, abounds in this iniquitous arena;
- The State is sexualising young children, and splitting up families to undermine the entire structure of a stable Society, contaminating their absorbent minds through 'Common purpose' educational and Media agenda (insidious cartoons with many phallic images flashing on the screen at children), which crushes their innocence from as young as five years old;
- the State is trying to 'market' paedophilia as being "normal" and to liberalise porn pictures of children (check out the UK Column)
- The State is abusing the psychiatric system by alleging 'mental illness' against innocent mothers, to get their wicked way of stealing their children from them, but first undermining their role as a parent (which ought to be inviolable)
- the County Councils are dishonestly making huge money from our little children by issuing disingenuous "care orders" like confetti, and this unlocks their 'right of access' to thousands of pounds a week of taxpayers funds in return!
- Secret courts which are Statute Law courts that are closed courts to sanction little children being snatched unlawfully from loving parents and then being abused;
- some judges and CAFCASS / other "social workers" who are perverts is a major problem which is left, unchecked and undetected;
- 84 MAPPA offices throughout Britain, used to suppress whistleblowers in the UK to 'frame' them and criminalise them once they rack up enough points, like they used in Nazi Germany
- Mothers being commonly tortured and having their babies snatched from their breast whilst feeding, on the basis of a FORGED Court "order" (see above) by cruel maniacs parading as "Authorities";
- Draconian 'contracts' being imposed on parents to allow "care workers" (so called) to displace the mother and take over the child's life - like an unlawful and heartless interloper, once again ignoring the child's emotional needs;
- Even innocent mothers being criminally charged with "abudction" of their OWN CHILD !

(which no Law supports) - and then being told she can not use the 'defence' she chooses (that of 'necessity') in a CPS criminal Trial!!!  
CAN YOU BELIEVE IT ?

Please click on the link below as this article may be of interest to you and others,

<http://www.lawgazette.co.uk/news/government-seeks-children-s-views-justice-system>

Why should we continue to tolerate being ruled by criminals in parliament and the Judiciary, where there is a total disregard for the welfare of children and their parents, AND THEIR RIGHT TO A FAMILY LIFE ?

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/columnists/christopherbooker/8557045/How-our-judges-deny-human-rights-to-children-taken-into-care.html>

Brian Gerrish - UK Rally Against Child Abuse June 2011

Brian Gerrish of the UK Column newspaper, is a speaker and member of various valuable groups dedicated to exposing the corruption taking place in our governmental system. He also helps many victims of unlawful government action.

[www.ukcolumn.org](http://www.ukcolumn.org)

[www.cpexposed.com](http://www.cpexposed.com)

[www.thebcgroup.org.uk](http://www.thebcgroup.org.uk)

[www.lawfulrebellion.org](http://www.lawfulrebellion.org)

Vicki Haigh + others speak at the UK RALLY AGAINST CHILD ABUSE 4 6 2011:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vC06YQ12dMg>

Brian Gerrish:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VIVepAVBmd8&feature=share>

Robert Green:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CEM3QaxHJCI>

Paul Randle-Joliffe at the 2010 UK RALLY AGAINST CHILD ABUSE:

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bfcemntYsVw&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bfcemntYsVw&feature=player_embedded)

EVE PEARS TESTIMONY:

<http://www.youtube.com/playlist?p=PL59DD1966F025BB5A>

YouTube - Videos from this emailLoading...

Reply Reply to all Forward

6 June '11

Dear Sergeant Roberts,

"unnecessary detention" and "mishandling of property" (which you suggest) are HARDLY appropriate!

If I dragged an old man off and locked him in the back of a van for 3 hours I would have been

charged with the very serious offence of 'Kidnapping'. And/Or 'Unlawful arrest & False Imprisonment'. Also under section 4 of the 1986 Public Order Act for 'Causing Fear, Alarm & Distress to a Person of Reasonable Fortitude'. (Only the fact that my constitution is stronger than most men of my age saved me from ending up like Ian Tomlinson or Christopher Alder).

I remind you of your own oath in which you swore to act WITHOUT FEAR OR FAVOUR, i.e. that your officers must be dealt with in exactly the same way that I would have been had I committed those offences. I also point out that, irrespective of the lawfulness of the arrest, upon detaining someone the police are required by the POLICE AND CRIMINAL EVIDENCE ACT (PACE) to bring the detained to the nearest custody suite WITHOUT DELAY. Failure to do so could SURELY amount to 'Misconduct in Public Office', a Common Law Offence. Particularly when I was frogmarched at speed through the police station reception area & into the yard at the back. Can you please inform me if there are CCTV cameras in either place? I presume you are aware that the officer who dragged me violently from the van & threw me to the ground was Sergeant Sam Parker? Even after being told I had been 'de-arrested' two officers forced me roughly up against the police van while a smirking female officer took my photograph. Whatever about any other offences, this was surely assault & humiliation? And should not the 'disappearance' of coins of the realm be treated as theft?

I trust you will deal with the matter with the urgency it warrants. At my advanced years patience is a luxury I cannot afford.

Norman Scarth.

> Subject: Complaint Against Police PSD 11/281 SCARTH - [Restricted]

> To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

> From: [Kate.Roberts@merseyside.police.uk](mailto:Kate.Roberts@merseyside.police.uk)

> Date: Mon, 6 Jun 2011 14:56:58 +0100

>

> Dear Mr. Scarth,

>

> Thank you for your e-mail dated 5th June 2011.

> As requested I will progress with an investigation of your complaint. At

> the conclusion of the investigation you will receive a letter with a

> decision from Chief Inspector Gunatilleke as to whether your allegations

> are upheld or not.

>

> The allegations recorded are 'unnecessary detention' and 'mishandling of

> property'. ?????????????????? See above!

>

> Please accept my apologies if you did not receive a leaflet regarding the

> local resolution process. I have attached a copy below below for your

> information, however I will progress with an investigation unless I hear

> from you to do otherwise. If you have any additional information further

> tot hat provided in your e-mail dated 11th May 2011, please provide details

> via email as this is your preferred way of communication.

>

> (See attached file: Dealing With Your Complaint By Local Resolution.pdf)

> Your sincerely,

> Kate Roberts

> Wirral Complaints Liaison Officer

> 0151 777 2086

6 June '11

USA 'Justice' has its faults, but on these two examples it is a heck of a lot better than in Britain!

Norman Scarth.

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: [jameselmer@rtconnect.net](mailto:jameselmer@rtconnect.net)

Subject: RE: Bank Foreclosed by Home Owner. (USA & Britain).

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 09:00:24 +0100

Hello James,

You yourself often criticise your own USA government, but with all its faults it appears to be better than Police State Britain. I was marginally involved in a similar case here a few years ago. A married couple, G & E were in a dispute with the Royal Bank of Scotland who were ordered to pay a sum of a little less than £1,000. They failed to do so, which gave G & E the opportunity to make a 'Statutory Demand', following which they would have been able to Petition for Bankruptcy. Though the amount was small, the very act of petitioning would have caused a crisis in banking. So, during the Christmas Holidays the lawyer for the bank approached a judge at home & he made an Interim Order that they must NOT put in that Petition, under pain of imprisonment. A week later there was a hearing in Manchester as to whether the Injunction should continue. I was at that hearing as an observer. The judge extended the injunction, & said that G & E would go to prison if they tried to Petition for Bankruptcy. Added to which he said that if anyone in that courtroom (which included me) mentioned a single word that had been said, or even that the hearing had taken place, they too would go to prison.

Worse was to follow: The bank finally got an Order for possession of G & E's home (a 12 acre estate with a fishing lake & a mansion which they ran as a restaurant.) **THEY TRIED TO SERVE THE ORDER ON THE 12 YEAR OLD SON!** The evil of the bank & its lawyers now becomes unbelievable: G had a brain tumour which worsened until he was on his deathbed at home, being nursed night & day by his wife. G's wish was to be buried in a corner of the estate. Permission had been granted by the local authority & arrangements made with the priest (a family friend) for when the time came. The lawyers got wind of this, said it would lower the value of the estate, which they now claimed was owned by the bank. So, they got another injunction, that if G, E or the priest made any further arrangements towards the burial, they would ALL go to prison - yes, even G!

After G's death, E was in the Appeal Court in London & the injunction was mentioned. One of the three high ranking judges said, "That is the worst I have ever heard of". In spite of which, the judge who made the injunctions is STILL dispensing 'Justice' in the British Courts!

You will understand why my pride in being British (as I was for most of my long life) is now gone.

Norman Scarth (World War 2 veteran)

PS: The judge who said "That is the worst I have ever heard of" was the current Lord Chief Justice, Lord Judge. NS.

Date: Sat, 4 Jun 2011 21:50:58 -0600

From: [JamesElmer@rtconnect.net](mailto:JamesElmer@rtconnect.net)

To:

Subject: Bank of A Gets Foreclosed by Home Owner & Pad Locked After Homeowner Forecloses On It | digtriad.com

Thanks Ed,  
Finally the good guys finally win again.  
Tom

On Sat, Jun 4, 2011 at 1:31 PM, Ed and Diane <[judge@scrtc.com](mailto:judge@scrtc.com)> wrote:  
<http://www.digtriad.com/news/watercooler/article/178031/176/Florida-Homeowner-Forecloses-On-Bank-Of-America>

Couldn't happen to a nicer group of folks.  
They called My servants paper terrorists they  
wanted terrorists I have given them terrorists  
I will not always strive with man whom I have  
created if they will not listen to My servants  
I will preach them a sermon by the elements.  
Fall 2K1

God bless the REPUBLIC with  
Liberty and Justice for ALL.

I am James Elmer :-)

If you want to be on my list, send an email  
with Subscribe in the Subject line.

If you want off my list send an email with  
Remove in the subject line, you will be  
gone like a wild goose in winter.

5 June '11

To Sergeant Mrs. Kate Roberts.

Dear Mrs. Roberts,

Yes please, in spite of the fact I would do better to complain to Father Christmas, Elvis Presley or Lord Lucan, I DO ask that you treat this as an OFFICIAL COMPLAINT (much good it will do me!) See my comments, inserted below in red, for easy recognition.  
N.Scarth.

-----  
> Subject: Complaint Against Police PSD 11/ - [Restricted]

> To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

> From: [Kate.Roberts@merseyside.police.uk](mailto:Kate.Roberts@merseyside.police.uk)

> Date: Tue, 31 May 2011 15:55:33 +0100

>

> Dear Mr. Scarth,

> A complaint against police has been recorded as you wrote to the Wirral  
> Magistrates and raised your concerns regarding the way in which you were  
> treated by both court staff and members of Merseyside Police. Your e-mail  
> was forwarded to Merseyside Police. As a result of the allegations made in  
> your e-mail, a complaint against police has been recorded.

>

> If you wish to withdraw this complaint, please let me know.

>

> However if you would like me to look into how you were dealt with by  
> Merseyside Police officers on the date you state you were refused entry to  
> Wirral Magistrates, I will do so.

'Refused entry'? That is a eupheism if ever there was one! I was NOT 'refused entry', but had

barely got through the door before I was dragged off by ignorant thugs in uniform who acted just like the Gestapo in Nazi Germany, then stuck in a 2'6" square cage in the back of a police van in a police station yard for nearly three hours! SEE ATTACHMENT.

>

- > It would help if I could discuss your complaint, in order that I may be
- > able to obtain further details from you and in order to explain the
- > complaints process. I am available on the telephone number below. If you
- > do not get an answer I would be grateful if you would leave a contact
- > number so I can return your call.

I am well aware of the 'Complaints Procedures' & know only too well that ALL such 'Procedures' are designed & used to send the victim running round in circles until his brain becomes addled, he becomes exhausted, or gives up in disgust! Why on earth do you want to speak on the telephone? Is it because you are anxious that there should be no record? I prefer that all communication between us to be by email, & thus ON record. (More in red lower down)

>

- > I look forward to hearing from you.
- > Regards,
- > Kate Roberts
- > Wirral Complaints Liaison Officer. 0151 777 2086

> -----

- > norman scarth
- > <[enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)
- > il.com> To
- > <[kate.roberts@merseyside.police.uk](mailto:kate.roberts@merseyside.police.uk)>
- > 26/05/2011 cc
- > 14:44
- > Subject
- > WHAT complaint?

>

- > Dear Mrs. Roberts,
- > I am very puzzled to receive your message. I have made NO complaint to
- > Merseyside Police or any other police force, nor to the 'Imitation' Police
- > Complaints Commission.
- > N. Scarth.
- > PS: I have received no leaflet 'explaining the local Resolution process',
- > but then, having made no complaint, I wouldn't expect to. NS.

>

- > > Subject: Complaint Against Police PSD CO 11/281 - [Restricted]
- > > To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)
- > > From: [Kate.Roberts@merseyside.police.uk](mailto:Kate.Roberts@merseyside.police.uk)
- > > Date: Thu, 26 May 2011 12:56:29 +0100

> >

- > > > Dear Mr. Scarth,
- > > I am writing to advise you that I have been designated to deal with your
- > > complaint against police and to provide you with an outline of how the
- > > investigation process will be managed.
- > > Your complaint has been assessed and has been deemed suitable for Local
- > > Resolution. I would now welcome the opportunity to meet with you to
- > discuss your complaint in more detail. During our meeting I will explain the

> > options available to you in determining how your complaint is dealt with,  
> > in particular the Local Resolution process. I will also be able to  
> discuss the Independent Police Complaints Commission's (IPCC's) role in the  
> > complaints process. You should have received a leaflet explaining the  
> > Local Resolution process. I urge you to read it before we discuss your  
> > complaint, as it will assist you in deciding how you want your complaint  
> to be dealt with.  
> >

> > I would point out that to conduct a meaningful investigation into your  
> > complaint I need your full cooperation.

You will certainly have my FULL co-operation I - unless you try to fob me off with the usual  
garbage. therefore request that you contact me, at the earliest opportunity, on the telephone  
number shown

> > above, in order that we can progress this matter.

> > Yours sincerely

> > Kate Roberts

> > Sergeant 1335

> > Wirral Complaints Liaison Officer

> > Manor Road Police Station, Manor Road, Wallasey, Wirral CH44 1DA

> > 0151 777 2086

Scharnhorst.jpg

5022K View Download

3rd June '22

My Judicial Review Application (Case CO/601/2011, The Queen (on the application of  
Norman Scarth), versus Leeds Magistrates' Court & others.) is to be heard in Leeds  
Combined Court Centre on Wednesday 15th June 2011, listed as 'NOT BEFORE 12 NOON'.  
Please forgive my 'delusions of grandeur', but I do believe it warrants an attendance  
comparable to Birkenhead on 7th March.

Directions. The Courthouse (LS1 3BG) is just off Westgate, which is a continuation of The  
Headrow. It is the plain red brick building close by the Town Hall (a large imposing building  
with a massive domed tower). A free bus runs from Leeds Railway station. Get off at the  
Town Hall.

You know my belief that one way we may break the stranglehold which the Legal Mafia has  
on 'The Law' (& return something pertaining to 'Justice' to Britain) is to use Private  
Prosecutions. That they use all their dirty tricks to block us shows that they realise this. This  
Application for Judicial Review is my attempt to overcome their blocking tactics & break that  
stranglehold. As indicated below, 30 minutes SHOULD be enough for the judge to grant  
'Permission to make the Application' (which is the convoluted way they do things), but the  
presence of numbers such as at Birkenhead on 7th March could ensure s/he does so. I  
understand the judge is likely to be HH Judge Mark Gosnell who lives at Newchurch in the  
Rossendale Valley in Lancashire. Surprisingly (to me) he is a guitarist in a rock band & is 'a  
stalwart of evening gigs at the Jolly Sailor, Spring Terrace, White Lion & other ritzy Valley  
venues with his band WASTED'. You younger people must tell me if this means he is more  
likely to obey his Judicial Oath? See below for my comments about a Circuit Judge being  
put in a position to overrule a High Court Judge.

Please ring me on 01274 541 213 if you wish. (see lower down for my contention that this  
matter being in the High Court at all is extortion of money from the public to go into the

pockets of the legal professionals.)

Norman Scarth.

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: [administrativecourtoffice.leeds@hmcts.x.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:administrativecourtoffice.leeds@hmcts.x.gsi.gov.uk)

Subject: CO/601/2011. Time estimate.

Date: Tue, 24 May 2011 10:35:58 +0100

Case CO/601/2011

To the Administrative Court at Leeds, Tel: 0113 306 2578. Fax: 2581.

Email: [administrativecourtoffice.leeds@hmcts.x.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:administrativecourtoffice.leeds@hmcts.x.gsi.gov.uk)

Re. The Queen (on the application of Norman Scarth), versus Leeds Magistrates' Court & others.

From Norman Scarth, Tel: 01274 541 213. [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

The letter dated 17 May 2011 from your D Jackson-Penney re. time estimate has been received. Thank you. It says that 30 minutes has been allowed for the hearing, but that I should contact you if I feel it will take more. If there is even the PRETENCE of the Rule of Law in Britain, if the judge presiding is at all mindful of his own Judicial Oath & that of The Sovereign in whose name he acts, then 30 minutes should be ample time for me to present the case for permission to be granted, & for the Orders requested to be made.

However, if he has difficulty in putting aside the hostility which all members of the legal profession have towards the Litigant In Person (& me in particular) then it could take possibly two days (or more) to convince him that he should put it aside. If, contrary to both the above oaths AND the Rule of Law he decides to dismiss the Application, he will be giving permission to anyone in the land to commit any crime against me, up to & including murder (especially the police, who have failed twice already). Do I really have to 'seek my own remedy' as predicted by Lord Denning (who I have quoted in earlier documents to the court). "There will be anarchy" said he. The current Lord Chief Justice Lord Judge said much the same recently: that if the courts cannot provide a remedy for injustice, victims may 'find someone to throw a brick through a window - OR WORSE! I am most reluctant to do so. In spite of all, I STILL hope there may be an honest judge somewhere, but if 'The Law' (courts & police) will not protect me, then I cannot possibly be bound by the law.

- - - - -

If the judge IS minded to dismiss my application, I give below some of the arguments (but by no means all) I will use in attempting to get him to obey his Judicial Oath:

That this hearing is taking place at all is an absolute disgrace. That it is before the High Court, when it COULD & SHOULD have been dealt with simply, speedily & cheaply in Bradford Magistrates' Court is extortion from the British taxpayer to put money into the pockets of the legal profession. Bad enough at any time, this is especially so when the nation is, SUPPOSEDLY, in financial straits, with 'cutbacks' in truly vital services elsewhere.

However, other elements than money came into it: One is the stranglehold which the legal professionals have on 'The Law'. They think they own it. Another is their hatred of the Litigant In Person. It is no exaggeration to say it equals that of the Nazis for the Jews. I make that statement with authority: As Honorary Secretary of the Litigants In Person Society I have much more experience than just my own.

An example of the arrogance of the profession is that we cannot just apply for Judicial Review: we must grovel & beg 'permission' to do so. They see themselves as a Master Race (though not the one Hitler envisaged). They use the 'costs racket' to drive to penury anyone who does not grovel before them, especially the Litigant In Person: for declining to pay Protection Money, they will be taught a lesson.

From centuries before the police were invented, it was the RIGHT of everyone in the land to 'Lay an Information' ('Make Complaint') to a justice of the Peace if they alleged there had

been a crime (& not necessarily against the person Laying the Information). IT STILL IS! Somewhere in the instructions for seeking Judicial Review we are invited (instructed?) to give detail of Statutes, precedents etc. which the judges should read first. I COULD quote from the Criminal Procedure Rules and also sections 1 and 2 of the Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, but if High Court judges are not already aware of the right described above, it is time to give up. That RIGHT was confirmed with infinitely more authority than any Statute or Precedent by the blood of those who gave their lives in World War 2 to preserve it. Yes, we still have that right – IN THEORY – but the legal professionals have convinced themselves they own 'The Law', & so jealous are they of that 'ownership' they will fight tooth & nail to block Private Prosecutions – AS NOW!

'Delay in Justice is the Denial of Justice' has been said by many eminent jurists. That the courts should DELIBERATELY send an elderly victim of crime on a time consuming wild goose chase is a very bad example of it. It is a sad reflection on modern Britain that violent attacks on the old & vulnerable (almost unheard of until the 1960s) are now an everyday occurrence. This did not happen gradually. In 1961/62 there was an event which acted as a catalyst, though no need to go into that now. The main point in my manifesto as a candidate at the 1997 General Election was my concern at the LACK of concern by regular politicians at these diabolical crimes (Copy of manifesto available now). It was also one of the subjects of my book, CAUSE for CONCERN, published the same year. However, the second subject, in manifesto & book, was corruption in the courts, of which I had newly become aware.

Details of remedy (including any interim remedy) being sought:

- (1) That this court should direct that Summonses should be issued by Bradford Magistrates' Court (rather than Leeds) on the Information as laid, to the contact addresses given.
- (2) Orders be issued that P. Craig Mitchell & Judith Clarke (being aware of the alleged assaults, & knowing the home addresses of the Defendants) shall give those home addresses to the Claimant (the Prosecutor in the allegations of assault). This is for the convenience of all concerned, not least the Defendants to the charges of assault.
- (3) An Order that the Director of Public Prosecutions shall deal with any request for permission to prosecute on its merits, & NOT a blanket refusal to deal with ANYTHING from a particular person (see message from DPP).
- (4) An Order that Sam Goozee, Janet Raistrick, Tracey Bagley & the Ministry of Justice shall cease the obstructive & oppressive practice of blocking emails from individuals who need to communicate with them. This is as oppressive as it would have been to ban communicants from using the Penny Post after 1840.
- (5) An Order (or Recommendation) that ALL Government Departments should stop the blocking of emails. IF there is genuine reason why this should be necessary, then there should be a requirement to go through some proper procedure - & not on the whim of a government employee.

Statement of facts relied on:

- (1) On 24th June 2010, I was the victim of a serious assault which caused Actual Bodily Harm. On 20th July 2010 I suffered Assault & Robbery. Either assault could well have been fatal on a man of 84, as I was then (think of the result of lesser attacks on Ian Tomlinson, a man just over half my age). There were not only witnesses to the assaults, but video evidence of both of them. The names of my assailants are known, & contact addresses, but not the home addresses.
- (2) On 20th September 2010 I wrote to the Chief Constable of West Yorkshire about crimes

against the old & vulnerable in general, & the specific crimes as listed above. A response came next day from Inspector David Apsee, Staff Officer to the Deputy Chief Constable saying my letter had been forwarded to Chief Superintendant Rose at Bradford South Police Station & that an officer from that Division would be in touch 'at the earliest opportunity'. (the two letters are exhibits).

(3) More than a month went by without a word from Bradford South Police. On 25th October I saw an office in Bradford which specifically invites victims in to report 'Hate Crime'. I went in, gave details which were recorded on a form, & was told it would be forwarded to the police.

(4) With still not a word from the police, on Friday 29th October 2010 I went to Bradford South Police Station, delivered a written complaint to PC Neil Sharpe (a mature constable) & spent about an hour with him in an interview room. He was very concerned about the matter & said he would pass the complaint 'higher up'. From that day to this there has STILL been no word.

(5) It would appear that 'higher up' meant the shredder! It might help to understand the failure to act by West Yorkshire Police (though not to excuse it) to know that as a candidate at elections, I have sometimes been critical of them.

(6) Realising they would do nothing to investigate or prosecute my assailants (which would, hopefully, have discouraged further attacks on me, & on other old folks) it appeared I had no option but to start a Private Prosecution, & by previous arrangement, on Thursday 11th November 2010 I attended at Bradford Magistrates' Court for that purpose. There was absolutely no reason why Summonses should not have been issued there & then, but a 'Legal Clerk' named Shahid refused to either issue the Summonses himself or put the Information before the Justices.

(7) It must be said that on a previous occasion Shahid had been seriously oppressive & threatening towards me, without any justification whatsoever. His dislike of the Litigant In Person was obvious.

(8) From then on obstruction & delay worsened. I was told that the Information would not be accepted at Bradford (letter is exhibited), & that I must go to Leeds Magistrates' Court (a court which was itself the subject of a damning Report by four of Her Majesty's Chief Inspectors). This itself was oppressive, forcing an old man of 85 to travel to another town, the deliberate delay meaning that very bad weather had set in, with disruption of transport by snow & dangerous icy pavements.

(9) Prior to the hearing Leeds Legal Manager Tracey Bagley had written saying, "It would be appreciated if you could provide the court in advance of the hearing any evidence that supports the alleged offences (sic). This would be most beneficial to the District Judge so that he can read the evidence in advance ...". I am sure the High Court knows as well as I do that Mrs Bagley was quite wrong in this: that one does not present evidence at the Laying of Information, but only sufficient detail that those Summoned understand to what the Summonses relate. Evidence is for later hearings.

(10) Be that as it may, I provided more than enough for the Summonses to be issued, but it soon became obvious that District Judge Darnton was determined they would not be issued, come what may.

(11) He had forgotten his Judicial Oath, & the Oath of the Sovereign, in whose name he purported to act, & it was clear he disliked Litigants In Person as much as the rest of his profession.

(12) He even persisted in asking who my assailants were (i.e. their status). I tried to tell him that if his Judicial Oath meant anything at all, whether they were priests in Holy Orders or bouncers in a brothel should not concern him in his position as a judge.

(13) Though I asked Legal Manager Tracey Bagley verbally & in writing (see

exhibit) to let me have in writing the reasons why DJ Darnton refused to issue the Summonses, she has not done so. However, if I remember correctly the reasons he gave were that I had not given the home addresses (though I had given contact addresses).

(14) Responding to my request that he make Orders that those who knew the addresses should provide them (for the convenience of all concerned, including the defendants) he said, "I do not have the power to make Orders", but later contradicted himself, saying, "I will decide whether to make Orders".

(15) He could not make the address excuse over my attempt to prosecute the Police Chief Superintendent, & I do not recall him giving any reason for refusing, but he did so nevertheless.

(16) Knowing that (depending on what charge was preferred against the Supt.) the permission of the DPP may be necessary, I sent an email to Keir Starmer asking for permission. That message was ignored, so I sent a letter by post. The response to that was an email message saying that ANYTHING from me would be 'put on file', but otherwise ignored. (These communications are exhibits). A subsequent message from him says that permission is not needed in this particular case.

The form asked: Where the claim for judicial review relates to a decision of a court or tribunal, an approved copy of the reasons for reaching that decision.

Regrettably, those who have made decisions have been determined that there will be NO written record of the reasons for making their decisions.

#### GROUND FOR RENEWING THE APPLICATION (as on Form 86B):

It was no surprise that (showing total contempt for the law of the land, his own judicial oath & that of the Sovereign) Mr. Justice Parker (a Puisne Judge) should dismiss my Application 'on the papers'.

It is quite weird that (as I am given to understand), his decision will now be reviewed by a (lower ranking!) Circuit Judge. How can he possibly make a judgment contradicting his superior? It would be professional suicide!

It was astonishing that Mr. Justice Kenneth Parker should say in his 'Observations' "Mr. Scarth does not give particulars of the actual information that he laid before the District Judge, as regards the identity of the alleged offenders and the precise details of the alleged conduct" and "(the District Judge) decided, first that there was insufficient particulars to show that crimes had been committed and, secondly, that the addresses provided for service were inadequate." Judge Parker's ignorance of the law (or his disregard of it!) is breathtaking, making it very complicated to answer the points he purports to make. It is NOT the function of the High Court to accept the 'Laying of Information' & I am NOT seeking to do so there. It IS the function of the Magistrates' Court, & I had given all the information needed to that court, INCLUDING the names of the alleged offenders & the valid contact addresses which had been given by three of them, PLUS the names & addresses of the two people who knew their home addresses but were refusing to give them. Surely, if they were to refuse to give the addresses to the police it would be classed as 'perverting the course of justice'? Why not now?

'Insufficient particulars'?? I have said that there is clear video evidence of the two assaults, but those 'particulars' are for the trial, AFTER the summonses have been issued. ALL the Authorities (Stones' Justices Manual et al) emphasise that at the 'Laying Information' stage only the barest details (time, place etc) are necessary. 'Particulars' i.e. evidence, is for when the defendant responds to the summons.

#### ENDNOTE:

As said, there are clear videos of the assaults which will be produced in the Magistrates' Court as & when the matter comes to trial. However, in the hope that the High Court MIGHT

be persuaded to act according to the law of the land (& the sworn oaths of all concerned) & cease its blocking tactics, I enclose now still pictures of the severe bruising inflicted during one of the assaults upon me.

I also repeat my protest at what purported to be an Order by Mr. Justice Kenneth Parker - but which was unsealed. It directed me to pay £200 to the First Defendant for writing just one brief letter. I made the payment under protest. It was an Order by a judge acting in violation of his own Judicial Oath & that of the Sovereign in whose name he purports to act. The Order is itself robbery, albeit under the pretence of legality. It is more proof (if any were needed) that the hatred of lawyers for the Litigant In Person equals that of the Nazis for the Jews. However, it is not only theft against me: That this matter is before the High Court at all (when it SHOULD have been dealt with simply, speedily & cheaply in a Magistrates' Court) is extortion from the British taxpayer to put money into the pockets of lawyers. Bad enough at any time, this is especially so when the nation is, SUPPOSEDLY, in financial straits, with 'cutbacks' in truly vital services elsewhere.

GLARINGLY OMITTED FROM THE ORDER was any mention of other matters which were included in the 'Application for Permission'

(1). The refusal of District Judge Darnton to issue Orders that P Craig Mitchell & Judith Clarke should give the home addresses of those who assaulted me (which would be better for all concerned than the valid contact addresses actually given); (2). The failure of West Yorkshire Police to record a complaint, give a crime number or investigate what were serious assaults on a man of 84; or (3). The blocking of my emails by Sam Goozee, who purported to be acting for the Ministry of Justice.

My request that the matter be treated as urgent (bearing in mind my expectation of life is short) was contemptuously ignored. .

Norman Scarth. 24th May 2011

3,364 words.

**MAY 2011**

29 May '11

WELL SAID ELIZABETH!

It matters not a jot that 'celebrities' are being exposed, but it is wonderful that in consequence the public are learning that the pompous, arrogant Judicial Mafia are not the Gods they claim themselves to be - & we CAN tell them to get stuffed! Good also, that in a Parliament from which there emanates much garbage (& much harm to the nation!), John Hemming is using it for good purpose. It has been said "The only man who entered Parliament with good intentions was Guy Fawkes". Well, it looks like the Member for Birmingham Yardley might be another? Keep it up Mr. Hemming!

Norman Scarth.

PS: Elizabeth; Circulated as requested, but a slightly larger font would be better for geriatrics like me. NS

Date: Sun, 29 May 2011 10:36:37 +0100

From: discoveries@btopenworld.com

Subject: Tribute to Truth: the raw truth of Britain's "Family" wrecking courts

To: hemmingj@parliament.uk

Christopher Booker has hit the nail on the head! See his article today in the Sunday Telegraph, below. As he says, the REAL scandal is not about the boring sex lives of "celebrities" (and who gives a toss anyway about such things?), but about what is happening to the Commoners in the "Family" wrecking courts. The 'celebrity culture' is a comparatively useless and engineered distraction from the important issues facing millions of British citizens - allowing the 'rot from within' to fester and spread. So don't be fooled by the headline-grabbing egocentrics whose raison d'etre is to grab the attention and limelight, regardless of the price or cost, to mere Commoners like the rest of us!

The real issue is: the innocent are continuing to suffer at the hands of State-produced tyrants, made a thousand times worse by the 'secrecy' laws that are used to indulge and conceal the scandalous mistreatment of loving parents whose children are ripped away from them - to pay a National "debt", namely our diseased Social Services focusing on creating revenue through this unlawful and hideous child-trafficking scam. It is a booming Industry that has mushroomed almost overnight and morphed into a monster, fed by usury. It keeps the legal profession and Judiciary in jobs, as well as the County Councils, but it is all built on a lie and trades on Legal Fiction. Where would these County Councils be without it? A lot less social workers and hangers-on, for a start! They would be cut right back, and forced to find an honest day's work elsewhere. IT'S THE SECRECY FACTOR THAT KEEPS THEIR DECEPTION, ALIVE. Evil parading as 'good', paedophilia hiding behind substanceless "emotional harm" allegations against the challenging party, cruelty parading as "care"..... and we are the suckers who are allowing this sordid state of affairs to continue! A sobering thought indeed?

If you cross or intelligently challenge these despots, they will round on YOU! Like they have done on me: threatening me with prison for alleged "contempt of court" - but what behaviour could be more contemptible than the above? What vile acts parading as "law" could be more contemptuous than the bullying that is used to silence open-hearted and willing helpers like myself? How much more must we take? Now I am expected to attend a two day 'Trial' to see whether or not I should be sent to prison - why not just burn me at the stake instead, like they used to do in the Middle Ages? It's the same craziness that possesses this process. The Court of Public Opinion is my Jury.

We have a Judicial dictatorship in our Family Courts, where the self-interested Social Services 'legal team' are running the show, weaving the lies, masking the Truth, tormenting the little children, plunging the parents into agonising despair....it is a malevolent scene, where County Councils are getting away with murder (including murder of people's right to happiness and a Family Life), so forget the veneer and distraction of 'superinjunctions' which are being abused for their own ends: it is about what lays beneath this - the autocracy that the Family Courts have become, whilst 99% of our MP's turn a blind eye to the atrocious 'state of play' therein. Perhaps they should ask themselves: what would they do if THEY were affected, and THEIR children were being snatched?

(Written by Liz Watson of Discoveries International Limited)

The real scandal hidden by gags is what goes on in family courts

Telegraph.co.uk

By Christopher Booker 7:00PM BST 28 May 2011 In all the fuss about the secrecy of our courts – after MP John Hemming named a footballer in the Commons – the point where the issue began has been almost entirely lost. Mr Hemming's concern stems from his ...

See all stories on this topic »

Telegraph.co.uk

26th May '11

You know my belief that one way we can break the stranglehold which the Legal Mafia has on 'The Law' (& return something pertaining to 'Justice' to Britain) is to use Private Prosecutions. That they use all their dirty tricks to block us shows that they realise this. This Application for Judicial Review is my attempt to overcome their blocking tactics & break that stranglehold. As indicated below, 30 minutes SHOULD be enough for the judge to grant 'Permission to make the Application' (which is the convoluted way they do things), but the presence of numbers such as at Birkenhead on 7th March could ensure s/he does so. I understand the judge is likely to be HH Judge Mark Gosnell who lives at Newchurch in the Rossendale Valley in Lancashire. Surprisingly (to me) he is a guitarist in a rock band & is 'a stalwart of evening gigs at the Jolly Sailor, Spring Terrace, White Lion & other ritzy Valley venues with his band WASTED'. You younger people must tell me if this means he is more likely to obey his Judicial Oath? See below for my comments about a Circuit Judge being put in a position to overrule a High Court Judge.

Having heard nothing from the court since sending them my message, I presume it will go ahead with a time estimate of 30 minutes on 15th June (as said, that SHOULD be enough for permission to be granted), rather than put it back to another day to allow me more time to present my case. I will keep you informed. Please ring me on 01274 541 213 if you wish to be sure.

Case CO/601/2011

To the Administrative Court at Leeds, Tel: 0113 306 2578. Fax: 2581.

Email: [administrativecourtoffice.leeds@hmcts.x.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:administrativecourtoffice.leeds@hmcts.x.gsi.gov.uk)

Re. The Queen (on the application of Norman Scarth), versus Leeds Magistrates' Court & others.

From Norman Scarth, Tel: 01274 541 213. [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

The letter dated 17 May 2011 from your D Jackson-Penney re. time estimate has been received. Thank you. It says that 30 minutes has been allowed for the hearing, but that I should contact you if I feel it will take more. If there is even the PRETENCE of the Rule of Law in Britain, if the judge presiding is at all mindful of his own Judicial Oath & that of The Sovereign in whose name he acts, then 30 minutes should be ample time for me to present the case for permission to be granted, & for the Orders requested to be made.

However, if he has difficulty in putting aside the hostility which all members of the legal profession have towards the Litigant In Person (& me in particular) then it could take possibly two days (or more) to convince him that he should put it aside. If, contrary to both the above oaths AND the Rule of Law he decides to dismiss the Application, he will be giving permission to anyone in the land to commit any crime against me, up to & including murder (especially the police, who have failed twice

already). In which case I will have to 'seek my own remedy' as predicted by Lord Denning (who I have quoted in earlier documents to the court). "There will be anarchy" said he. The current Lord Chief Justice Lord Judge said much the same recently: that if the courts cannot provide a remedy for injustice, victims may 'find someone to throw a brick through a window - OR WORSE! I am most reluctant to do so. In spite of all, I STILL hope there may be an honest judge somewhere, but if 'The Law' (courts & police) will not protect me, then I cannot possibly be bound by the law.

- - - - -

26th May '11

I sent the story of my ill treatment in Birkenhead to many MPs. Many did send automatic acknowledgment - along with the usual cop-out about a 'strict Parliamentary rule' that they 'can only act for constituents' (which is of course rubbish). Frank Field was the only one who showed genuine concern, & asked to see the reply from the manageress of Wirral Magistrates' Court. Communications between she & I are below:

Norman Scarth.

PS: Frank Field has already shown himself better than most MPs. You may like to send your own message to him that he should continue to take this seriously (not for me, but for the nation) ? NS

From: enscaarth@hotmail.com

To: frank.field.mp@parliament.uk

Subject: Brutality against WW2 Veteran in Birkenhead.

Date: Mon, 23 May 2011 16:54:56 +0100

Dear Mr. Field,

I appreciate the fact that you are concerned enough to want to see a copy of the reply from Mrs. Walker-Russell. It is near the bottom of this thread, & above it are our exchanges since. <snip>

It is (They are) a classic example of obfuscation, deliberate delaying tactics, evasion, garbage & outrageous lies. Because of this, I am still considering how to respond to it. Also what to do about the brutality of the police (I now know that the one who used most gratuitous violence was Sergeant Sam Parker), knowing only too well that the 'Independent Police Complaints Commission' are anything but 'Independent!' (I call them the IMITATION PCC!) .

Norman Scarth.

PS: I have inserted my comments within the latest letter, in red for easy recognition.

PPS: I make no claim that my service in WW2 entitles me to better treatment than anyone else, but NO-ONE, young or old, should be treated as I was. I certainly did not have an 'easy war': having served on the notorious Arctic Russian Convoys, & in the Scharnhorst battle (see attachment). NS.

- - - - -

19th May 2011

Mr. Scarth,

Thank you for your e-mail dated 11 May regarding the refusal of entry to Wirral Magistrates' Court on 3 May.

On 3 May, I issued instructions to security staff to restrict access to the court due to a case which was listed in court room 2. This is because under the Health and Safety

Regulations I am required to take appropriate measures to ensure the safety of court users. That is why only a certain amount (sic) of people, either observing or attending the case, were allowed into court room 2. In which case, the place to monitor numbers was surely at the door of courtroom 2? Apart from which, it is some years since Lord Woolf ruled that if a courtroom was too small to accommodate all members of the public wishing to attend, it should be moved to a larger courtroom.

My enquiries have led me to believe that when you arrived at court you requested to observe the court case taking place in court room 2. THIS IS A DIABOLICAL LIE! I was asked, "Who are you here to see" (or words to that effect). My reply was quite specific: I said "I don't know" (which was absolutely true. I did not know the names of anyone who may have been attending court that day, & obviously could not know the courtroom). I continued by saying, "I will look at the list of cases being heard, & will observe any that interest me." You were informed that the capacity of the court room had been reached and they could not allow you access to the court room. ANOTHER DIABOLICAL LIE! When you were informed of this, you became hostile and abusive towards the security guard and refused to moderate your language. I DO NOT USE BAD LANGUAGE! A police officer who was situated in the court foyer approached you and tried to calm things down but when this was not possible, you were asked to leave the building. I had an absolute right to be in that building, a right paid for by the blood of my shipmates who died around me in WW2 - when we were fighting to preserve that very right. You would not do so and had to be escorted out of the premises by two police officers. THEY DID A LOT MORE THAN 'ESCORT' ME FROM THE PREMISES!

Having reviewed the CCTV it is clear that as you left the building a security officer is seen handing over your trolley to a police officer, which had been taken off you (It was not 'taken off me': I made to hand it to security, to do whatever inspection they thought necessary) when you arrived at the security point, you were also seen placing an object in the security tray on entry, which I understand were coins, which the CCTV has highlighted were returned to your trolley. If this is true, it shows the contempt for me that the coins were thrown into my shopping trolley. They were certainly NOT in the trolley when I searched it. Having carefully considered the points you have raised, I am satisfied that items you left in the security tray and your trolley were returned to you, therefore, I do not accept that the security staff acted improperly in the way they dealt with you.

If you wish to pursue a complaint about the conduct of the security officers or wish for their names to be disclosed, you will need to write to 'Mitie Security' at Cheshire House, Gorsey Lane, Widnes WA8 0RP. Is Mrs. Walker-Russell really saying that the names of security staff are not known to her, & that their conduct is of no concern to her? They can further consider this aspect of your complaint. In relation to the conduct of the police officers I am unable to pass comment. If you wish to pursue your allegations, I can only suggest you contact Merseyside Police.

If you wish to obtain a copy of the court CCTV, you will have to make a request in writing to the Data Access and Compliance Unit, either by email to [Natasha.Zitcer@Justice.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Natasha.Zitcer@Justice.gsi.gov.uk) or by post 6th Floor, 102 Petty France, London SW1H 9AH. Included in your request you will need to provide proof of identity such

as a photocopy of your passport, driving licence or utility bill, together with a detailed description of the time and place of the incident and what you were wearing at the time. They will also require a front-on profile photograph to identify you in the CCTV footage. I'm 85 years old for heaven's sake! How long does she think I have to live? And does she really think that such time as I have left should be spent on an commando course, jumping through hoops, over obstacles & crawling through tunnels? THAT IS SADISTIC! The Ministry of Justice charges a fee of £10 for this service and any postal order or cheque must be made payable to Her Majesty's Paymaster General or HMPG.

Yours sincerely,  
S. Walker-Russell  
S. Walker-Russell  
Acting Court Manager  
Wirral Magistrates Court  
Email: s.walker-russell@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk  
Mr. Walker-Russell,

I am disappointed but not surprised at your obstruction & delaying tactics (which are, sadly, all too common). It could well be classed as obstructing/perverting the course of justice, which is of course serious crime. You claim to have 'guidance' (which is not 'Ordained by God', as you would have us believe). Just because you are on The State Payroll does not make it superior to my rules of conduct. Not everyone lives in a house at a permanent address. Are such people to be denied any redress for crime committed against them?

Norman Scarth.  
From: s.walker-russell@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk  
To: enscarth@hotmail.com  
Date: Fri, 13 May 2011 14:46:44 +0100  
Subject: RE: NOT a 'complaint'.  
Mr. Scarth,

Due to the contents of your email, it will be dealt with as a complaint and investigated as such, as HMCTS guidance states "any expression of dissatisfaction about service" is deemed a complaint.

To enable me to deal with your request, I would ask for a postal address as this will provide me with verification that the person making the request from a hotmail account is the person involved in the incident at this courthouse on 3rd May 2011. (IT WOULD PROVE NOTHING OF THE KIND! NS).

I await your response

Yours sincerely,  
S. Walker-Russell  
Acting Court Manager  
Wirral Magistrates Court

- - - - -  
From: norman scarth [mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com]  
Sent: 12 May 2011 16:33  
To: Walker-Russell, Susan  
Subject: NOT a 'complaint'.

To Mr. S. Walker-Russell, Acting Court Manager,  
I am grateful for your speedy response. However, my message was a request for

information, NOT a 'complaint'. I have learnt that ALL 'Complaints Procedures' are used to send the victim running around in circles till they collapse from exhaustion, their brains become addled, or they give up in disgust. Why cannot you reply by email? Simple enough surely? Perhaps I do not have a permanent address?

Norman Scarth.

From: s.walker-russell@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Date: Thu, 12 May 2011 14:38:26 +0100

Subject: RE: Nazi-like conduct at Wirral Magistrates' Court.

I acknowledge receipt of your email. To enable me to respond to your complaint, I would be obliged if you would provide me with a postal address.

S. Walker-Russell

Acting Court Manager

Wirral Magistrates Court

From: frank.field.mp@parliament.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Date: Thu, 12 May 2011 10:43:02 +0100

Subject: RE: Nazi-like treatment of WW2 veteran at Magistrates' Court.

Dear Mr Scarth

Thank you for copying me your email to Wirral Magistrates' Court. Please let me see a copy of their reply.

With best wishes

Frank Field

Office of The Rt Hon. Frank Field MP

t: 0207 219 5193 | f: 0207 219 0601|w: [www.frankfield.co.uk](http://www.frankfield.co.uk)

Follow Frank's Blog and Twitter for latest news and comment.

From: norman scarth [mailto:[enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)]

Sent: 11 May 2011 22:40

To: norman scarth

Subject: FW: Nazi-like treatment of WW2 veteran at Magistrates' Court.

To: S.Walker-Russell, Court Manager, Wirral Magistrates' Court,

Chester Street, Birkenhead.CH41 5HW Tel: 0151 285 4190.

Email [s.walker-russell@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:s.walker-russell@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk)

Dear Sir or Madam,

In 1860 the eminent Chief Justice Cockburn said, "The courts of Britain are open to all in every sense of the words, & I trust they will always remain so". Other eminent judges said much the same in the 20th century, including Lord Justice Lawrence (later to preside at Nuremberg). Carrying even more weight than the words of those eminent judges, that principle was confirmed by the blood of the many thousands who gave their lives in World War II (including my own brave young shipmates), that being one of the things we were fighting for. Unfortunately, some in Britain have forgotten this, & practices more akin to Nazi Germany have crept in.

Because of this, I was myself forced to go to the European Court of Human Rights (Scarth v UK 33745/96) which brought a ruling (incorporated into our own 1998 Human Rights Act), that ALL hearings are open to the public, 'other than in

exceptional circumstances' (which must be stated). So what had been British practice over the centuries was confirmed by ECt-HR case law. That is history. I now come to the present:

At 9.30am on Tuesday 3rd May 2011 I walked through the door of Wirral Magistrates' Court, & placed the coins from my pocket in the tray provided (as I have done many times in many other courts). NEVER have I been treated as I was then! IN LESS THAN FIVE MINUTES FROM WALKING IN YOUR DOOR I found myself in handcuffs in a 2'6" square cage in the back of a van in a police station yard! The engine was running & a driver in the seat, but neither the van nor I moved for nearly three hours, when six 'Gestapo-like' police came to let me out. The sergeant dragged me violently from the van & threw me to the ground (I am an 85 yr old WW2 veteran). Only the fact that my constitution is stronger than most men of my age saved me from the fate of Ian Tomlinson or Christopher Alder.

The sergeant told me I was being 'de-arrested', but two men grabbed me by each arm & spread-eagled me against the van, while a smirking female officer took my photograph. The coins (£6 or £7) were never returned to me.

What caused such Gestapo-like treatment to be inflicted on a WW2 veteran? After putting my coins in the tray, a female security officer asked me who I was & what case I was going to see. I told her I was a member of the public, didn't know what cases were being decided that day, but may go in to observe any that interested me. She told me I could not do that. Mindful of all the above, & particularly my shipmates who had given their lives for the very thing she was denying me, I tried to tell her she was wrong. It is true that, being a little hard of hearing, I sometimes speak louder than intended, but I was immediately pounced on by Gestapo-like police, who did as described above.

Your security woman did not touch me, but by her unlawful obstruction she was party to the assault, the unlawful arrest & the false imprisonment. Also the theft of my coins.

I will of course be taking action through the courts (civil &/or criminal) about all this. Would you please give me the names of all your security staff present, inform me whether the one who unlawfully denied me access was acting of her own volition or under your orders. Also provide me with a copy of your CCTV footage of the incident.

At 85, my life expectation is obviously limited, & I ask you to treat this as urgent.  
Norman Scarth. 652 words.

20th May '11

Dear Peter,

Your knowledge of The Law & The Rules is impressive. It is to be respected, admired, & no doubt can be very useful. However, in my view, times are such that a different approach is needed. You say "you are in effect challenging the system and every Judge in England ... deliberately and wilfully disobeyed a High Court judges order".

I submit that the current state of the nation is such that people are needed who have guts enough to do exactly that!

In Cardiff Crown Court HH Judge Nicholas Cooke QC threatened me with 'Contempt'. In Leeds Magistrates' Court District Judge Darnton made the same threat. On each occasion I told them loudly & clearly "I have the utmost contempt for you & this court!" Neither of them did anything - apart from underlining the big black mark against my name!

About 1936 Lord Chief Justice Hewart said, "His Majesty's judges are satisfied with the almost universal admiration they are held". Time to let them know they are NOT held in such admiration now.

Norman Scarth

CC: sabine@3d-metrics.com; jrgwalker@aol.com; CaribContessa@aol.com; nolondoner@yahoo.co.uk; roger@thebcgroup.org.uk; bjgerrish@tiscali.co.uk; twinsnurse@aol.com; patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk; paul@patrocinium.eu

From: discoveries@btinternet.com

To: faxpb@hotmail.com

Subject: perverting the course of justice

Date: Fri, 20 May 2011 03:56:36 +0100

Peter

you are thoroughly misguided

please note that the following applies:

- yes I HAVE been denied due process of Law, by being denied a Jury trial where they have found a loophole to use civil proceedings with penal sentence, with NO evidence to support their vexatious proceedings
- there is NO valid injunction in force! and never has been
- the fact the court has NO RECORD of any paperwork at all on this case, further supports my worst suspicions that this is about corruption and covering a paedophile ring
- the application to discharge the said 'order' WAS sent - but ignored; you seem to have too much theory and too little practical experience in such things
- I was threatened with 'committal to prison' BEFORE any injunction was served on me, many weeks before !! and when I wasn't even a party to the Case !
- you don't know the details so I don't know how you feel qualified to pass judgment like this, as you are wrong on so many points you've written about below...
- You are wrong about saying you don't write to the judge etc - the Clerk of the Rules has expressly TOLD me to write to the Judge today!
- The 'defendants' on the purported 'injunction' keep changing FYI!
- the 'injunction' is annulled by what is already in the Public domain - like bolting the stable after the horse has fled
- It is NOT true that I have "failed to obey a High Court judges order" - you are entirely mistaken in thinking that: I was never served with an Order before the alleged 'offences' of sending some emails to my advisors
- this is why the other side couldn't produce a shred of evidence for the Judge in the court hearing on 9 May! They were floored and tied up in knots, they didn't expect to be challenged
- there has never been any question of 'agreeing' or not agreeing with any purported 'injunction', the issue has been about understanding the charges and the defective procedure which is 'inside out' !!
- above all they have used the defective paperwork and committal threat to create a 2nd miscarriage of Justice in order to conceal the 1st miscarriage of justice - it's called perverting the course of justice

SEE EXCERPT FROM BLACKSTONE'S BELOW ABOUT PERVERTING THE COURSE OF JUSTICE

I hope the foregoing gives you a better understanding of the issues now regards, liz

PERVERTING THE COURSE OF JUSTICE: p.179 of Blackstone's Police Operational Handbook 2011, section 4.8.2:

Offence: Committed where a person or persons -

- \*acts or embarks upon a course of conduct
- \* which has a tendency to, and
- \* is intended to pervert
- \* the course of public justice

Points to prove: date and location, with intent to pervert, the course of public justice, do an act/series of acts, tending to pervert course of public justice

Explanatory notes: Examples where conduct is capable of amounting to this offence are:-

- \* making false allegations;
  - \* perjury
  - \* concealing offences
  - \* obstructing the police
  - \* assisting others to evade arrest
  - \* failing to prosecute
  - \* procuring and indemnifying sureties
  - \*interference with witnesses, evidence, and jurors
  - \*publication of matters calculated to prejudice a fair trial
  - A positive act is required (eg failing to respond to a summons was insufficient to warrant a charge of perverting the course of justice
  - Any act or course of conduct that tends or is intended to interfere with the course of public justice can amount to an offence. In order to get a conviction, it is not sufficient to prove that the conduct actually did, or had a tendency to pervert the course of justice. The evidence must prove that the offender intended that it would do so.
  - \* It is not necessary for the offender's motives to be the procurement of a false verdict or the defeat of the ends of justice. Trying to introduce genuine evidence by unlawful means is perverting the course of justice (eg a witness takes incriminating photos but refuses to give evidence). Steps are then taken by the investigator to get another witness to introduce them as evidence (Attorney General's ref: no.1 of 2002, (2002) EWCA Crim 2392).
- R v Headley (1996) 160 JP 25 CA - deliberate inaction is not perverting the course of justice...
- R v Kiffin (1994) Crim LR 449 CA - It is possible to pervert course of justice even if no offence could be shown to have been committed. In the case, removing account books/records subject of PACE warrant application out of jurisdiction.
- R v Toney (1993) 1 WLR 364, CA - Perverting the course of justice need not be by improper means. T attempted to persuade a witness to alter the evidence at T's Trial. There was no evidence of improper means, but T was still convicted of perverting the course of justice.

### Practical considerations

\* This offence is sometimes referred to as 'attempting to pervert the course of justice' but the word 'attempting' is a common law indictment was misleading because it is a substantive rather than an inchoate (incomplete) offence.

It should be charged as 'doing acts tending and intended to pervert the course of justice' (R v Williams 1991) 92 Cr App R 158.)

\* Perverting the course of justice is usually charged as an attempt, conspiracy, or incitement.

\* There has been comment by the courts where this offence has been used for relatively minor attempts to pervert the course of justice and offender's conduct to be taken into account when sentencing for the main offence. In R v Sookoo (2002) EWCA Crim 800, a shoplifter had attempted to hide his identity and inevitably failed, the prosecutors should not include a specific count of perverting the course of justice. Such conduct may serve to aggravate the original offence and the judge may increase the sentence as a result. However, in R v Pendlebury (2003) EWCA Crim 3426, P gave a positive breath test but a false name to police and had four previous convictions for doing the same thing. He argued that he should not be charged with perverting the course of justice but it should simply be an aggravating factor. Held that P's conduct was not too trifling to amount to the offence because it was the third occasion on which he had given false particulars against a background of persistent and serious offending.

\* A more appropriate use for this offence will be where a great deal of police time and resources are involved in putting the matter right, or there may be cases where innocent members of the public have their names given and they have been the subject of questioning and even detention.

On 8 May 2011, at 09:59, Peter Barnes wrote:

From Peter B 8May 11  
Reply to Liz Watson  
Quote

The reason I do not 'engage with the process' is because I have been denied due process of Law ! it's that simple ! tell that to Peter Barnes, who seems like a 'know all' from what I've observed.

I certainly will NOT comply with any 'reporting restriction order' given the way it is being used to hide criminal activity, and THIS is the crux here as you should realise!

Unquote

It would be quite easy for me to sit back and say nothing. No one is paying me for my time or trouble or experience . I tried to explain in simple terms with my earlier email in the jump off a bridge scenario , but still Liz did not grasp the point.

So in another attempt to see the wood from the trees, lets try again: you have NOT been denied due process of law.

Any injunction , if you don't agree with it , then you make an application to Court on notice to the other side to discharge it, vary , set aside , what ever part or all of it you want.

To assist you , every injunction usually even includes a clause telling you of your right to do this.

What you don,t do is write to the Court , write to the judge , communicate with any other person in terms in direct breach of the injunction.

If you do, then all that has gone on before in the original case is minimal to irrelevant. What you are up against, is you have failed to obey a High Court judges order , you are in effect challenging the system and every Judge in England , that you deliberately and wilfully disobeyed a High Court judges order, despite it was made clear to you what to do , if you did not agree with the injunction.

Any technical points about the order or service are also in effect irrelevant , as you know about the order , because you will be in Court regarding it.

Unless you can grasp the above, I suggest you take with you to the hearing , your tooth brush, pen and paper and your phone book.

Another suggestion. For your information when a person is put in prison , the Court is supposed to notify the Official Solicitor , who writes to the prisoner advising them of their rights , and if the person falls into certain categories , will even act for them. From what I have heard of other litigants cases, in contempt cases the court delays in notifying the official solicitor and the prisoner is often not aware either. So when Liz is put in prison it might be of assistance that the Official solicitor is already aware now.

My suggestion to try and save your bacon , is you notify the Official solicitor of the hearing and ask are they willing to be joined in on the case to represent the interests of the child and investigate the improprieties, what ever . Then ask for an adjournment of Mondays hearing , to join in the official solicitor and relist for half a day as 30 minutes is not enough. If you are turned down on the adjournment , unless you will have at least one appeal point with legs.

I also realise the immense stress that the Court system puts people through that left Beryl Foden with a stroke, Geoffrey Scriven with a stroke and others have died. So in summary I would say to Liz, don,t look on any criticism in a negative light , people are in fact trying tell help you . Whether you take the advice is entirely up to you , but people don,t usually like it either, when subsequently after the event they hear " I told you so. "

Regards

Peter B

From: sabine.mcneill@3d-metrics.com

To: discoveries@btopenworld.com

CC: meirionbowen@btopenworld.com; b.mckenzie@btinternet.com; bjgerrish@tiscali.co.uk; Hayes\_roger@sky.com; vhaigh@yahoo.co.uk; jrgwalker@aol.com; enscaith@hotmail.com; de8765@hotmail.co.uk

Subject: RE: Re. DMBC's hearing to commit me to prison: please support by attending High court, London on Monday at 10.30am (court 45)

Date: Sun, 8 May 2011 06:23:02 +0200

I hear you, Liz

Peter's opinion spurred me into thinking "essential arguments" through and fine tune them. He believes that what we are criticising are 'technical points'. He also suggests that we should bring the Official Solicitor in on behalf of the child.

But as every day counts, I can now see how we can weave your and my points of view together very effectively: in the attached 2 pages you can come at point 7, after I set the wider context.

Look forward to discussing it all with you after 5pm. I'm out from 11 to 3.

S

From: E WATSON [mailto:discoveries@btopenworld.com]  
Sent: 08 May 2011 03:21  
To: Sabine K McNeill  
Cc: MEIRION BOWEN; Belinda McKenzie; Brian gerrish; Roger Hayes; Vicky Haigh; Justin Walker; norman scarth; ebert E  
Subject: RE: Re. DMBC's hearing to commit me to prison: please support by attending High court, London on Monday at 10.30am (court 45)

Sabine

You and Peter Barnes are welcome to believe what you want to believe - it doesn't make it so. I don't think you are being very congruent, given you know the facts of this case better than Peter does.

Regarding the reason Vicky was 'let off the hook' is because there never was a valid 'hook' in the first place. She admits she did not realise the Truth of the false proceedings and fake documents at the time, having been successfully terrorised by these criminals.

I haven't heard that Justice Baker is a bad guy, what evidence of that ? I see Vicky has another good article by Booker in the Telegraph today which I've forwarded via meirion.

You may be pleased to learn that I had an encouraging lift when Justin called me earlier this evening: he has spoken to DAVID ICKE who is uploading details of this threat against me on his website - with a quarter million subscribers!

Liz

--- On Sat, 7/5/11, Sabine K McNeill <sabine.mcneill@3d-metrics.com> wrote:  
From: Sabine K McNeill <sabine.mcneill@3d-metrics.com>  
Subject: RE: Re. DMBC's hearing to commit me to prison: please support by attending High court, London on Monday at 10.30am (court 45)

To: ""MEIRION BOWEN"" <meirionbowen@btopenworld.com>, ""Elizabeth Watson"" <discoveries@btinternet.com>

Date: Saturday, 7 May, 2011, 20:33

Dear Meirion

I'm sorry that Liz feels let down by you. In this 'victim business', I feel we must be grateful for any support we are getting. But the frustration is too high, that's the problem. Look at Caul who spent 6 years and a month in prison – as a price to pay!!!

I had a long conversation with Peter Barnes who is 'highly seasoned victim'. He pointed out how serious the situation is for Liz, because she doesn't "engage in the process" the way that Vicky's lawyers advised Vicky.

Vicky was 'let off the hook' by promising to comply with that Reporting Restriction Order.

I've now concocted some Essential Arguments that I attach. You may remember Peter from our round in the pub after the last meeting. He certainly remembers Liz.

Pity that you can't come, but time with John Hemming will be more important for you than with Justice Baker, who Peter tells me from his personal experience is a bad guy...

I shall do as much fine tuning as I can...

All the best in your caring and courageous endeavours!!!

S

From: MEIRION BOWEN [mailto:meirionbowen@btopenworld.com]

Sent: 07 May 2011 17:28

To: Elizabeth Watson

Cc: sabine

Subject: Re: Re. DMBC's hearing to commit me to prison: please support by attending High court, London on Monday at 10.30am (court 45)

Hi Liz,

I appreciate your frustration, but, you will be fully aware as to how thin on the ground all us activists are.

With everything kicking off we are spread too wide.

I cannot be drawn into justifying my position. To say that I am not supporting you is far from the truth.

You know who and what we are all up against. Things are changing as I said by the hour in South Wales. The paedos and abusers are panicking.

I cannot go into detail but me not being with you to support you and Sabine as intended has nothing to do with me "running scared". If anything I take offence by any suggestion of it.

What we are doing in South Wales is in tune with other groups right across the UK. We are closer today in blowing open a Welsh Paedo ring than what we have ever been.

We have seriously abused babies caught up in this with caring mothers desperately trying to get their babies back.

We are at risks to ourselves here.

ABANDONING somebody is certainly not in my book.

If circumstances change within the next 48hrs then your case will be the top priority.

Regards,

Meirion

--- On Sat, 7/5/11, Elizabeth Watson <discoveries@btinternet.com> wrote:

From: Elizabeth Watson <discoveries@btinternet.com>

Subject: Re: Re. DMBC's hearing to commit me to prison: please support by attending High court, London on Monday at 10.30am (court 45)

To: "MEIRION BOWEN" <meirionbowen@btopenworld.com>

Date: Saturday, 7 May, 2011, 14:15

Hi

That's disappointing that you won't be there - I'm surprised at this Meirion. I thought you were behind me! Obviously not. This case is really big - I thought you realised this?

Note that the whole issue is that this isn't 'contempt of court' because both the Birmingham Court and the High court where the 'injunction' claims to have been issued confirmed to me yesterday that there "was NO hearing" on either 25 or 26 Feb 2011 at EITHER court, moreover, that Justice Baker was not sitting on those days AND they have 'no record' of the "order" and that I "am not on their System" !!!! This denotes FRAUD doesn't it?. It is a hideous situation. Did you realise I have issued a Notice of committal against THEM, subject to a Jury Trial ? you don't think this will backfire do you? Sabine encouraged me to go for this. I've been feeling almost abandoned by a lot of people (including you), there are too many 'fair weather friends out there! Perhaps you are all running scared - BUT this is the time to take a STAND!

regards, Liz

On 7 May 2011, at 09:53, MEIRION BOWEN wrote:

Hi Liz,

Peter Oakes has phoned about a story in the Daily Mail today. I can't find it but it is this story that he is on about which is in the Guardian.

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2011/apr/23/ukcrime-fostering>

I don't think I can come to London on Monday as things change almost every hour in the SS case in South Wales

I am going to try and get there for Tuesday's meeting.

Kind regards

--- On Sat, 7/5/11, Elizabeth Watson <discoveries@btinternet.com> wrote:  
From: Elizabeth Watson <discoveries@btinternet.com>  
Subject: Re. DMBC's hearing to commit me to prison: please support by attending High court, London on Monday at 10.30am (court 45)  
To:  
Date: Saturday, 7 May, 2011, 3:34  
PLEASE SUPPORT JUSTICE & TRUTH AT HIGH COURT LONDON AT 10.30AM  
ON 9TH MAY 2011 (VICKY HAIGH'S CASE no: SE09X01011)

Hello everyone,

URGENT REQUEST FOR YOUR SUPPORT TO PREVENT A MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE - please circulate (your presence is valuable to expose criminal activity for pecuniary gain)

Please support Justice by attending the Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London on MONDAY 9 MAY 2011 at 10.30am in COURT 45 of Queen's Building. It is myself vs. Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council, who are maliciously attempting to commit me to prison for alleged "contempt of court" on a SUPERINJUNCTION which they rustled up on 26 February 2011 - with no one present, no hearing, no notice, not even an application to the Court! The proceedings are entirely defective and ill-founded, made WITHOUT JURISDICTION! They did this because I have been helping Vicky Haigh in the Public Interest, who has been severely victimised and persecuted by them, having had her 7 yr daughter stolen and now threatening to snatch her new baby due mid May, into another "care order" (so-called). "Nightmare" is the understatement here, we are dealing with amoral hyenas. See the 'skeleton' argument below, then you'll have a 'handle' on this shocking Case.

Tellingly, there has been no "summons", no 'charges', and I've been denied due process of Law. They have mixed 'civil' proceedings with 'criminal' or 'penal' sentence, rendering them illegal. This has sinister implications and could denote that we are ALL in trouble if it isn't strongly opposed and challenged. I've been denied any 'trial' which is a gross violation of my Human Rights under Article 11, 10, 9, 8, 7 & 6 in particular. This is 'corpus juris' (administration courts denying the Rule of Law) at its worst, instead of our Common law rights under a 'court de jure'.

I'm taking a stand for Truth and Justice, to hopefully help ALL similarly oppressed people and parents who have had their children unlawfully snatched by the voracious Social dis-services, who are illegally trafficking children as financial instruments for their own £££ gain - and who seem to have virtually taken over the

role of the Court!

Vicky has fled to relative safety in Ireland, but is even being hounded over there as the police and Social Services are now demanding that she 'hands herself over to a local police station', despite their lack of jurisdiction and despite her having no contract with them at all. We need to blast this 'can of worms' case open so that Justice can be done and Vicky's daughter returned to her, and duly compensated for all the torture she's been enduring for over a year now. Full hard evidence exists to support everything written in to the Skeleton below.

I hope to see you there on Monday - Court 45 at the Queen's Building, case no: SE 09X01011. Thanks so much for your support.

Kind wishes, Liz Watson (Founder- ONE VOICE GROUP)  
07798 690454

Vicky Haigh flees the babysnatchers - Telegraph  
30 Apr 2011 ... Using parliamentary privilege, John Hemming MP has named renowned jockey and trainer Vicky Haigh as the woman threatened with imprisonment ...  
[www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/.../Vicky-Haigh-flees-the-babysnatchers.html](http://www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/.../Vicky-Haigh-flees-the-babysnatchers.html)

### Skeleton Argument

Case SE09C01011 - for hearing at Royal Courts Justice on 9 May 2011 at 10.30am

Elizabeth J Watson (2nd Defendant and Plaintiff) & Sabine K McNeill (3rd Defendant and McKenzie Friend)

Advocating the Rule of Law in the Child's and the Public Interest

Case synopsis:

1. Victoria Haigh had her 7yr old daughter ("RH") unlawfully taken from her by Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council ("DMBC") in January 2010, through defective Proceedings in the Sheffield Family court.
2. This occurred following care proceedings to protect the child because of sexual abuse on the part of her father ("DT"), who was subjected to 'supervised contact visits': arising from when the police had conducted 2 video interviews in which the child made alarming disclosures of sexual molestation from DT.
3. The record indicates that moves were being made by DMBC and the police to prosecute DT accordingly.
4. Then DT applied to the Court alleging V. Haigh was "emotionally harming" RH, and suggesting she was "coaching" RH to make these alarming disclosures. This was despite no supporting evidence of either.
5. Then, mysteriously, everyone involved with DT's pending prosecution was then removed from the Case, and a new CAFCASS representative was appointed, by name of Stuart Moseley, who appeared to collude with DT to pervert Justice.
6. This occurred at the same time that the DT's brother, "MT", being a police officer in the nearby Humberside Force, became involved with aiding and abetting to pervert the Course of justice.

7. As a result of this, the legal team of V.Haigh claimed they saw an imminent threat that RH would be put up for adoption, and so used this to justify warning V.Haigh to “drop the criminal allegations against DT”, creating a ‘fear of loss’ of her daughter “if she did not take their advice”.

8. V.Haigh unwittingly played into their hands, having grown very fearful of losing her daughter at her Legal Team’s suggestion. If she did not play things their way, which turned out to be thoroughly misleading.

9. V.Haigh’s cooperation was used against her, and the child was snatched from her anyway, causing VH to emotionally break down in Court. This, in turn, resulted in a ‘suspended prison sentence’ being suddenly put on to VH.

10. Thus, DT successfully ‘turned the tables’ on V.Haigh, by reversing the Truth and so the positions.

11. As a result, the ‘supervised contact order’ was put onto V.Haigh instead of DT, by way of ‘punishment’ to her for allegedly “coaching” and ostensibly “emotionally harming” her daughter. This occurred despite no supporting evidence other than hearsay, contrasting starkly to the hard police video evidence against DT which was simply ignored and pushed aside.

12. Custody of RH the child was granted to DT’s mother, a retired headmistress, where DT had overnight access to his vulnerable daughter.

13. In desperation, by October 2010, traumatized VH, following a recommendation from a friend of hers, turned to Elizabeth Watson (“EJW”) of Discoveries Int’l Ltd (“DIL”) and commissioned her to investigate how Justice had been perverted on the case, to find out where it had all gone so wrong.

14. EJW through DIL spent the ensuing 7 months investigating what had occurred, and making appropriate enquiries, which included contacting the DMBC social workers and Legal department staff.

15. By November 2010, a full ‘care order’ was granted to DMBC, but this time against the mother, who has remained innocent of any wrong doing throughout these proceedings. Yet she had aspersions cast upon her good character by the malicious allegation and perjury of both DT and Stuart Moseley regarding the unproven ‘emotional harm’ suggestion.

16. Understandably distraught and thoroughly bewildered, V.Haigh was handed a Draconian ‘supervised contact agreement contract’ to sign by DMBC. It contained onerous and unreasonable demands which disallowed VH from hugging her child or expressing her love to RH etc. She was unable to sign it in all good conscience, and so refused.

17. As a result of this, DMBC grew angry, and without warning they vindictively debarred VH from having any contact with her daughter whatsoever. They excommunicated her from seeing her child. This extended to even conspiring to prevent VH from watching her child’s school nativity play, where police attended to prevent her entering the school building whilst admitting “no grounds for arrest”.

18. Apparently unsatisfied with this measure and continuing with acts of cruelty and torture to both mother and child, DMBC then slapped a ‘Non-Molestation Order’ on V.Haigh, containing a ‘reporting restriction’ just 3 days before Christmas, and sought to join EJW of DIL to the action because of the assistance being provided to V.Haigh. DMBC wrote out by hand a document professing to be a “court order” apparently approved by HH Judge Jones in Sheffield Court. This was done without any notice or hearing, as a ‘fait accompli’, and demanded that V.Haigh and EJW attend Court on 5 January 2011. EJW challenged this on the grounds of ‘no jurisdiction’ and due to being away on holiday, so excused her attendance.

19. On 5 January 2011, V.Haigh attended the court as directed, and was immediately arrested and held in police custody for a day, for allegedly “breaching” the ‘non molestation order’ because of giving RH a Christmas gift via a third party. This further trauma caused V.H. acute panic attacks and distress, in early pregnancy of her 2nd child.

20. This all occurred despite DMBC having no signed contract, and therefore no basis or authority to act . VH then went overseas on holiday, during which time the police raided her home and seized RH’s passport.

21. Around this time, EJW had discovered that Lisa Bunn, who had described herself as the “head lawyer / solicitor” on the case, was deceiving the court: the SRA confirmed that LB was NOT a qualified solicitor after all. As a result of this, LB disappeared on “sick leave”, where she remained for the ensuing 4-5 months or so.

22. EJW received malicious communication from DMBC, threatening ‘prison’ and notifying a further hearing that was scheduled by DMBC on 14 January 2011 whilst EJW she was still away on leave and VH would be away on holiday. EJW made submissions by email to the High Court concerning the irregularities and ‘red flags’ on the Case, raising the issues of deception and excusing her attendance on 14th January 2011. Accordingly, no one attended this hearing, and nothing was heard by EJW from the court.

23. On making enquiries, EJW was told by the High Court that the case “had been transferred back out of the High Court in London”, up to Birmingham. However, on enquiring about this, 3 staff members at Birmingham court said there was “no such case number”.

24. One Katherine Liddell (“KL”) had stepped in as a solicitor to take over from Lisa Bunn. Without apparently checking the files, the evidence, nor heeding the issues DMBC had been alerted to by EJW and DIL, she compounded the problem by escalating the defective proceedings which LB had begun, continuing with malicious threats.

25. KL sent a letter to EJW referring to a further “hearing” on 25 February 2011 at the Birmingham Court. VH was still abroad. Again, EJW challenged jurisdiction and the fact she was not a party to the action, and pointed out there was no contract between her and DMBC nor VH and DMBC: hence it was inappropriate for her to attend. KL ignored this, and continued with making threats.

26. At the end of February 2011, KL escalated matters by sending a document purporting to be a “reporting restriction order” against VH and EJW, containing significant threats of imprisonment if “disobeyed”. Due to the questionable nature of the proceedings, EJW returned the documents back to DMBC and KL, asking them to ‘cease and desist’ and to return RH to VH, also stating “no contract and no case to answer to”.

27. When EJW checked with Birmingham Court after 25 February 2011, to her surprise she was told there had been no listing for any hearing and that no hearing had taken place. This was consistent with there being no case number. This was further confirmed 2.5 months alter by Natasha Walker at same Court, when EJW checked again on Friday 6 May 2011 (taped evidence to prove this was said in the phone conversation, is available) . The High Court in London also confirmed that no hearing had taken place on 25 February 2011, nor on 26 February 2011 (date the said “order” was purportedly sealed by the court).

28. On 29 March 2011, VH collapsed with exhaustion on arriving in London after a chance meeting that morning when she saw her daughter with DT at a petrol station, resulting in her being hospitalised. After several hours, she asked EJW to collect her

from the hospital and take her to the All Party Parliamentary meeting at the House of Commons which John Hemming MP attended, along with the Chairman of CAFCASS and several others. At this meeting VH spoke out to her MP about her ongoing nightmare ordeal.

29. 6 April, 2011, V.Haigh was arrested by Doncaster police for an alleged “further breach of the non molestation order” :for having bought petrol at the same time as DT was doing so, in attendance with RH on 29 March 2011. She was detained in custody for 65 hours, with trips back and forth to hospital as she again collapsed from the stress and trauma of it, threatening her unborn baby and her own health. VH describes that she was “manhandled” and appalling mistreated by the police, who dragged her from her hospital bed and on the second day laid trumped up charges against her for “intimidating,harrassing or pestering David Tune or Romany Haigh and directly communicating with David Tune or Romany Haigh prohibited by a non molestation order made by the high court of justice, civil justice centre Birmingham 25th February 2011.”

30. Within a matter of days, on the evening of 11 April, 2011, EJW was suddenly served (with no proper notice) with an Application purporting to be an “order” to commit her and V.Haigh to prison for alleged breach of the ‘order’ of 26 February! With a High Court hearing in London on 13 April 2011, it made no sense whatsoever: mixing highly questionable and defective ‘civil ‘proceedings with the malicious threat of a ‘criminal’ sentence yet without any hearing, and denying them due process of Law! Most disturbingly, these vexatious proceedings are provably being used to shield DMBC’s criminal negligence and placing the vulnerable child at serious risk through the ‘care order’ being made in favour of the child-abuser father, instead of the innocent mother. DMBC do not have ‘clean hands’ in these proceedings, and should be urgently investigated and prosecuted for deception, perverting the Course of Justice, and criminal negligence through a Grand Jury Trial. RH should be urgently reunited with custody given to her mother.

1. Defective Proceedings against Mother and Child (latterly, adding E.Watson too), by DBMC

a. A reversal of Truth on the case, positions regarding ‘supervised contact visits’ to child between mother and ex-husband were reversed through abuse of Court process by DMBC: care order wrongly granted in favour of guilty father of child, instead of mother.

b. Attributable in part, to Lisa Bunn of DMBC passing herself off as “head lawyer” and deceiving the Court without following correct procedure & instigating vexatious litigation against mother and EJW;

c. Resulting unfounded ‘supervised contact’ with onerous and Draconian terms against mother, gave rise to her refusal to sign the DMBC contract

d. This lack of jurisdiction, in turn, caused DMBC to turn against mother and so they Instigated “non-molestation order” on her instead of the child abuser father, dated 21 December 2010, “approved” by HHJ Jones

e. DMBC produced handwritten “Order”, Sheffield County Court, 5 January 2011, unstamped, “approved” by Judge Jones – to arrest Victoria Haigh for breaching non-molestation order over sending her daughter a Christmas present.

i. Supposed to have been served on Elizabeth

Watson: “Administration of Justice Act 1960 prohibits any individual from publishing information about these proceedings”

Guardian

- ii. Stuart Moseley CAFCASS to be appointed as
- iii. DMBC is "authorised to refuse to allow contact between mother & child until 4pm 14 January 2011

9th May '11

Gary,

Your timing in sending this could not have been better for me. THANK YOU! It will be VERY useful for my Judicial Review (or at least my 'Application for Permission to seek Judicial Review' - that being the arrogant & convoluted way they do things!). It concerns serious assaults on me last year, the gross misconduct of Police refusing to do anything about them, plus gross misconduct by Magistrates' Courts in blocking my attempts to start Private Prosecutions. The JR SHOULD be heard in Leeds in the near future. The House of Commons document you sent will be valuable ammunition. It is notable that there were no convictions for 'MISCONDUCT IN PUBLIC OFFICE' in 1996, 97 or 98, but two in 1999 - increasing to TWELVE IN 2006 !

Thank you again,  
Norman Scarth.

PS: Must bring my treatment in Brkenhead on 3rd May into the JR too. NS.

From: Garywestcar@aol.com

Date: Mon, 9 May 2011 05:38:44 -0400

Subject: FOIA complaints against Cabinet Office & MoJ [ICO ref. FS50354407 & FS50354407]

To: casework@ico.gsi.gov.uk

CC: richard.sisson@ico.gsi.gov.uk; steven.daniels@ico.gsi.gov.uk; mail@ico.gsi.gov.uk; Hendryc@parliament.uk; sargeantk@parliament.uk; siberlinka@aol.com; discoveries@btinternet.com; enscarth@hotmail.com; caul.grant@hotmail.com; matthew.warrington@ombudsman.org.uk; familyjusticereview@justice.gsi.gov.uk; propriety&ethicsteam@cabinet-office.x.gsi.gov.uk; garywestcar@aol.com

Friday 6th May 2011 sent by Email & Fax on Monday 9thMay2011

A MATTER OF PUBLIC INTEREST

'Misconduct in Public Office & Dereliction of Public Duty is a Common Law indictable Criminal Offence!

URGENT Attn: Information Commissioner's Office, ICO.

Richard Sisson, Case Officer Complaints Resolution Group 1

Thank you 'ICO' Case Officer Richard Sisson, for your 5th May 2011 enclosed email HOWEVER,

"WHY has it taken your ICO (a Public Office) OVER SIX MONTHS to conduct your investigation into my Free of information, Request Complaints against the 'CORRUPT UK Ministry of in Justice and the Cabinet Office' !!!

Both these CORRUPT UK Public Office/Bodies, have 100% IGNORED UK Freedom of information Act 2000 Law' both have 100% IGNORED Gary Westcar' 'FOIA'

REQUESTS into,  
your ICO ref [FS50354401] "ALL UK's 44,000 state paid Judges Earnings per year."  
and [FS50354407] "How much Legal Aid MONEY has been paid to 'individual'  
FAMILY LAW QC's  
including those active as Family Court Judges, in particular Mr Justice McFarlane  
QC."!

ICO TAKE NOTE:

'Misconduct in Public Office & Dereliction of Public Duty is a Common Law indictable  
Criminal Offence!

SEE Criminal Law. "A Public Officer that wilfully neglects to perform its public duty or  
wilfully misconducts itself  
to amount to an abuse of the public's trust in that office holder. Public office  
misfeasance is also civil wrong tort".

Misconduct in Public Office: The offence today  
Details of the offence are set out in November 2007 guidance from the Crown  
Prosecution Service (CPS):  
Principles

The elements of misconduct in public office are:

- a) A public officer acting as such.
- b) Wilfully neglects to perform his duty and/or wilfully misconducts himself.
- c) To such a degree as to amount to an abuse of the public's trust in the office holder.
- d) Without reasonable excuse or justification.

Who is a Public Officer!

There is also a tort of misfeasance in public office, which is a civil wrong.

SEE Gary Westcar  
21Sept2010 Complaint 'Malfeasance in Public Office' in the Prime Minister' Private  
Office & in the CabinetOffice'

Question,

What is the ICO going to do about these CORRUPT UK Public Office/Bodies = the  
Cabinet Office +Ministry of Justice etc.

ICO, I await your URGENT reply.

Yours sincerely

Gary Westcar 'a (NGO) UK & United Nations Human Rights Treaty Law DEFENDER  
(GA/RES/53/144)

34 Manor Park Road, Hailsham East Sussex BN27 3AU

Tel/fax:01323 847582 garywestcar@aol.com

Cc Gary Westcar' MP&Govt. Minister Charles Hendry MP for (Wealden) Hailsham  
etc

From: casework@ico.gsi.gov.uk

To: garywestcar@aol.com

Sent: 05/05/2011 14:30:35 GMT Daylight Time

Subj: FOI complaints against the Cabinet Office and MoJ 2 [Ref. FS50354407][Ref.  
FS50354407]

5th May 2011

Case Reference Number FS50354407

Dear Mr Westcar

I wrote to you via email on 14 April 2011 regarding your complaints against the Cabinet Office and the MoJ (FS50354401 and FS50354407) respectively. your ICO ref [FS50354401] "ALL UK's 44,000 state paid Judges Earnings per year." and [FS50354407] "How much Legal Aid MONEY has been paid to 'individual' FAMILY LAW QC's

including those active as Family Court Judges, in particular Mr Justice McFarlane QC."!

In this I explained that the Commissioner was mindful to close these cases unless you could provide any arguments to support why you believed these two authorities held the information you requested. I requested this response to be provided by 28 April 2011.

Since I have not received a response as of now, I am writing to advise that unless a response is provided by this coming Monday (that being 9 April 2011) both of these cases will be closed.

Yours sincerely

Richard Sisson, Case Officer Complaints Resolution Group 1

---

APRIL 2011

23rd April '11

Sophia,

Your letter is still too long (for this stage), in spite of which it does not include brief details of what the violations of the Articles have actually been. I have not yet had time to go through your original documents, but if I remember correctly you stated that you are hard of hearing. In which case, you could put it something like this:

-----  
'Violation of Article 6 (1): (The right to a 'Fair Hearing'). I am hard of hearing. At the hearing (on such & such a date) the judge took no account of this, & took no steps to ensure that I understood what was being said.' That being so the hearing was grossly unfair. In refusing me permission to appeal, the Appeal Court judge appears not to have grasped the importance of this fact.  
-----

Obviously, with not having read your earlier stuff carefully I may not have got this right, but you would word it according to your own knowledge of what actually took place. It does not need to be much more than my example.

Violations of the other Articles should be described in similar brief fashion. I will try to read your earlier stuff within the next 24 hours, & get back to you again.

Norman.

From: arabasophia@hotmail.com

To: enscaeth@hotmail.com

Subject:

Date: Thu, 21 Apr 2011 10:27:52 +0100

Dear Norman,

Thank for your email. I have managed to reduce my letter to the EU to 2 and half pages and I

would be pleased if you could please read through for me and advice accordingly.

Thank you very much.

Sophia

20th April '11

In criminal appeals if a barrister thinks a judge was talking rubbish, he will sometimes say, 'The judge mis-directed the jury' When there is no jury you can legitimately say, 'The Judge mis-directed himself' (on the law, or whatever). Or, you might like to say, 'In a rare lapse, the judge allowed himself to be misled' (by whatever). Never say, 'The judge was wrong' or 'The judge obviously disliked me', or anything like that - even though they all hate the Litigant In Person.

Norman.

From: arabasophia@hotmail.com

To: encarth@hotmail.com

Subject:

Date: Wed, 20 Apr 2011 17:04:24 +0100

Dear Norman,

Thank you for your response and advice, I am going to redraft the letter and send you a copy to have a look at it for me.

I would be pleased if you could send me the phrases to use.

Thanks

Sophia

19th April '11

Sophia,

You will find the ECtHR Application form between pages 16 & 17 of this link. Will send my own thoughts (& warning of what NOT to put in!) after seeing your draft letter.

Norman.

PS: If you are getting close to the six months time limit since the 'Final Decision' you complain of, then send a letter to them as soon as you can, giving only brief details of that decision. You are then 'IN' & can spend more time over the full Application. N.

[http://www.echr.coe.int/NR/rdonlyres/850CEB0E-3DC8-4E92-9F5D-7E6910C81A47/0/ENG\\_Po\\_pack.pdf](http://www.echr.coe.int/NR/rdonlyres/850CEB0E-3DC8-4E92-9F5D-7E6910C81A47/0/ENG_Po_pack.pdf)

17th April '11

'Why do human rights organizations do nothing? Is it because they are simply "shop fronts"?' says Jean James.

Indeed they are! Therein lies the reason why corruption & the many other evils never improve. 'Liberty', 'Justice', 'Amnesty International', & the rest, are False Front Puppets, each & every one. They are all controlled by Lawyers - & there is nothing in the world lower than

a lawyer!

Norman Scarth.

From: Jean James [mailto:jeanjames@telus.net]

Sent: April-17-11 10:46 AM

To: Subject: CONTEMPT OF PARLIAMENT IN THE UK

[http://www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/columnists/christopherbooker/8455841/A-mother-is-threatened-with-imprisonment-for-talking-to-her-MP.html#disqus\\_thread](http://www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/columnists/christopherbooker/8455841/A-mother-is-threatened-with-imprisonment-for-talking-to-her-MP.html#disqus_thread)

Both the courts and the Ministry of Justice are obviously in contempt of Her Majesty's UK parliament.

Who is the power behind the silencing of honest members of British parliament, and why are they doing it?

This story only serves to emphasise that there appears to be a massive cover up with respect to forced adoptions and child trafficking. The international crime fighting community should be taking a very close look at this particular area of corruption in the UK - injunctions and CAFCASS in particular. The UK is concealing something, going so far to threaten parliament itself and silencing lawmakers. Injunctions abound in the UK; they prevent freedom of speech and conceal serious organized crime. How does this differ from Libya?

Where is the freedom of speech that The Rt. Hon. Nick Clegg promised, never mind the rest of the shocking saga? What is happening to the children in the UK?

Why do human rights organizations do nothing? Is it because they are simply "shop fronts"?

Who is so powerful as to sanction the injunctions against members of the British parliament, what are they hiding, and how does this affect EU and UN conventions to combat serious organized crime, including paedophilia and human trafficking?

How does this affect Interpol, for example? Who is behind the widespread injunctions, which are also being used to conceal serious organized crime, some of which has taken place through the Court of Protection and Office of the Public Guardian.

<http://www.lincs.police.uk/News-Centre/News-Releases/13-01-2011-Money-Laundering-Court-Result.html>

There are a lot of questions to be asked by international crime fighters; how can the British government point fingers at Colonel Gaddafi and President Hosni Mubarak?

People who live in glass houses.....

17th April '11

Elizabeth,

Your letter to Bates is truly excellent. (I haven't been able to open the several attachments without saving them, but don't think I need to). I note that Vicky has asked you to 'withdraw from the case'. Important though her case undoubtedly is, that which lies behind it (that which you are exposing) is MORE so, & it is right that you keep on for the benefit of other victims - & THE NATION!

Norman Scarth.

PS: 'The truth cannot be injunctioned'. HEAR HEAR!

To 'the DMBC social worker solicitor who so vindictively and crassly made the malicious application to the High Court to have me "committed to prison" ...'. S/he had better add my name to the Application, because if you go to prison I will certainly be publishing the story.

PPS: Though we know what is meant, I suggest that the word 'dictatorship' (rule by one person) is not quite the right one. 'Oligarchy' might be more apt, but I prefer to say that Britain is now ruled by Quislings, big & little.

PPPS: The pretence that the secrecy of the Family Courts is to 'Protect the Children' is nauseating. It is to allow free reign to the Quislings. So many of the other bad laws brought in by the Blair Regime & the 'Heirs to Blair' are supposedly for good purpose, but in reality used very differently, the Harassment Act being a notable example. NS

From: discoveries@btinternet.com

To: discoveries@btinternet.com

Subject: My decision in Oct 2010 to serve the Public Interest & to help Vicky H.

Date: Sun, 17 Apr 2011 02:11:27 +0100

A mother is threatened with imprisonment for talking to her MP ...

April 16 2011 | Christopher Booker | Comment

The high-handed power of social workers and the courts, working in tandem, threatens even the privileges of Parliament, writes Christopher Booker.

FAO: Mr Nick Bates

Irwin Mitchell solicitors

Dear Mr Bates,

Re; The case of Vicky H.

I hope you will find some value in all my hard work and strenuous efforts to serve the Cause of Justice over these past 6 intense months, and to help Vicky get her daughter back and alert those "Authorities" to the Truth of what has occurred in this Public Interest matter - following her instruction for my investigation and help via Discoveries International Limited in October last year 2010. I place it on record that I have strictly worked in the PUBLIC INTEREST since I first met Vicky H. last October 2010.

I'd be grateful if you could please confirm receipt of my 'notice to settle and conditional offer' from yesterday, so that i may understand what your intentions here, are. Many will concur that it is not just that I should be gagged and threatened the loss of my liberty for speaking out the Truth and working selflessly and tirelessly for 6 long months, to serve the Cause of Justice. Notwithstanding that DMBC have committed a criminal offence under Section 55 of the Data Protection Act, by deliberately misusing my personal data with intent to harm me. Therefore, I claim all my Human Rights under Common Law in this matter, and ask that you respect and honour these, as you yourself would wish for if you found yourself in the position I find myself in. It is somewhat disturbing to witness how DMBC have managed to impugn the freedom of the Press and to pervert Justice in the process they've deployed, acting outside of the Law. The Truth can not be injunctioned, no matter the motive to hide wrong-doing.

I have decided to provide you with the Case Chronology that I had prepared for the Chief

Constable on Vicky Haigh's case, and hope you find it of value. Mr. Meredydd Hughes, the Chief Constable, has not responded yet, even though Vicky H. served it on him some 2 weeks ago - do you find this strange? I trust that you will recognise that it is not 'just' for me to have been maliciously threatened with incarceration, when I have done nothing wrong, quite the opposite, just so that the DMBC could create a 'forest fire of distraction to the real issues here'. It is disconcertingly transparent. Particularly as DMBC have acted vexatiously to use a terrifying legal loophole (which ought to be closed off immediately by way of a Judicial Review or similar) to work "civil" proceedings which deny all my rights and do not even give me a Jury Trial before the threat of imprisonment if a simple court 'order' were granted: if this ever were to happen to anyone, there would be a huge Public outcry, of that I am certain. This unconscionable act on the part of DMBC also diverts the focus from their conflicted pecuniary interest in this matter - and obviously they need to be seriously disciplined for this horrific abuse of Court process. It remains astonishing that DMBC have still failed to address the key issues after 6 long months since their cruel and inhumane actions were challenged, in the strongest and most assertive possible terms - given the seriousness of this matter.

That being said, I hope that once you have looked in to this case properly and scrutinised all the intense hard work I have in fact carried out, that you will recognise the value and crucial help I have brought to this case (and hopefully the thousands of others similar to it), in serving the Cause of Justice and challenging the tyranny that surrounds this case (and so many others just like it) to show that it needs carefully examining under the spotlight of Truth - even if only to act as a 'catalyst' to trigger those in 'Authority' to look into the gross miscarriage of Justice on this case! This is the reason I have not yet responded to the email sent to me by Vicki H. requesting that I "withdraw from the Case" since I need certain reassurances that Justice WILL be served, before I can do that in all good conscience. I hope you respect this.

I've heard many peaceable and law-abiding citizens complain that Britain has become a Dictatorship, but until this occurred, I did not realise how bad things have in fact, become. It's my view that "when tyranny becomes Law, rebellion becomes duty", hence I claim my inalienable rights under Chapter 61 of the Magna Carta and Section 47 of the ECHR.

Please pass this information on to the legal counsel on Vicky's team, so that his eyes may also (hopefully) be opened. I've written to the Judge, and trust that a full and unreserved apology from those parties who have wronged /trashed / threatened me, will be forthcoming in the near future. I hope to hear from you soon

Kind regards,  
Yours sincerely

(Elizabeth: Watson)  
For and on behalf of Discoveries International Limited  
Founder - One Voice Group

This was sent to the Chief Constable, Meredyd Hughes, over 2 weeks ago.

Vicky has the corroborating evidence to go with the above Chronology of Case report.

You can see below what I also wrote to the DMBC social worker solicitor who so vindictively and crassly made the malicious application to the High Court to have me "committed to prison" for breaching their scurrilous 'injunction' to gag us from last December - whilst ignoring the vital points raised, i.e. criminal negligence! Disturbingly, their injunction was brought by a conwoman DMBC employee parading as a "solicitor" who wasn't one! Yet this has never been addressed. So, is this "injunction" even valid, in Law ? What happened to the Rule of Law in all of this?

Thousands could sue Government over unlawful 'child protection ... - 00:25  
30 Jan 2011 ... Thousands could sue Government over unlawful 'child protection' sackings...  
But The Sunday Telegraph has disclosed that even after the High ...  
[www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Thousands-could-sue-Government-over-unlawful-child-protection-sackings.html](http://www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Thousands-could-sue-Government-over-unlawful-child-protection-sackings.html)

Christopher Booker of The Sunday Telegraph - 00:27  
Christopher Booker of The Sunday Telegraph Christopher Booker ... Child protection: how a cruel council plays its cat-and-mouse game. ...  
[www.fassit.co.uk/christopher\\_booker.htm](http://www.fassit.co.uk/christopher_booker.htm) - Cached

Second Baby P case 'buried' by Haringey Council - Telegraph  
20 Feb 2010 ... It was accessed by The Sunday Telegraph after a tip-off from ...  
[www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Second-Baby-P-case-buried-by-Haringey-Council.html](http://www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Second-Baby-P-case-buried-by-Haringey-Council.html) - Similar  
Show more results from telegraph.co.uk Children's minister condemns Telegraph adoption campaign - 2/3 ... - 00:28  
3 Feb 2011 ... Loughton revealed he had written to the Sunday Telegraph's letters .... Child protection alarm. Social workers tell Community Care about the ...  
[www.communitycare.co.uk/.../childrens-minister-condemns-telegraph-adoption-campaign.htm](http://www.communitycare.co.uk/.../childrens-minister-condemns-telegraph-adoption-campaign.htm) - Cached

14th April '11

Good to hear from you Sharon. Yes, I am very well; hope you & your family are too. I will get in touch with Sophia, & will help in any way I can. I would not put anyone off going to the ECt-HR, & would in fact encourage them to do so. However they need to be aware the lawyers who run that outfit are as corrupt as our own lot, & hate the Litigant In Person just as much. I say that in spite of my own success there, and, who knows, against the odds, others may be lucky too. I can help by warning Sophia of pitfalls to avoid, pitfalls which will guarantee failure.

Norman.

Sophia: I will be in touch in the very near future. N.

Date: Thu, 14 Apr 2011 15:46:27 +0100  
Subject: Advise needed from Sophia

From: sharonzaki@gmail.com

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Hi Norman,

Hope you're keeping well. I'm forwarding this email from Sophia as I believe you are better qualified to help her than I.

Sharon xx

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Sharon Zaki <sharonzaki@gmail.com>

Date: 14 April 2011 15:42

Subject: Re: Complaint letter to ECHR

To: Sophia Baidoo <arabasophia@hotmail.com>

Hi Sophia

When I wrote to the ECHR I sent all the info I had which included all names. I am no expert in this area, so I will forward your email to a good friend of mine - Norman Scarth who will probably be able to advise you better or put you in contact with someone who can.

Best wishes,

Sharon

On 14 April 2011 14:18, Sophia Baidoo <arabasophia@hotmail.com> wrote:

Dear Sharon,

I found your name at the litigant in person section of the internet and I am wondering if you will be able to help me with the question below.

Please, when making a complaint to the European Court of Human Rights I am allowed to mention the actual names of the solicitors, Counsels and the judges who have been involved in my case right up to the Court of Appeal?. Is it possible to email me a sample of a complaint letter and a copy of the ECHR application forms? Thank you very much

I hope to hear from you soon.

Kind regards

Sophia

10th April '11

We are told "If you are doing nothing wrong you have no need to be afraid of CCTV cameras". Why then, are judges so afraid of us using recorders or cameras?

Below is pasted my message to Scott Mollett:

Scott,

Regret I am unable to travel the long distance to either Norwich or Harlow. I urge you NOT to plead guilty. (In fact, with 'Contempt of Court', I'm not sure that 'guilt' or 'innocence' comes into it.) The scores of people using video cameras inside & outside Birkenhead County Court on 7th March MUST bring a change. You are entitled to say that if no action was taken against them, it would be seriously oppressive to punish you. Once in Leeds Magistrates' Court & once in Cardiff Crown Court I was threatened with 'Contempt', & each time I told the judge loudly, "I have the utmost contempt for you & this court". In

neither case was any action taken against me.

Norman Scarth.

PS: Last year Nadia Feldmeier published on the Internet the names of the jurors at the trial of Maurice Kirk in Cardiff. She was arrested & imprisoned on remand, warned that a longer period in prison for Contempt of Court was a possibility. I wrote to the Court & to all the police stations in South Wales, telling them that I had also published the names on the Internet, so they had better come up to Yorkshire & arrest me. They didn't, & they released Nadia without any further action. I have used recording machines in court many times, sometimes with the judge's permission sometimes without, notably in the Civil Appeal Court before Lord Woolf, Lord Justice Otton & LJ Ward. I am prepared to make a written statement admitting it if you wish.

PPS: Noel O'Gara was sent to prison for openly taking just one still picture (of a plain clothes police officer who refused to give his name) in Bradford Law Courts building - NOT even in a courtroom." They will threaten you that even taking pictures OUTSIDE the building can result in two years imprisonment.

PPPS: There is a tale about Mae West (not sure if it was in a film or real life): She was warned, "You are getting close to contempt of court". Her response was, "I'm trying to hide it Your Honour".

NS.

Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2011 09:19:30 +0100

From: andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk

To: fernea720@msn.com

CC: caul.grant@hotmail.com; srmollett@yahoo.co.uk; stan.parr@btinternet.com;

enscarth@hotmail.com; sean@libertarian.co.uk; anne\_stgeorge@hotmail.com;

bjgerrish@tiscali.co.uk; metricmartyrs@btconnect.com; new-ind-uk@yahoogroups.com;

CDAmkII@yahoogroups.com

Subject: Was: Court appearance - Now: AN ABSOLUTE SCANDAL

'On arrival at the court, I had no supporters turn up at all'

If the campaign of lawful rebellion is to continue and be effective then what happened to Scott Mollett, when he stood up to be counted, must never be allowed to happen again!

Thankfully the case was adjourned and it is now scheduled to be heard at Harlow Magistrates Court for 28th April at 9:45am.

So please, get in touch with Scott, and if you are able to get to Harlow on April 28th, pledge to be there to give him the support he deserves.

Andy E.

----- Original Message ----- Subject: Re: [Bulk] Re: Court appearance

Date: Sat, 09 Apr 2011 18:43:17 +0100

From: Scott Mollett <srmollett@yahoo.co.uk>

To: Andrew Edwards <andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk>

Andrew,

Just to update you on what happened....

On arrival at the court, I had no supporters turn up at all.

The usher asked how I was pleading and because I had no support, I said that i would be pleading guilty.

When I was called into the courtroom, the Clerk of the Justices informed me that the only judge in the area was the judge that was filmed and would have totally been a conflict of interest.

She said that it would need to be adjourned to a later date so I requested that it be moved to my home town of Harlow, Essex.

It is now scheduled to Harlow Magistrates Court for 28th April at 9:45am. I need to try and get supporters if I can.

Any help would be appreciated.

Kind regards

Scott.

On 07/04/11 12:27, Andrew Edwards wrote:

Good links Doug. Good to see you're on this distribution list my friend.

Rgds

A.

PS: Scott will certainly keep you on my own distribution list

On 07/04/2011 11:03, Doug Laybourne wrote:

Hi Scott,

Have you tried looking in the following for guidance?

<http://www.tpuc.org/> and <http://www.freedomrebels.co.uk/>

Also, I read somewhere that "Contempt of Court" does not apply to litigants or witnesses; but to Court Officials.

I'll try and locate it again to see if it would be of genuine help to you.

Best wishes,

Doug Laybourne

MARCH 2011

12th March '11

It won't guarantee that everything below is completely accurate (Roger could tell you better), but it gives a general idea of what happened in the High Court in Manchester on Friday 11th March.

THE High Court is in London. However, in most big cities there is a 'District Registry of The High Court'. The Manchester one is in the 'Civil Justice Centre' (about 200 yards from the Crown Court). I guessed that was the place & got the taxi driver to take us there. I went in & asked staff but they were all gormless. My friend & I were the first ones there, but soon other supporters arrived & confirmed we were in the right place. There were about a dozen of us altogether. As I understand it, Roger Hayes was hoping to obtain a Writ of Habeas Corpus for one of the Birkenhead protesters who was unlawfully arrested & is now unlawfully imprisoned. Roger was meeting obstruction from staff, who know little about law & hadn't a clue what he was talking about. Roger was tying them in knots. They eventually brought 'The Court Lawyer', Ann Side, who didn't know much more. Anyway, Roger managed to get a message to a judge, who refused to have us in court or issue the writ. Roger called the police, & reported the judge for Treason & contempt of court to the male & female plods who arrived, but were completely out of their depths. Then an inspector arrived. He refused to interview the judge about the alleged crime, but logged the complaint & said he would pass it 'higher up'. I understand Roger will be

getting at the Chief Constable on Monday for the failure of his officers to uphold the law. That was about it till we left about 3.30pm, apart from the two plods who noticed one of our little gang using his phone/camera to record what the Inspector was saying, took the camera from him & threatened him with arrest if he didn't delete the footage, which he did, under protest. With only a dozen very quiet people present it was nothing like Monday's Birkenhead event, but the office staff, the arrogant, incompetent Ann Side, & the security men were all worried. There was nothing dramatic, but if I know Roger it isn't over yet - by any means.

Norman Scarth.

Date: Fri, 11 Mar 2011 11:34:56 +0000

Subject: Re: Northern Lads - RE: Manchester: Let's have a bigger turnout than Birkenhead!!!

From: m.cubells@sky.com

To: info@namastepublishing.co.uk

CC: paul@lazystudent.co.uk; isright@hotmail.com; phil\_davies29@yahoo.com; enscarth@hotmail.com; tomsanguish@hotmail.com

Hi Carole

I went to Manchester but there is no High Court there, they have a Crown Court and a Magistrates Court. Visited these two Courts but could not find a gathering of any sorts, has the hearings been adjourned?

Miguel from Wigan

On Thu, Mar 10, 2011 at 9:06 PM, Toms Anguish <tomsanguish@hotmail.com> wrote:

A message to you lot up there in the North.

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: info@namastepublishing.co.uk

CC: enscarth@hotmail.com

Subject: Manchester: Let's have a bigger turnout than Birkenhead!!!

Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2011 20:23:18 +0000

I'll be there with my black umbrella!!! Try to make it if you can!

Norman Scarth.

From: info@namastepublishing.co.uk

To: ;

Subject: Fw: help needed

Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2011 18:28:34 +0000

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 4:56 PM

Subject: help needed

Hi, friends DO YOU LIVE NEAR MANCHESTER?

If you do we need witness' for the court case at Manchester High Court at 10am.

Tomorrow (11th March)

This is for the guys arrested in Birkenhead on Monday.

Please try to be there for support if you live anywhere near, and pass to all your contacts asap

Thanks

Carole

9th March '11

WHY have the Judicial Mafia been so afraid of anyone using an audio recorder in court? They have sent people to prison for 'Contempt of Court' for doing so. What have they been afraid of? Well, they will do it no more. On Monday 7th March 2011 Birkenhead saw a precedent that not only audio recorders, but camcorders can be used.

NS. aka 'Laura Norder'

PS: Judge Gullick (Bradford, West Yorkshire) not only sent one man to prison for using an audio recorder in a courtroom, but imprisoned another just for openly taking one still picture in the court building. Gullick threatened that anyone even taking a picture OUTSIDE the building could go to prison for TWO YEARS! Those days are over now!

PPS: Who was the senile old chap with the sailor's hat on? I see that Judge Jeffries was there too. NS.

From: info@namastepublishing.co.uk

To: ;

Subject: Fw: Excellent video..British People Seaze the Court and ARREST THE JUDGE!!!!

Date: Wed, 9 Mar 2011 21:14:02 +0000

PLEASE NETWORK FAR and WIDE - NOW ITS YOUR TURN IN YOUR TOWN / YOUR COUNTRY

WATCH THIS EXCELLENT VIDEO FOOTAGE OF EVENTS IN LIVERPOOL WHERE THE BRITISH CONSTITUTION GROUP USED THE ANCIENT LAW OF MAGNA CARTA TO SEIZE AN UNLAWFUL COURT

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2011 8:42 PM

Subject: INFO

British constitution group Seaze the Court and ARREST THE JUDGE!!!! The time is NOW!!!!

Please Make FRONT PAGE....

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xxEN1FDteaE&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xxEN1FDteaE&feature=player_embedded)

8th March '11

See the woman at the centre of this video. See how she & her Gestapo chums ignore their duty to arrest the criminals, but assault a law-abiding citizen. She follows the traditions of Irma Grese & Ilsa Koch (google the names), & is drunk with the power she has had up till now. Let's hope it will not be for much longer.

Norman Scarth.

PS: Nuremberg hangman Albert Pierrepoint said that Irma Grese was 'the bravest woman he had ever hung'. Ilsa Koch escaped the noose by getting pregnant by one of the guards. She committed suicide several years later. NS.

Date: Tue, 8 Mar 2011 11:01:24 +0000

From:

Subject: Re: Birkenhead Courtroom: WONDERFUL!!

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Let's hope that this is the start of the time to come when EVERYONE can see true British justice reign again....the crowds were brilliant, all standing together.

From: norman scarth <enscarth@hotmail.com>

To: RogerH <info@thebcgroup.org.uk>; roger@friezefframe.com

Cc: patrick.cullinane@tiscali.co.uk; noellogara@yahoo.com

Sent: Tue, 8 March, 2011 9:09:32

Subject: Birkenhead Courtroom: WONDERFUL!!

Date: Tuesday, 8 March, 2011, 0:03

--- On Mon, 7/3/11, Carole Bragg <carolebragg@ymail.com> wrote:

Date: Monday, 7 March, 2011, 22:40

.

--- On Mon, 7/3/11, North East Truth Sovereigns-on-the-land <mail@northetruth.ning.com> wrote:

From: North East Truth Sovereigns-on-the-land <mail@northetruth.ning.com>

Subject: 'arrest' county court judge

To: "carolebragg@ymail.com" <carolebragg@ymail.com>

Date: Monday, 7 March, 2011, 20:51

North East Truth Sovereigns-on-the-land

Live Radio Tues 9pm to 11pm

A message to all members of North East Truth Sovereigns-on-the-land

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G8JL4oDRx54>

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-merseyside-12668444>

[http://www.wirralglobe.co.uk/news/8893981.Hundreds\\_gather\\_in\\_Birkenhead\\_in\\_ma  
ss\\_protest/?ref=mr](http://www.wirralglobe.co.uk/news/8893981.Hundreds_gather_in_Birkenhead_in_mass_protest/?ref=mr)

Please share make this go viral the Revolution has begun

Visit North East Truth Sovereigns-on-the-land at:

[http://northetruth.ning.com/?xg\\_source=msg\\_mes\\_network](http://northetruth.ning.com/?xg_source=msg_mes_network)

**FEBRUARY 2011**

24th February '11

The Harassment Act is one of the worst of many bad laws brought in on the pretence

of being for good reason, but really as a tool of oppression. It is our DUTY to defy them.

Norman Scarth.

Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 08:40:59 +0000  
From: mldoherty@ymail.com  
Subject: Police harassment - unlawful entry  
To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Hi Norman,

I hope this email finds you well and continuing to make headway. Just thought I'd send you up a link to the videos of the stasi entering my home (unlawfully) and terrorising my family. The police complaints and professional standards think it's just fine for their officers to threaten smashing a glass door in my face.

Of course all this won't come as any surprise to you, you know what is going on. If you could pass the links to your extensive network of likeminded people, I would be most grateful.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lx0rFqidrAI> [part 1]

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m2CTDsNH2R4> [part 2]

It is clear this is the sort of conduct, those of us awoken, must endure from the strong arm of the law.

Best,

Michael

Democracy in danger! LORDS TO THE RESCUE!!! (Hopefully!)

'Democracy' at its worst was seen in the House of Commons vote to defy the European Court of Human Rights on voting for prisoners (the ECtHR is NOT 'foreigners dictating to us'. See Endnote) Unbelievable MIS-information put out by brain-washed (or corrupt?) MPs claiming to be speaking for the British People, themselves brainwashed by the so called 'News Media' into believing that all those in prison are wicked murderers & rapists. Yes, there are some of those, but many are innocent, stitched up by crooked lawyers. Many more are in prison not because they broke any of the immutable Ten Commandments, but because they disobeyed some 'Regulation' (which may well be changed from time to time). Some would say it is our DUTY to disobey bad laws, & there are plenty of those now. Even those who truly are guilty of terrible crimes are no worse than the mass murderers of the Blair Regime & the 'Heirs to Blair', who, sadly, have not been convicted, & are not prisoners, but are free to jet between luxury mansions at home & millionaire playgrounds abroad. "In a State which imprisons any unjustly, the true place for a just man is also in prison" said Henry David Thoreau (1817-1862). 'Prisoners of Conscience' are not only found in far off lands: there are plenty here in Britain. According to the House of Commons the vote should be denied to present day people who follow in the footsteps of Mahatma Gandhi, the Suffragettes, Deitrich

Bonhoeffer, Pastor Martin Neimuller, Alexandr Solzhenitsyn, Andrei Sakharov, Vladimir Bukosky, Nelson Mandela, Steve Biko, Ken Saro Wiwa, Mohammed Ali, Martin Luther King Jr, et al, all of them sent to prison (some who survived, some who did not), & thus 'forfeited their right to vote'. To say nothing of those innocents who were less 'important' - Timothy John Evans, Mahmoud Marchant, George Kelly (all of them hung), Stefan Kisko, Robert Brown, Kevin Callan & Anthony Steele being only a few of those who spent many long years in prison before their innocence was grudgingly admitted. Had they 'Forfeited their right to vote'? Those we know about: How many more victims of our less than perfect courts are there?

Please forgive me for telling my own story (below): I do so only to show you the sort of thing that is kept from the public.

Immodest of me, but I claim that my contribution to this country & to the defence of Democracy is INFINITELY greater than any current MP. In World War 2 I served on the Arctic Russian Convoys & in the sinking of the Scharnhorst, brave men (of both sides) dying around me in large numbers. I doubt if any current MPs have any comprehension of what that was like. After the Scharnhorst battle we steamed into Scapa Flow to a Hero's Welcome. For 50 years after the war I worked honestly & hard, mostly as a small farmer, horse breeder & 'horse whisperer' (as popularly known). Until the age of 70 I was proud to be British, proud to have 'Done My Bit' (a phrase of the times) for the country I loved. Then came a succession of shocks as I learned that Britain was not the land I had always believed it to be, & made it my mission in life to expose the corruption of which I had newly become aware. My reward for this? At the age of 75, after two potentially lethal terror attacks failed to silence me, there was a malicious prosecution, I was stitched up for a non-existent (repeat - NON- EXISTENT) 'crime', and, at a Kangaroo Court 'hearing' a sadistic judge imposed a sentence to ensure I died in prison. Well, in spite of all the efforts of those with the keys, I didn't die, & after six years in many different prisons am now free. At the age of 85, I am still seeking to expose corruption, & STILL under attack (physical & 'legal') because of it! Peers & Peeresses who have bothered to read so far may like to read the letter I wrote to John Hemming MP after I had attended a Committee Room Meeting in the House of Commons on 25th January 2011. The subject heading of my letter was,

'Success in the European Court of Human Rights can be bad for your health. It was for me!'

To Mr. John Hemming MP. (Please forgive my narcissism in attaching the portrait, but it does rather flatter me ).

Thanks are due to Austin Mitchell for hosting, to Sabine McNeill for organising & to you for attending the meeting in House of Commons Committee Room 16 on 25th January 2010. The 420 mile round trip was made worthwhile for me by one snippet from you (what to do if there has been a 'breakdown of communication' with our own MP). However, please forgive me if I respectfully say that your brief talk on the working of the 'British Legal System' was superfluous. No doubt a class of 15 year old school pupils would have learned from it, but you were addressing experts on the subject. ALL of those present are fully aware of what is SUPPOSED to happen in our courts, but we have vast experience of what happens in reality! After you told of the route to the European Court of Human Rights I was hoping to tell you what can happen if you are successful there, as I was (*Scarth v UK*, case 33745/96).

Unfortunately, time ran out. Please allow me to tell you now. I achieved my success single-handed, without a lawyer. Though it concerned only a small civil matter, it brought a vital change in British law, incorporated into the 1998 Human Rights

Act. Not bad for a lad who left school at 13 and, apart from fighting in World War 2 had been a small farmer most of my life? One might think this would bring some respect from the professionals. Not so: they do not like 'trespassers' on their patch! Apart from which, the change brought about by me is much hated by the professionals - & I am hated for it.

22nd July 1999 was the day on which the ECtHR ruled that I had been the victim of a violation of Article 6 of the Convention. (It needs to be said here that I had another Application before the ECtHR - MUCH hotter than the one just decided. 'SOMEONE' decided it must be stopped!)

On 8th August 1999, - JUST 17 DAYS after the ECtHR decision - there came the first attempt on my life! I was sitting alone at home on a quiet Sunday afternoon, an old man, a WW2 veteran, doing no harm to anyone.

Without warning or even the pretence of a lawful excuse there came to my door a mob-handed gang of seven West Yorkshire Police - BEING USED AS A PRIVATE ARMY! They hammered on the door, demanding entry. Though my head was bursting & my heart pounding (as yours would have been) I defied them & told them they were breaking the law. They radioed for a battering & riot shields. The intention was to gain entry & seize the evidence I had for my new ECtHR case, and/or to silence me by fear - a heart attack or a stroke the hoped for outcome. Few men of my age would not have had one or the other. Because concerned neighbours had gathered the police did not use the battering ram. Instead, they laid siege to my home FOR THREE HOURS before backing off, saying "We have decided this is not a police matter", but adding the sinister words "We'll be back!" Those words, & much more, are on tape. THERE HAS BEEN A NATIONWIDE COVER-UP OF THAT POLICE CRIME, MY MP BEING PARTY TO THE COVER-UP. With no help from him, will any of you MPs take up this matter? What you have just read is horror enough, but much worse was to follow.

During a second attack eleven months later, the police DID smash into my home, & gave me a brutal kicking which put me in hospital. To cover up THAT police crime there was a malicious prosecution - for a NON-EXISTANT 'crime' & a Kangaroo court 'trial', my witnesses & my evidence banned - as were The Press! Serious perjury was committed to get the conviction wanted. Others would have been given Probation, Community Service, Suspended Sentence or similar. For me, a WW2 veteran, for a first 'offence' at the age of 75, I was given a sentence to ensure I died in prison - six years, plus four years on extended licence. Well in spite of all their efforts in a bewildering number of different prisons, I didn't die, & after four years (2/3rds of the six) had 'paid my debt to society', & was due to walk free. Instead, I was UNLAWFULLY carted off to a Stalinist 'Mental Hospital' (yes, we DO have them in Britain!). I was NOT a patient, neither needing nor receiving any treatment, but a captive, just as much as Terry Waite, Brian Keenan & John McCarthy in Beirut, Daniel Pearl in Pakistan, Ken Bigley & Margaret Hassan in Iraq. Though I am neither a criminal nor a lunatic, I never expected to be allowed out alive, but, thanks to an honest psychiatrist (many are not) a miracle happened, & here I am, STILL seeking to expose the corruption which is rampant in our courts. And STILL the target for physical attack & robbery by thugs acting for those I seek to expose.

Mr. Hemming, If my hearing & memory serve me (neither are perfect!) you said words to the effect that banking malpractice was not your field, but that you ARE concerned about Judicial Corruption. If that is indeed so, then you are the man for me, & I am the man for you! There is cast iron evidence of all the above - & much more beside! Estranged from the man who is supposed to be my MP mean that I

have been effectively without one for the last ten years. Would you take on that role please? If it needs the approval of The Speaker, than I will of course ask him.

Yours, hopefully,

Norman Scarth.

PS: I am also the ONLY man in Britain concerned about crime against the old & vulnerable. Will you join me in that? NS.

ENDNOTE: Because Britain was the major European victor in WW2, British lawyers under David Maxwell-Fyfe QC (later Lord Chancellor Kilmuir) were given the job of drafting the Convention. Much of it followed the UN Universal Declaration on Human Rights, but British law of the time was also included. Far from being 'foreigners dictating to us', it was British Law imposed on Europe!

ENDNOTE 2: The idea that prisoners would all vote for easier prisons is a nonsense. Ian Brady for one, would undoubtedly vote for David Davis, who favours re-introduction the death penalty. I would too, if the lawyers who run our courts could be trusted. Sadly, they cannot.

ENDNOTE 3: Though my first foray into politics (the 1997 General Election, as an Independent Old Age Pensioner) did not gain me a seat, my effect on the result was much greater than the bare figures suggest (explained elsewhere). Chesterfield was one of only four seats in the country where the massive swing to Labour was reversed, the LibDem candidate gaining some 3,500 votes more than he would have done but for me. Tony Benn won of course, but I sowed the seed which ended his Parliamentary career, & the LibDem won in 2001. They have never thanked me for that. NS.

1st February '11

Private Prosecutions: A POWERFUL WEAPON!

The lawyers who run our courts with such arrogance, who have a stranglehold on 'The Law' & abuse their power so badly know full well that Private Prosecutions can be a powerful weapon if we want to break that stranglehold & call them to account for their abuse of power. Which is WHY they will use every dirty trick to obstruct Private Prosecutors & prevent the people becoming aware of that weapon. (In saying 'lawyers' I include of course the Judicial Mafia).

The case below, hopefully to be heard in the near future, illustrates this well.

In the Leeds District Registry

of the HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE, Administrative Court.

Claim No. CO/601/2011

ATTACHMENT TO FORM N461: Judicial Review Claim Form.

ADDENDUM to

SECTION 5 Detailed statement of grounds:

From centuries before the police were invented, it was the right of everyone in the land to 'Lay an Information' ('Make Complaint') to a justice of the Peace if they alleged there had been a crime (& not necessarily against the person Laying the Information). IT STILL IS!

Somewhere in the instructions for seeking Judicial Review we are invited (instructed?) to give detail of Statutes, precedents etc. which the judges should read first. I COULD quote from the Criminal Procedure Rules and also sections 1 and 2 of the Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, but if High Court judges are not already aware of the right described above, it is time to give up. That right was confirmed with infinitely more authority than any Statute or Precedent by the blood of those who

gave their lives in World War 2 to preserve it.

Below is the text of the Application prior to the addendum above. A little hard reading perhaps, (1,654 words) but worth it for those who might think to use the weapon.

In the Leeds District Registry  
of the HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE, Administrative Court.  
Claim No. CO/601/2011

ATTACHMENT TO FORM N 461: Judicial Review Claim Form      Friday 21st  
January 2011

#### SECTION 1: Details of the Claimant & Defendants.

Claimant: Norman Scarth (Litigant In Person).

36 Anvil Court, BRADFORD BD8 7QW

Tel. No. 01274 541 213

1st Defendant:

Christopher Darnton, C/o Leeds Magistrates' Court, PO Box 97, Westgate, LEEDS LS1 3JP.

Tel: 0113 245 9653. Fax: 0113 244 4700. Email: wy-leedsmags@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

2nd Defendant:

Keir Starmer, The Crown Prosecution Service, Rose Court, 2 Southwark Bridge, LONDON SE1 9HS. Tel: 0203 357 0000. Email: keir.starmer@cps.gsi.gov.uk

#### SECTION 2 Details of other interested parties.

Tracey Bagley, C/o. Leeds Magistrates' Court, PO Box 97, Westgate, LEEDS LS1 3JP.

Tel: 0113 245 9653. Fax: 0113 244 4700. Email: wy-leedsmags@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

Janet Raistrick &

Sam Goozee, both C/o Bradford Magistrates' Court, PO Box 187, The Tyrls, BRADFORD BD1 1JL. Tel; 01274 390111. Fax: 01274 391 731. Email: wy-bradfordmags@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk . The Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Justice, 102 Petty France, London SW1H 9AJ

P. Craig Mitchell, 62 Foston Lane, BRADFORD BD2 3QE. Tel/Fax: 01274 780 736  
Judith Clarke, 11 Meg Lane, Longwood, HUDDERSFIELD HD3 4QP. Tel: 01484 656514.

#### SECTION 3 Details of the decision to be judicially reviewed.

(1) Refusal/failure to issue Summonses when Information was properly laid before them. (2) Refusal to issue Orders directing those who knew the home addresses of those accused of serious assault to give those addresses. (3) Failure by West Yorkshire to record a complaint, give a crime number or investigate what was serious assault on a man of 84. (4) Blocking of emails from people with legitimate reason to communicate with the courts & with the Ministry of Justice.

Date of decision: 15th December 2010.

#### SECTION 4 Permission to proceed with a claim for judicial review.

I am seeking permission to proceed with my claim for Judicial Review.  
Is this application being made under the terms of Section 18 Practice Direction 54 (Challenging removal)?

NO.

Are you making any other applications? (If Yes, complete Section 7.)

NO.

Is the Claimant in receipt of a Community Legal Service Fund (CLSF) certificate?

NO.

Are you claiming exceptional urgency, or do you need this application determined within a certain time scale?

(If Yes, complete Form N463 & file with your application).

YES (I have done so).

Have you complied with the pre-action protocol?

Not applicable, but Defendants & Interested Parties are well aware of the circumstances & have had opportunity to respond.

Have you issued your claim in the region with which you have the closest connection?

YES. (Give any additional reasons for wanting it to be dealt with this region in the box below).

Apart from the second Defendant & the Ministry of Justice, all parties involved reside and/or work within the region.

- - - - -

Attachment to FORM N 463: Judicial Review. Application for urgent consideration.  
Friday 21st January 2011

In the High Court of Justice, Administrative Court, Leeds District \Registry,  
Claim No. CO/601/2011

Claimant: Norman Scarth.

Defendants: 1). Christopher Darnton. 2). Keir Starmer.

Interested Parties: Tracey Bagley; Janet Raistrick; Sam Goozee; Ministry of Justice; P. Craig Mitchell & Judith Clarke.

This form must be completed by the Claimant ... if exceptional urgency is being claimed and the application needs to be determined within a certain time scale.

The Claimant ... must serve this form on the defendants and any interested parties with the N461 Judicial Review claim form.

To the Defendants and Interested Parties.

Representations as to the urgency of the claim may be made by defendants or interested parties to the relevant Administrative Court Office by fax to Leeds 0113 306 2581.

'SECTION 1, Reason for Urgency'

'DELAY IN JUSTICE IS THE DENIAL OF JUSTICE'

has been said by many eminent jurists.

Now aged 85, my expectation of life is obviously limited, even under normal circumstances.

They are certainly NOT normal when, as appears to be the case so far, anyone in the land has licence to assault, injure & rob me with impunity.

This situation has applied for nearly twelve years, ever since my single-handed success in the European Court of Human Rights brought a vital change in British Law, incorporated into the 1998 Human Rights Act. That success gained me powerful enemies within the legal profession. That however, is not part of this Claim,

& is mentioned only as background. More recent matters are that at the start of the very cold winter of 2009/10 a gang of ruthless gangsters robbed me not only of my meagre life savings, but of the Winter Fuel Payment & Cold Weather Payments so generously given by the government to we old folks to ensure we do not die of hypothermia.

With friends in high places, there is no hope that these gangsters will ever be called to account. So, again, it is mentioned only as background, to assist in understanding what follows.

The two serious assaults mentioned in the Claim were to silence me in case I dared to complain about the robbery.

The assaults took place on 24th June & 20th July 2010, & my attempts to have my assailants called to account have been obstructed & delayed (by those who purport to be the forces of Law & Order) ever since. The hope is that I will suffer a heart attack, a stroke, become enfeebled or die. One or the other cannot be far away. The crimes can then be forgotten – history will have no record of them (just as in George Orwell's '1984').

I care little for myself, but hope the High Court will send out the message that crimes against old folks are at least as important as lesser crimes which receive much more attention from what purport to be the 'Forces of Law & Order'.

Perhaps it may go some way to making Britain as safe for old folks as it was until the 1960s, when these despicable crimes started to become common.

That IS both URGENT and IMPORTANT!

SECTION 2 Proposed timetable:

a) The application for interim relief should be considered within .....:

There is no application for interim relief.

b) The N461 application for permission should be considered  
AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

c) Abridgement of time is sought for the lodging of acknowledgement of service.

d) If permission for judicial review is granted, a substantive hearing is sought by  
AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

SECTION 3 Interim Relief. Not applicable. No Interim Relief is sought.

SECTION 4 Service.

A copy of this form of application was served on the defendants & interested parties as follows (says the original form, asking that boxes be filled in).

It then goes on to ask whether the application was served on the defendants & interested parties by fax machine (with time sent): or by handing it to or leaving it with ????: or by email, & the date served. Obviously, this information cannot be given until the documents have been served. It will be added to the original form when that has happened. Following which the form will be lodged at the Court.

Norman Scarth.

Tuesday 1st February 2010.

I am now able to complete

SECTION 4 Service

Copies of this form of Application (and Claim form N461with attachment) were served on

Defendants Christopher Darnton & Keir Starmer , and

Interested Parties Tracey Bagley, Janet Raistrick & Sam Goozee, by Fax on Friday 28th January 2011

(Note: The Ministry of Justice was originally shown as one of the Interested Parties, but in this matter have been represented by Sam Goozee & Keir Starmer. The CPS

have acknowledged receipt on behalf of Keir Starmer).

Copies were posted First Class (Certificate of Posting available) to Interested Parties P Craig Mitchell & Judith Clarke on Saturday 29th January 2011. (Copy was also sent by email to Judith Clarke.

Certified by N. Scarth, Claimant.

DECEMBER 2010

27th December '10

Those of you interested enough to have followed this saga will have seen my 'Laying Information' documents presented to Leeds Magistrates' Court (re. the two assaults upon me), & my Report on the hearing of 15th December, as sent to Legal Manager Tracey Bagley, asking for the address of the crooked District Judge Darnton. However, you have not before seen my Laying Info document relating to my Private Prosecution of Police Ch. Supt. Rose, which I handed up to the crooked District Judge when it was obvious he was not going to issue Summonses for the assaults. So, I paste that document below, so you have everything relating to this stage of the saga. The (false) reasons that Darnton gave for refusing to issue summons for the assaults did not apply to Supt. Rose, but he refused just the same. It is my view that Private Prosecutions (under the right circumstances) could be a good way to combat corruption. Obviously the corrupt think the same, which is why they are desperate to block me (& anyone else), so that others will not see how easy it is - or SHOULD be!!!! They are now using even dirtier tricks, but I leave that until the next email.

Norman Scarth (aka Lorne Auder)

-----

To: The Justices of the Peace at Leeds Magistrates' Court.

Westgate, LEEDS LS1 3JP.

(I seek the assistance of court staff in deciding which charges to prefer, & whether Common Law or Statute).

I, Norman Scarth, of 36 Anvil Court, BRADFORD BD8 7QW,

HEREBY LAY INFORMATION BEFORE THE JUSTICES AS FOLLOWS:

That from 1st October 2010 & continuing, Chief Superintendent Rose, of Bradford South Police Division, Nelson Street, Bradford has been guilty of the offences of 'Dereliction of Duty', AND/OR 'Misconduct in Public Office', AND/OR 'Perverting the Course of Justice'.

THE FACTS:

On 20th September 2010, I, Norman Scarth wrote a letter to West Yorkshire Chief Constable Sir Norman Bettison in which I said I (inter alia):

"VIOLENT CRIME AGAINST THE OLD & VULNERABLE was almost unheard of until the 1960s, but is now an everyday occurrence (& often fatal). To their shame, the police utter the usual platitudinous condemnation, but otherwise ignore it.

Thanks to his constitution being stronger than most of his age, the 84 year old victim of a recent attack did survive.

However, the injured ribs did cause severe pain, needing evening call out of the emergency doctor to supply strong painkilling medication until X-ray could be arranged. Thankfully, this did show that there was no fracture, but bruising of the ribs which, as you may know, can be just as painful as a fracture, though treatment only requires continuation of the painkilling medication until the bruising has gone.

Though there were many witnesses & video evidence, from past experience the victim feels it will be a waste of time asking the police to even question his assailants, much less prosecute them. We hope you might prove him wrong.

This letter is sent to you the Chief Constable, in the hope

(a) That you might impress upon your force that crime against the old is at least as important as other crimes which appear to bring much stronger action from those under you.

(b) That you will urge there should be prosecution in this case, to deter those minded to commit similar attacks in future (it is not the first time he has suffered such an assault).

It is a terrible indictment of modern Britain that such crimes occur at all. One reason why they have become so common is that the police not only fail to take action, but show little interest.

The assault happened in your area. We hope you will contact the writer via the email address above, to arrange an appointment when names of witnesses can be given, & video evidence produced." (End of letter to Chief Constable).

- - - - -

Inspector David Apsee, Staff Officer to the Deputy Chief Constable responded with commendable speed with a letter dated 21st September 2010, saying, inter alia, "I have forwarded a copy of your letter to Chief Superintendent Rose, Divisional Commander for the Bradford South Division. A member from this division will be in touch at the earliest opportunity."

The response from the Staff Officer was speedy, but almost three months have now gone by without a single word from Ch. Supt. Rose or anyone else at Bradford South Police.

This confirms what I said in the my letter to the Chief Constable about there being a total lack of concern from the police about crimes against the old & vulnerable – but especially when the crimes are against me.

Even MORE confirmation: After five weeks had gone by without a word from Ch. Supt Rose or her staff, on Friday 29th October 2010 I attended at Bradford South Police Station & handed in written complaint of two assaults on me (I also mentioned other crimes against me, but emphasised my concern was mainly with the latest two). PC Neil Sharpe, the mature police constable who took the complaint was very concerned at what he read & heard from me during the hour long interview. He said he would pass the complaint higher up. The response from Higher Up?

Again– NOT A SINGLE WORD! See the Appeal Court decision in Regina v Dytham, where it was ruled that failure to act by the police could be as culpable as the commission of an offence.

It is obvious that Ch. Spt. Rose is dragging her feet in the certain knowledge that at my age a heart attack, a stroke, enfeeblement or my demise cannot be too far away, & that if she delays long enough one or other will occur, she & her staff can forget all about it. I hope this court will be concerned, & send a message that crime against the old & vulnerable is at least as important as crime against others.

I HEREBY CONFIRM THAT THE FACTS GIVEN ABOVE ARE TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, BELIEF & RECOLLECTION.

Norman

Scarth

Norman Scarth, 36 Anvil Court,  
BRADFORD BD8 7QW. Tel: 01274 541 213

- - - - -End of Information Laying document. - - - - -

26th December '10

One of these sub-human monsters says 'I have a Legal Order'. To compare them to the Gestapo would be an insult to the Gestapo. To Hell with any gagging order, let me know the names of the monsters & I will gladly publish them far & wide.

Norman Scarth.

PS: The sender says (understandably) 'Don't let any children see this'. I would say that everyone in the world - including children (above a certain age) MUST see it. NS.

Date: Sun, 26 Dec 2010 13:14:55 +0000

From: thehappyfeet@yahoo.co.uk

Subject: Fw: EVIDENCE: State sponsored child snatching / Child Kidnapping In Progress..

To:

--- On Sun, 26/12/10, Discoveries Bournemouth <discoveriesintl@btconnect.com> wrote:

From: Discoveries Bournemouth <discoveriesintl@btconnect.com>

Subject: EVIDENCE: State sponsored child snatching / Child Kidnapping In Progress..

To:

Date: Sunday, 26 December, 2010, 0:41

EVIDENCE OF STATE SPONSORED CHILD SNATCHING... (it's up to all of us to put a stop to it)

1. Proof that huge profits are being made in Britain by Blair's new-fangled 'job creation schemes' by this contemptible activity....

<http://www.google.co.uk/m/search?q=Child+in+care+%C2%A32.500+per+week&pbx=1&aq=f&oq=&aqi=-k0d0t0&fkt=21564&fsdt=49161&csll=&action=&ltoken=38897d06>

2. <http://www.forced-adoption.com/guestbook.asp>

3. The tragic adoption legacy of Tony B-

liar: [http://www.fassit.co.uk/adoption\\_statistics.htm](http://www.fassit.co.uk/adoption_statistics.htm)

4. Some statistics for you:

1: Fosterers typically £400/ week per child!

2: Special children's homes typically £7,000/ week per child!

3: Lawyers and court costs typically £500,000 per case!

4: Experts typically £28,000 for a simple report!

5: Adoption agencies make £millions (£18,000/placement)

6: Local authorities get £millions hitting adoption targets!

When is this ever going to stop? any child abuse here has been committed by the police.

WARNING GRAPHIC & DISTURBING ! State sponsored child snatching / Child Kidnapping In Progress..

very disturbing footage !

PLEASE CIRCULATE & EXPOSE

the child said NO and was clearly of the age of stating this himself, and was very upset ! ...

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y6TkqU7DkwU>

20th December '10

To Legal Manager Tracey Bagley, Leeds Magistrates' Court.

Dear Mrs Bagley:

Re. The refusal by District Judge Darnton to issue summonses on the Information I laid before the court on Wednesday, 15th December 2010.

You saw my document before it was presented to DJ Darnton, & indicated there was little wrong with it that could not be easily amended. DJ Darnton (himself a lawyer) did say that you were his 'Legal Adviser'. That being so, in approving the document you were obviously speaking with authority.

You were then a witness to DJ Darnton acting in gross breach of his Judicial Oath, which he swore as a condition of his appointment, & the salary that goes with it. In doing so, he was at least 'Obtaining Money by False Pretences', but much worse, he became part of widespread collusion to commit (&/or cover up) serious crime by agents of The State. The oppressive & illegal actions have included unlawful arrest, false imprisonment, theft (not only of my meagre life savings, but the Winter fuel Payment & Cold Weather Payments given to we old folks to ensure we do not die of hypothermia), threatening behaviour, and, most recently, 'Assault Occasioning Actual Bodily Harm' & 'Assault & Robbery' by those who are not agents of The State, but are protected by them. Those involved have been Police, Council Officials, Councillors, the Judiciary & Court Service staff. There was absolutely no reason why this matter could not have been dealt with in Bradford Magistrates' Court on 11th November. To give me the run-around, sending me to Leeds, deliberately causing delay of 34 days before taking part in a charade was itself oppressive.

The Data Protection Act was never intended to obstruct the apprehension of those accused of crime, yet Bradford Council Solicitor Suzan Hemingway mis-used that Act to deny me the address of one of my assailants who was known to her & her staff. In her letter of refusal she wrote, "If a Court Order is obtained ... then the Council will fully co-operate with such requests ...". It was ridiculous nonsense for DJ Dranton to say (as he did at an early stage), "I have no power to make Orders", which he contradicted later when the subject came up again, saying, "That is for me to decide".

DJ Darnton obviously knew what was required of him before reading or hearing a word from me. Stating that he was 'trying to help me' was another nonsense. After seeing much more than was necessary for the summonses to be issued (including still pictures from the videos of the assaults), &/or the Orders to be made, he persisted in asking irrelevant questions; i.e. having been given the names, contact addresses & pictures of my assailants, he wanted to know WHO they were. It should have been of no concern to him whether they were priests in Holy Orders or bouncers in a strip club; the question is whether their actions were criminal, a matter to be decided at a

trial, NOT by DJ Darnton. He also said that if & when one of the prosecutions went to the Crown Court, I would have to engage a solicitor to prosecute (not true, though I could well do so) &/or that the CPS may take over. I was well aware of the latter, but it was completely irrelevant at this stage. In bringing up these matters he was not only wasting my time, his own & that of the people waiting outside for their cases to be heard. It was a shocking waste of taxpayers' money. He was even prepared to waste more time, offering to read the large stack of Rulings which I had on Private Prosecutions & on Litigants in Person. Being more concerned about taxpayers' money than he is, I declined, knowing that whatever precedents I might put before him, his mind had been made up before he ever saw me. That being so, it was also a nonsense to pretend that he was 'retiring to consider his decision'. Granted, it is possible that, not having seen my (cast iron) Laying Information against the Chief Superintendent of Police until I handed it up to him after the other two, he may have needed to retire, NOT to 'consider his decision', but to obtain instructions from elsewhere. I believe I am entitled to know whether he did do that (by telephone or otherwise), while 'considering'. No wonder there is so much delay in the courts.

Knowing only too well that the chance of a LIP in a British Court is virtually nil (confirmed by the actions of those who run Bradford Magistrates, & by DJ Darnton in Leeds), I am still reluctant to 'Seek my own remedy' (vide Lord Denning & the current Lord Chief Justice). Hope triumphing over experience, I may well decide to take action through the courts (either civil or criminal) against DJ Darnton. With that in mind I would be grateful if you could give me his full name & home address, which (according to DJ Darnton himself) is essential for that purpose.

There has been deliberate delay & obstruction in this matter for five months. Aged 85, my life expectation is obviously limited. I can ill afford more delay & would be grateful for a speedy reply (email preferred).

Thanking you in anticipation.

Norman Scarth.

ENDNOTE: Reprehensible though it is that DJ Darnton should so ignore his Judicial Oath, much worse lies behind it. Time to tell some of it now, & I do so in the attachment, but give a brief synopsis here: Proud to be British until the age of 70, there came succession of shocks as I began to learn that Britain is not now the land for which I fought in World War II, not the land for which my brave young shipmates gave their lives.

Oppression against me began after I stood for Parliament at the 1997 General Election as an Independent Old Age Pensioner, & published the book CAUSE for CONCERN. The oppression became serious following my success in the European Court of Human Rights (case 33745/96), which brought a vital change in British law, incorporated into the 1998 Human Rights Act (see letter dated 1/9/98 from Geoffrey Hoon MP, then Lord Chancellor Irvine's spokesman in the Commons). The change is much hated by lawyers & judges, & I am hated for it.

On 8th August 1999 – JUST SEVENTEEN DAYS after the ECtHR ruling – came the first attempt on my life by a mob-handed gang of West Yorkshire Police, being used as Private Army – UNACCOUNTABLE & UNTOUCHABLE! See the attachment for more of this horror story.

1,107 words.

14th December '10

Maurice,

It is blindingly obvious that the reason they will not produce your medical records is that you do not have a brain tumour, & never did have: That it was a complete fiction by that evil man 'Doctor' Tegwynn Williams to give an excuse to lock you away for life, at the behest of then Ch. Constable Barbara Wilding who, as Chairwoman of a Big Lottery Fund, was able to hand out large sums of money to Williams. In Cardiff Crown Court on October 1st 2009 I stood up & likened him to the Nazi Dr. Josef Mengele. That is NOT an exaggeration. Nobel Laureate Solzhnitsyn said "To put a sane person in a madhouse (his word) is worse than the gas chamber: the torture is more cruel, death takes longer". So it was for Andy McCardle (see attachment). Please forgive me if I now mention my own case, but it IS relevant. After four years in prison from the age of 75 for a NON-EXISTENT 'crime', I had 'paid my debt to society', & was due to walk free. Instead I was unlawfully carted off to Newton Lodge Gulag 'Hospital', Wakefield, a most evil place. When I asked the Home Office by what authority I was taken there, they said 'Two Doctors' had said I was suffering from some (un-named) 'mental illness'. For more than five years I have been asking for the names of those doctors, but without success. Nor have I been allowed to see my medical records during that time, in spite of many requests. The reason is of course, the 'two doctors' do not exist. There are in fact THREE doctors who DO exist, all of whom said I was NOT suffering from any mental illness!

Tegwynn Williams could not take action against me for what I said in Cardiff Crown Court, but he can now that I have repeated it outside. He can either sue for Defamation, or apply for an injunction to stop me repeating it. I invite him to do so. To their shame, the GMC are as bad as Williams, protecting the evil ones among them, just as they did with Harold Shipman.

Norman Scarth

From: jeanjames@telus.net

Subject: IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST - ORGANIZED CRIME ALERT - CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

Date: Sat, 11 Dec 2010 13:08:53 -0800

## PRESS RELEASE

Contact: Jean James 1-604-581-0523

jeanjames@telus.net

Dear Mr. Bowen:

I am responding to your email publicly, because I think it is in the Public Interest to do so.

In my reply to you, I have also included addressed The Rt. Hon. Nick Herbert of the UK Home Office, who is responsible for policing in the UK. I pose certain questions to him in this e-mail, which is addressed to him, and not his Personal Assistant.

Copies of this correspondence are also sent to The Rt. Hon. Carwyn Jones, First Minister for Wales, lest he should be unaware of international public attention on Wales and its organized crimes, along with other members of UK parliament, and The Rt. Hon. William Hague, Foreign Minister, whose work is surely affected by international opinion.

In your e-mail below, you mention Mr. Maurice Kirk, otherwise known as The Flying Vet, a professional who has been deprived of the tools of his trade, deprived of his liberty, and persecuted by South Wales police for some eighteen years now. He alleges that police have colluded with the National Health Service in an attempt to murder him. In response, I can say that South Wales police do appear to have a history of working closely with the National Health Service, at least according to the article highlighted below. It is true that the NHS would not give up Mr. Kirk's medical file because the lawyers were using it. They told me so themselves. If any journalist or organization reading this correspondence would like to see the documentation, I will gladly provide it.

I do not think one has to have much of an IQ to figure out what lawyers were doing with Mr. Kirk's medical file for all of this time, and that they surely must have been studying the ways that they might attempt to falsify his records, as Mr. Kirk alleges. Why else would the corporate lawyers that the NHS hired need to confiscate his medical file and keep it for so long, especially as police already had a copy that they could have given the lawyers? I am simply posing the question here. It warrants investigation.

They must think we all just fell off a turnip truck.

The NHS hired Dr Marcos Ariel Hourmann even though he killed a patient in Spain | Mail Online

Saturday, 13 November 2010

The NHS hired Dr Marcos Ariel Hourmann even though he killed a patient in Spain | Mail Online: "Doctor hired by two UK hospitals while awaiting murder trial

'Earned £10,000 a month' as surgeon for Dyfed-Powys Police

<http://costadelgangster.blogspot.com/2010/11/nhs-hired-dr-marcos-ariel-hourmann-even.html>

Seriously, Mr. Herbert, does the Dyfed-Powys Police really have a budget big enough to pay 10,000 pounds a month for a surgeon, and why would they need one? Greater Manchester Police – the second biggest police force in Britain – says it cannot properly investigate fraud because they only have one forensic detective on the whole force. Although the truth is that, when given more than enough evidence for a conviction, if the legal profession is involved in the fraud, they drop the case.

Now isn't that also interesting?

I will gladly provide the evidence to Mr. Herbert or international intelligence, especially as this particular case involves a government department responsible for well over 3 billion pounds, of private individuals' money, and this matter has gone under the radar until now. I draw it to the attention of the Rt. Hon. Nick Herbert, and

others who have a sincere interest in justice and the prevention of organized crime. I shall be pleased to provide full details.

Any lack of response will surely speak volumes.

Organized crime in the UK has tentacles which reach around the globe, and certain factions now have the opportunity to start joining up dots, as we are exposing the crimes. For the UK police and government not to be taking action, when they have been fully informed, on a regular basis, but have failed, and outright refused, to act then they are aiding and abetting crime by sheer omission if nothing else, quite aside from other matters that we are aware of. This is a culpable offence under any jurisdiction.

In South Wales, there is an unusually high number of mortgage/property and insurance frauds which police will not address. These crimes go unreported, for the most part, and are therefore “under the radar”, and hidden from intelligence. Police refuse to issue log numbers. We can only draw our own conclusions as to the reason why this should be happening. However, we would point out that local and federal authorities, and certain individual employees mistakenly feel that they are immune from obligations and responsibilities, and not culpable. They will have a rude awakening in the very near future.

I ask Mr. Herbert, of the Home Office, whether or not he senses that there is a possibility of collusion of the authorities in perpetrating organized crime in the UK (Wales and Lancashire in particular), and whether or not the Home Office agrees that organized crime has an impact on homeland security, both in the UK and in other countries, particularly EU and UN member nations?

Does Mr. Herbert agree that the UK has a responsibility, in the global arena, to quell organized crime and root out internal corruption, please? Or does he feel that the UK has no responsibility at all to the rest of the civilized world?

Is Mr. Herbert aware of any international agreements for member nations to fight terrorism, which would include cutting off sources of funding generated by organized criminals? Would this form part of any EU, UN, or North American agreement please?

If members of parliament cannot (or will not) protect their own constituents from organized crime, then it may be time for them to step down, as they are not doing the job that the public pays them to do. To what extent the Home Office is also guilty of omission, and therefore culpable, remains to be seen. I do have documents of interest which have come from the Home Office in the matter of crime and the police, and they show the Home Office in a very poor light. Hopefully, Mr. Herbert will take action to prove that the Home Office is concerned about misuse of police resources, after all, and in fighting organized crime.

I look forward to his response, and prompt action in this matter.

It seems to me that an incredible amount of police time and money is spent pursuing and trying to eradicate Mr. Kirk, when actual crimes go unreported because police refuse to log them.

This is also happening in Lancashire, as Mr. Herbert has already been advised. Again, evidence is fully documented to show that Lancashire police will not take reports on organized crime, preferring, instead, to persecute at least one innocent victim of mortgage/property fraud and her family. Allegedly, this is because the victim, Ms. Carol Woods, is a whistleblower who has details of organized crime in Lancashire which has not, until now, been properly exposed and is not being investigated, despite numerous attempts to involve police.

From my own contact with Lancashire Police, I can certainly attest to some very strange responses from them regarding the issue, and the fact that they refused me seven times when I asked to make a crime report. They did not even ask me about the crime I wished to report, but simply denied me the right to report it.

Could one reason UK police do not log crimes, be to purposefully conceal them? I wonder about this. Mr. Herbert is already aware that Lancashire police refuse to log reports, or take action in the matter of internationally organized crime. I now inform him that the same is true in South Wales – just in case he was not aware of this matter.

Doesn't this make a person wonder? If the Home Office does not raise a Public Inquiry into these matters, then we will wonder even more.

I am awaiting Mr. Herbert's confirmation that the matters raised in recent correspondence will be fully investigated by Public Inquiry.

Although I have also copied The Rt. Hon. David Cameron into this email, it has been my experience, so far, that not one person (with the exception of The Rt. Hon. John Hemming, MP, who works very hard for family justice), including Mr. Cameron, assumes any accountability for the affairs of the United Kingdom. This is fully documented. Complaints about any given department are systematically passed down the chain of pretended command back to the offender, or to a clerk for a standard dismissive letter of response. There is no supervision. No accountability. I have the documents to show.

Other governments with higher ratings on Transparency International's World Corruption Index will find this interesting. After all, who is steering the ship? We are wondering how long it will take Britain to reach 178th place on the World Corruption Index?

Various organizations will receive a copy of this e-mail, including intelligence agencies around the world, putting the UK and, in particular Wales and Lancashire, in the spotlight. The UK has to realize that turning a blind eye to international organized crime is aiding and abetting, and inciting terrorism, and they have an obligation to the rest of the civilized world to discontinue this practice. The UK is not living up to its responsibilities.

It seems to me that there are various "token busts" involving organized crime, but that they are only token, and much of the organized crime is continuing right under the nose of the Serious Organized Crime Office. I push this information even further

under their noses for their consideration.

Are the authorities in South Wales too busy chasing Mr. Kirk, who has not committed a crime, to be combating actual crime, such as fraud, I wonder, or is it that they have too many criminals in positions of power to feel that they need to? (Just posing a question that a lot of people are wondering about, you understand. I am sure that someone out there will recognize themselves if this is the case.)

I wonder if Mr. Herbert might have any perspective on this issue please?

As Mr. Herbert now knows, there is a particularly high profile case in Lancashire which also warrants a full Public Inquiry.

On request, well over a thousand fully documented cases of crimes against humanity proliferated by the British Government's failure to act, and its inhumane treatment of victims of crime, including mental, physical, and emotional torture, can be presented. These crimes are being publicly exposed, one by one, and it will not stop until police and government live up to their obligations to protect the people and cease these crimes against humanity.

I suggest that it would be wise for Mr. Herbert to take action and to address the matter of South Wales with Mr. Carwyn Jones, et al of the Welsh Assembly. I do believe that perhaps Mr. Herbert has been unaware of these matters until this week – perhaps because I have noticed that government PAs in the Home Office and other places overstep their authority and respond to correspondence in a dismissive manner, without ministers or members of parliament ever being aware of the seriousness of the correspondence.

Hopefully, none of the PAs scrutinizing this email will be so foolish as to block the correspondence from the intended recipient.

To continue, I do not believe there is any smoke without fire, and Wales certainly seems to have its share of reported corruption in the press. Using the Pareto Rule, it might perhaps follow that most of the corruption is going unreported, especially as the UK is well known to gag both press and its Members of Parliament, and it violates Europe's right to free speech.

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HTLudnppo\\_o](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HTLudnppo_o)

[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/wales/south\\_east/8551483.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/wales/south_east/8551483.stm)

The credibility of the Wales Audit Office will not suffer long-term as a result of the jailing of its ex-auditor general, says a senior assembly member. Jeremy Colman is beginning an eight month jail term for possessing more than 170 indecent images of children. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-wales-11800675>

Ex-auditor Jeremy Colman's £750k pay-off 'secret'

A disgraced former auditor general deliberately kept secret a £750,000 pay-off to a senior employee, says the Wales Audit Office (WAO).

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-wales-11943993>

As all parties reading this prepare for the Christmas and Holiday festivities, I do hope

they will spare a thought for victims of crime in Wales, such as farmer Martin Yarmouth who was defrauded of the land and home he had inherited through many generations of his family, and forced to live in a horse transportation box for the past seven years. Think of him when it snows. Be fully aware that, not only would the authorities not help him when he was defrauded of millions of pounds worth of farm land and his ancestral home, but they also asked him to move his horse box. Now isn't that something, folks?

We are watching you, Wales. Once you were known around the world for first class for lamb and wool. Now you are known for first class something else. Don't think you have a well-kept secret.

Politicians, as you sit around the fireside with your families over the holiday period, think of Dafydd Morgan, defrauded of home and business and persecuted for eighteen years – now registered as homeless with nowhere to wash. Nobody will help him, and the local authorities will not pay him what he is owed. In fact, they refuse to speak to him. The stories abound from Wales and the UK. The truth is well out.

On Christmas Day, spare a thought for seventy-nine year old Margaret Gomm, of Newbridge-On-Wye, defrauded of her home, land, all possessions, and beloved animals, as you pull your Christmas crackers and tuck into your turkey and mince pies. She has been fighting for justice for over twenty years and is poverty stricken. No doubt the plan is to wait so long that she will literally die waiting for justice. Is that the case?

Readers of this communication, please be aware that the UK has cut off legal aid and victims of crime can get no help whatsoever. No advocacy. The Ombudsman's Office is a sham. Be aware that anyone in the UK with a justifiable protest against government decisions, such as students whose fees have been almost tripled, are labeled as terrorists for standing up for their rights.

If Britain is not a fascist police state, then I don't know what is. That's how they are perceived now. You can't even take a photograph without police accosting you.

See the evidence from Lancashire

<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread585710/pg1>

Public protest by

photographers: [http://theonlinephotographer.typepad.com/the\\_online\\_photographer/2010/01/uk-photographers-protest-police-harassment.html](http://theonlinephotographer.typepad.com/the_online_photographer/2010/01/uk-photographers-protest-police-harassment.html)

Would you book a holiday to England? Are tourists any safer than they would be in, say, Somalia? In England, police spend a lot of time arresting innocent people and detaining them without charge. For goodness sake, have they nothing better to do?

Yes, Mr. Cameron, your government has gone too far.

.....and Wales should change its slogan from Land of Song to Land of Wrong.

Everyone: ask yourself who gags the press in the UK, and where the Rupert Murdoch's near monopoly of the press fits into the picture. Ask why so little is reported about organized crime. Ask why the UK government wants to privatize the Land Registry Office. Is it because it cannot meet its obligations, considering the high levels of payouts due because of potential prolific mortgage/property fraud actions? Perhaps journalists might find it interesting to find out.

Ask who indemnifies the British government and who indemnifies the legal profession. Go ahead, ask some questions. Bright journalists should be able to start piecing together enough stories for years to come.

Britain should hang its head in shame. For a country that once led the world in its bureaucratic system, and was revered for its justice system, it surely has bogged

itself down in the mire. With its policy of over-immigration, in order to dilute the population and secure votes for the labour party, it certainly has succeeded in turning the country into a country full of third world corruption and attitudes. Enoch Powell did warn, after all.

Corrupt Gwent CPS lawyer jailed over £20,000 bribe <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-wales-south+east+wales-10636116>

Enoch Powell - Rivers of Blood Part 1

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HP7fETsKYkA>

Enoch Powell interview: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-fw0rUJbE9k>

7th December '10

We all know what a waste of time are 'Complaints Procedures', which is why I sent the message to the Inspectorate, as below.

Yes, I know it is foolish to think any of these apparatchik civil servants might have a conscience & a soul, but, foolishly, I keep trying to find one.

Abbott says (lower down) "we have completed our final inspection programme". Are they now going to sit on their backsides for 24 days.

Wishful thinking I know, but it could stir things a bit if my fellow Freedom Fighters were to send supporting messages to the email addies below (one already has done).

Private Prosecutions are very simple - OR SHOULD BE! I honestly believe that flooding the Magistrates' Courts with legitimate Private Prosecution against those who abuse their power could go a long way to restoring the Rule of Law to Britain. The Enemy know that, which is why they pull out all the stops to block them, as they are doing with mine.

Norman Scarth.

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: [david.abbott@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:david.abbott@hmica.gsi.gov.uk)

CC: [andy.allen@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:andy.allen@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); [karen.cracknell@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:karen.cracknell@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); [james.cross@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:james.cross@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); [liz.humphreys@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:liz.humphreys@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); [penny.richards@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:penny.richards@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); [jonathan.djanogly@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:jonathan.djanogly@hmica.gsi.gov.uk)  
Subject: It WAS not, & IS not a 'COMPLAINT'.

Date: Tue, 7 Dec 2010 11:58:34 +0000

To all in Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Court Administration:

If I call the Fire Brigade & tell them a house is on fire, people trapped inside, can I expect them to say "We do not deal with complaints"? That is effectively what I am doing now. I am NOT making a 'complaint'. I am telling you of terrible things happening in Bradford & Leeds Magistrates' Courts, it being YOUR duty to do something about it. 24 days left before you are disbanded? The fact that the Inspectorate has done nothing worthwhile in the past (that being the reason why you are to be abolished) is no excuse for doing nothing now. TWENTY FOUR DAYS? You are in Leeds: you & your staff could deal with this in ONE day, & could indeed go out in a blaze of glory! Ignore it & you are spitting on the graves of all those who gave their lives in World War II, believing they were fighting for a fair & just society.

Norman Scarth.

From: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

To: [david.abbott@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:david.abbott@hmica.gsi.gov.uk)

CC: andy.allen@hmica.gsi.gov.uk; karen.cracknell@hmica.gsi.gov.uk;  
james.cross@hmica.gsi.gov.uk; liz.humphreys@hmica.gsi.gov.uk;  
penny.richards@hmica.gsi.gov.uk; jonathan.djanogly@hmica.gsi.gov.uk;  
sam.goozee@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Freedom of Information Request. (re 'Blaze of Glory').

Date: Mon, 6 Dec 2010 22:15:34 +0000

Dear Mr. Abbott.

I am grateful that you at least respond to my communications. That itself is rare from those with power in Britain. You say, "There are formal mechanisms ... including taking legal action if you feel that it is appropriate. This suggests that you have read very little of what I have sent. 'Taking legal action' is EXACTLY what I am trying to do, but meeting seriously criminal obstruction from those you purport to oversee. PLEASE do not say, "This is nothing to do with us". You 'do not investigate complaints'? Well then, what exactly DO you do = apart from closing the Inspectorate. down? Or perhaps I should say what DID you do, when you were supposed to be functioning? I ask this as a Freedom of Information Request. Saying, as you do, "HMICA has to operate in accordance with its statutory remit" is what I would expect from a programmed puppet. I hoped you were a human being, with a brain, a mind & a conscience. Perhaps this is a time to act 'above & beyond the call of duty'? Or is David Cameron right in thinking that your Dept. has no worthwhile function, & never did have? (please consider that as part of the Fol Request)

Norman Scarth.

PS: I understand that Sam Goozee, who features in this, left Bournemouth Magistrates' Court under something of a cloud. Perhaps you could inform me what this was? NS.

Subject: RE: Why not go out in a blaze of glory?

Date: Mon, 6 Dec 2010 17:13:01 +0000

From: David.Abbott@HMICA.GSI.GOV.UK

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

CC: ist@hmica.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Mr Scarth

Thank you for your suggestion.

There are formal mechanisms to deal with all the matters you have raised, including taking legal action if you feel that it is appropriate. HMICA has to operate in accordance with its statutory remit and it is not part of that remit to investigate complaints. As you will appreciate I am closing the organisation down and HMICA does not have the capacity to undertake any further inspections.

I can only re-state that HMICA is not in a position to assist you.

Yours sincerely

David Abbott

David Abbott | acting HM Chief Inspector | HM Inspectorate of Court Administration |

2nd Floor, Carlton Tower, 34 St Pauls Street Leeds LS1 2QB | ( office 0113 394 3907  
| website [www.hmica.gov.uk](http://www.hmica.gov.uk)

From: norman scarth [mailto:[enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)]

Sent: 06 December 2010 16:58

To: Abbott, David (HMICA)

Cc: [andy.allen@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:andy.allen@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); [karen.cracknell@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:karen.cracknell@hmica.gsi.gov.uk);  
[jonatan.djanogly@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:jonatan.djanogly@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); [james.cross@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:james.cross@hmica.gsi.gov.uk);  
[liz.humphreys@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:liz.humphreys@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); [penny.richards@hmica.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:penny.richards@hmica.gsi.gov.uk); HMICA  
Inspection Support Team

Subject: Why not go out in a blaze of glory?

Dear Mr. Abbott,

In closing you down, David Cameron is saying you are useless, & a waste of money. Why not prove him wrong? You know as well as I do that corruption is rampant in the courts. You say, "HMICA has never had a remit to investigate ..." That is like someone seeing an old man or woman or a child being kicked to death in the gutter, & saying "I do not have a remit to deal with that". You DO 'have a remit' as human beings to step in & do SOMETHING! Though I am not being actually kicked to death in the gutter, the assaults on me were much like that which caused the death of Ian Tomlinson: the deliberate failure of the police, & the deliberate obstruction by court staff mean that anyone has licence to do more. I have sent you enough already about Bradford & Leeds Magistrates' Courts to get your teeth into, but could provide much more, including serious criminality in Leeds far worse than was disclosed in the 2008 Report. So, in your last few weeks, let you & your staff get stuck & 'Do Your Bit' (a phrase from WW2) to restore The Rule of Law to Britain - because we certainly do not have it now!

Your point me to the 'Complaints Procedures'. I have serious complaints ABOUT the 'Complaints Procedures'. ALL such procedures are designed AND USED, not to resolve the complaint, but to send the victim running round in circles until s/he collapses from exhaustion, or gives up in disgust. You know that as well as I do. If you truly can do nothing about Leeds & Bradford Magistrates' Courts, Goozee, Raistrick, Shahid & Co, (to say nothing of Crown & County), then let your final closing Report tell how you were hog-tied & prevented from doing the job properly, prevented from injecting some integrity into the British courts. You might even make me proud to be British again, as I was for so long. It will take conscience & courage. Do you possess enough of those qualities?

Norman Scarth.

Subject: RE: Official Complaint..

Date: Mon, 6 Dec 2010 15:57:25 +0000

From: David.Abbott@HMICA.GSI.GOV.UK

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

Dear Mr Scarth

HMICA is closing in 25 days and we have completed our final inspection programme. In addition HMICA has never had a remit to investigate or adjudicate on complaints.

Therefore if you have a complaint I would direct you to the complaints section of the HMICA website which states:

## Complaints About HMCS/HMCTS

Complaints about the work of the courts

HMICA was set up to inspect the system supporting the Crown, county and magistrates' courts. Inspection is not the same as the investigating of complaints. HMICA did not look into complaints about individual cases or judicial decisions made by the courts.

If you have a complaint about the way HMCS dealt with you or your case you should in the first instance write to the Manager of the relevant court. The Manager will look in to the complaint and provide you with a reply. If you are unsatisfied with the response, you may write to the Area Director. You may also contact the HMCS Complaint Handling and Enquiries Team at:

Zone C, 1st Floor

1st Floor

102 Petty France

London

SW1H 9AJ

Telephone: 0845 4568770

Fax: 020 3334 4087

Disability Helpline/Textphone: Freephone 0800 358 3506

e-mail: [customerserviceshq@hmcourts-service.gsi.go.uk](mailto:customerserviceshq@hmcourts-service.gsi.go.uk)

Leaflet EX343 provides guidance which explains what to do if you feel unhappy with the service you have received from the administrative staff or with any procedural aspect of your case. Each complaint we receive gives us the opportunity to put things right.

If you have a complaint about the personal conduct of a Judge please contact:

The Office for Judicial Complaints

10th Floor Tower, 10.52

102 Petty France, London, SW1H 9AJ

Tel: 020 3334 2555

Fax: 020 3334 2541

Minicom: 020 3334 2668

I am sorry that I am unable to assist you further.

Yours sincerely

David Abbott

David Abbott | acting HM Chief Inspector | HM Inspectorate of Court Administration |  
2nd Floor, Carlton Tower, 34 St Pauls Street Leeds LS1 2QB | ( office 0113 394 3907  
| website [www.hmica.gov.uk](http://www.hmica.gov.uk)

From: norman scarth [<mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com>]

Sent: 06 December 2010 14:38

To: Abbott, David (HMICA)

Subject: FW: Official Complaint..

Within the document below there are grounds for Official Complaint to the Ministry of Justice, Home Office, Independent Police Complaints Commission, HM Chief

Inspector of Court Administration & HM Chief Inspector of Constabulary, & I ask you to treat this as such. However, there is much more, & it may be that an Official Inquiry is warranted, the matters being at least as important as those which brought such a damning Report about Leeds Magistrates' Court in 2008.  
Norman Scarth.

From: enscarth@hotmail.com

To: tracey.bagley@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk; wy-leedsmags@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

Subject: Violent crime against old people; almost unknown until 1962 – now an everyday occurrence!

Date: Mon, 6 Dec 2010 08:18:17 +0000

Re. Laying Information: This message is in response to the snailmail letter dated 30th November 2010 from Tracey Bagley, Legal Manager at Leeds Magistrates' Court.

Immediately below are the bare details of the Information I Lay before Leeds Magistrates Court in order that Summonses may be issued against those who committed serious assault upon me. I am forced to do so by way of Private Prosecutions because of seriously criminal dereliction of duty by West Yorkshire Police – their failure to act motivated by malice (see lower down).

To: The Justices of the Peace at Leeds Magistrates' Court.  
Westgate, LEEDS LS1 3JP.

I, Norman Scarth, HEREBY LAY INFORMATION BEFORE THE JUSTICES AS FOLLOWS:

(1): On 24th June 2010, in Bradford City Hall, Alan(?) Smith (see below for address) assaulted Norman Scarth thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm, an offence at Common Law (&/or under the Offences against the Person Act 1861, sec.47.) The victim, an old man of 84, suffered badly bruised ribs, needing the call out of an emergency doctor, strong pain killing drugs for several days, & X-rays to find if there was any fracture (there was not). There is video evidence of the assault, & several witnesses.

The home address of Alan Smith is not known but his correspondence address is C/o. P.C. Mitchell, 62 Foston Lane, BRADFORD BD2 3QE. Mr. Mitchell knows of the assault & knows the home address of Smith but refuses to give it. For the convenience of all (including the Defendant) the Court may like to make an Order that Mr. Mitchell give the home address of Alan Smith.

-----

(2) On 20th July 2010, at an address in Feversham Street, Bradford, James Brewer, Andrew Hargreaves, Malcolm Gibson (& a woman whose name is unknown), did jointly commit Common Assault on Norman Scarth, an old man of 84, putting him in great fear & in danger, an offence at Common Law (&/or under the Criminal Justice Act 1988, sec. 39).

Brewer, Hargreaves & Gibson have refused to give their home addresses, but have said they can be contacted C/o. Judith Clarke, 11, Meg Lane, Longwood, HUDDERSFIELD HD3 4QP (Telephone 01484 656514). Mrs Clarke refuses to give the home addresses.

Again for the convenience of all concerned, the Court may like to make an Order that

she do so.

Both assaults were such that they could have been fatal, particularly on a man of 84. The facts above are true to the best of my knowledge, belief & recollection.

Norman Scarth, 36 Anvil Court,

BRADFORD BD8 7QW. Tel: 01274 541 213

- - - - -End of Information Laying document. - - - - -

I trust the above is sufficient for the Justices to cause the Summonses to be issued & the Orders requested made. If not, they might like to consider that which is below.

A MOST TERRIBLE BLOT ON THE FACE OF MODERN BRITAIN IS THE EVER-INCREASING NUMBER OF MURDEROUS ATTACKS ON THE OLD & VULNERABLE.

VIRTUALLY UNHEARD OF UNTIL 1962 THEY ARE NOW AN EVERY DAY OCCURRENCE.

That was my main Manifesto point when I stood at the 1997 General Election as an Independent Old Age Pensioner. Apart from the crimes themselves, I was concerned at the LACK of concern by regular politicians – or the people! There is also a total lack of concern from the police or the courts – especially when the crime is against me - Norman Scarth.

In my letter to of 20th September 2010 to West Yorkshire Chief Constable Sir Norman Bettison I mentioned briefly the assaults as above, but I also wrote, “VIOLENT CRIME AGAINST THE OLD & VULNERABLE was almost unheard of until the 1960s, but is now an everyday occurrence (& often fatal).

To their shame, the police utter the usual platitudinous condemnation, but otherwise ignore it. This letter is sent to you the Chief Constable, in the hope

(a) That you might impress upon your force that crime against the old is at least as important as other crimes which appear to bring much stronger action from those under you.

(b) That you will urge there should be prosecution in this case, to deter those minded to commit similar attacks in future (it is not the first time I have suffered such an assault).

It is a terrible indictment of modern Britain that such crimes occur at all. One reason why they have become so common is that the police not only fail to take action, but show little interest.

The assault happened in your area. We hope you will contact the writer via the email address above, to arrange an appointment when names of witnesses can be given, & video evidence produced”.

- - - - -

Inspector David Apsee, Staff Officer to the Deputy Chief Constable responded with commendable speed, saying, inter alia, “I have forwarded a copy of your letter to Chief Superintendent Rose, Divisional Commander for the Bradford South Division. A member from this division will be in touch at the earliest opportunity.” The response from the Staff Officer was speedy, but two & a half months have now gone by without a single word from Ch. Supt. Rose or anyone else at Bradford South Police.

This confirms what I said in the first paragraph; “there is a total lack of concern from the police (about crimes against the old & vulnerable) - especially when the crime is against Norman Scarth!

Even MORE confirmation: On Friday 29th October 2010 I attended at Bradford South Police Station & handed in written complaint of the crimes listed here (&

others). PC Neil Sharpe, the mature police constable who took the complaint was very concerned at what he read & heard from me during the hour long interview. He said he would pass the complaint higher up. The response from Higher Up? Again– NOT A SINGLE WORD! ('HIGHER UP' MUST HAVE PUT IT IN THE SHREDDER!) See the Appeal Court decision in Regina v Dytham, where it was ruled that failure to act by the police could be as culpable as the commission of an offence.

It is obvious the police are dragging their feet in the certain knowledge that at my age a heart attack, a stroke, enfeeblement or my demise cannot be too far away, & hope that if they delay long enough one or other will occur, & they can forget all about it.

As far as West Yorkshire Police are concerned, anyone in Britain can commit any crime against me (up to & including murder) & the police will not do a thing to stop it, nor take action against the criminals afterwards. I hope this court will be concerned, & send a message that crime against the old & vulnerable is at least as important as crime against others.

The facts above are true to the best of my knowledge, belief & recollection.

#### ENDNOTES:

Many people, including magistrates, think their function is to judge & punish comparatively minor offenders. I respectfully submit that they have a more important role, much the same as juries, of whom Lord Devlin said, "they are the lamp by which we see that Freedom lives"; i.e. that they are also there to protect the people from The State (which may be an oppressive one, as now!) It is disturbing that in what are supposed to be MAGISTRATES' Courts, one so often comes before a lawyer, a State employee, or that the JPs are puppets, their strings pulled by State Employed lawyers..

I trust this can now go ahead, & show that there is a civilized way in which the ordinary person can seek a remedy against those who have abused him, & he does not have to resort to anarchy – as warned by the eminent Lord Denning in his book, 'What Next In The Law'! [i]

Also that the words of the equally eminent Chief Justice Cockburn still hold good: In 1860 he said, "The courts of England are open to all, in every sense of the words, & I trust they will always remain so".

[i] Writing about Abuse of Power, Denning said, "No matter who it is - who is guilty of the abuse or misuse (of power). Be it Government, national or local. Be it trade unions. Be it the press. Be it management. Be it labour. Whoever it be, no matter how powerful, the law should provide a speedy remedy for the abuse or misuse of power, else the oppressed will get to the point where they will stand it no longer. They will find their own remedy. There will be anarchy!"

In October 2009 Lord Chief Justice Lord Judge said much the same as Lord Denning; "Society should provide us with a place in which to resolve our disputes. The alternative is mayhem. The alternative is 'If nobody else will help me, I will have to find somebody who will throw a brick through windows' OR WORSE."

The predictions of Lord Denning & LCJ Judge are starting to come true:

Vide the students' trashing of the Tory HQ last month.

Everyone knows of the damning Report by four of Her Majesty's Chief Inspectors on the terrible state of affairs in Leeds Magistrates' Court. I hope there has been an improvement, & that the endemic hostility of the legal professionals to the Litigant In Person is held in check.

Apart from their general lack of concern about crime against old folks, you may wonder why West Yorkshire Police should be particularly hostile to me? Having suffered at their hands in the past I have been critical of them in my election material

when standing for the Council. In consequence they will do absolutely nothing to protect me from crime, nor to investigate or prosecute serious assaults upon me – even though there is video evidence. Hence the need for me to start a Private Prosecution.

Apart from the failure to act by the police, there are other disturbing features around my attempt to Lay Information.

There was absolutely NO reason why the matter could not have gone ahead on 11th November 2010 when I lodged the document in Bradford Magistrates' Court. Instead, there has been serious obstruction & delay: 'Delay in Justice is Denial of Justice' has been said by many eminent authorities - especially so when it is used against a man of my advanced years. Instead, at 85 years of age, I am forced to make a completely unnecessary journey to Leeds, in what may still be bad weather.

The letter dated 30th November 2010 from Legal Manager Tracey Bagley tells me a hearing has been arranged to take place at Leeds Magistrates Court on 15th December at 10am, to be heard by a District Judge (I have previously expressed my unease that matters should be heard by a District Judge in what purport to be MAGISTRATES' Courts).

Mrs Bagley then goes on to ask me to "provide the court in advance ... any evidence that supports the alleged offences (sic). This would be most beneficial to the District Judge, so that he can read the evidence in advance & then have opportunity to hear from you in person at the court hearing date". This a very simple, straightforward matter of assault: Stones' Justices' Manual, Practice Directions & other authorities tell us that in such a simple matter (a) there is no need for a 'Hearing'. A summons can be issued by the Court Clerk or one of his staff. (b) 'Laying Information' ('in everyday language' says Stones') is just that: all that is needed to consider is that the conduct complained of is an offence known to the law, that it is within the jurisdiction of the court & that it is within any time limit. Other than that, there only needs to be sufficient information, date, time, place etc. that the recipient of the Summonses will realise to what it relates. 'Evidence' (& there is video evidence) is for the TRIAL - not at this stage. (Yes, I realise that as I am also asking that Orders might be made, in this case it may well be necessary for it to go before a Bench) I HEREBY CONFIRM THAT THE FACTS GIVEN ABOVE ARE TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, BELIEF & RECOLLECTION.

Norman Scarth  
2010

Monday 6th December

PS: I am concerned that Mr Sam Goozee has 'requested' that I no longer communicate with him by email, but by post, & that (because I quoted Lord Denning & LCJ Lord Judge) the Ministry of Justice will NOT accept emails from me. Why on earth should that august establishment feel it necessary to put up the barricades against one little old WW2 Veteran? IN HIS 'ACCESS TO JUSTICE' LORD WOOLF SAID THAT THE COURTS SHOULD USE MODERN METHODS OF COMMUNICATIONS WHERE POSSIBLE. WITH THE WINTER WEATHER AS IT IS, IT IS SADISTIC THAT Mr. GOOZEE AND THE MINISTRY DEMAND THAT AN OLD MAN OF 85 SHOULD RISK A FALL TRUDGING TO THE FAR DISTANT POST OFFICE FOR STAMPS, THEN TO POST LETTERS, RATHER THAN THE CHEAPER, FASTER, MORE EFFICIENT & MORE CERTAIN EMAIL IT SO HAPPENS THAT MY EMAILS TO THE MoJ WERE BLOCKED ABOUT A YEAR AGO. THE RESPONSE TO MY COMPLAINT WAS AN APOLOGY FROM

THE MoJ SAYING THIS HAD BEEN AN 'ERROR' & THAT THE BLOCK HAD BEEN REMOVED.

ABOUT THE SAME TIME I HAD SENT A MESSAGE SAYING THAT I HAD EVIDENCE OF SERIOUS CORRUPTION WITHIN THE ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE. THE REPOSE FROM THE PRINCIPAL PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE THEN SECRETARY OF STATE FOR JUSTICE Mr JACK STRAW WAS, "Your communication has been passed to the appropriate Dept." THERE HAS NOT BEEN A SINGLE WORD FROM THE 'APPROPRIATE DEPT'!

N.Scarth

3rd December '10

Hello Sharon & Martin,

No, I fear you will not find a copy of CAUSE for CONCERN anywhere. No publisher would have dared touch it, so I was forced to devise a way of producing, printing & binding it myself, thirty copies at a time. Though I sez it as shouldn't, I didn't do a bad job for an old farmer, & sold about 150 at between £5 & £10. Unfortunately I didn't have one to spare to send to the British Library to get an ISBN. My incarceration then prevented me producing more. After release, I could have produced more. However, though 'C for C' was a minor horror story (to those as gullible as I had been until the age of 70), what happened after was a million times worse, & I have concentrated on writing about that, feeling that C for C was out of date. People occasionally ask me for copies, & reading it again, I feel it is not so out of date, that I should perhaps produce another thirty, including one for the British Library, to get an ISBN. Trouble is, there are only 25 hours in a day, only 8 days in a week - & I am already working more than that! IF I can ever find the time, I will produce more. In the meantime Martin, I will include you in my mailing list. Regards to you both, & luv to Sharon. You have been a wonderful support to me. Norman.

PS: I now send two attachments, which Sharon has probably seen, but Martin may not. Please let me know if they both arrive. I may have to send them separately. N

Date: Fri, 3 Dec 2010 20:12:26 +0000

Subject: Fwd: Heroic Norman Scarth

From: sharonzaki@gmail.com

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Hi Norman,

Keep up the good work,

Love Sharon.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Sharon Zaki <sharonzaki@gmail.com>

Date: 3 December 2010 20:08

Subject: Re: Heroic Norman Scarth

To: Sexdwarf 12 <sexdwarf12@hotmail.com>

Hi Martin,

Will forward your email to Norman. His email is enscarth@hotmail.com

All the very best to you too,

Sharon.

On 1 December 2010 19:22, Sexdwarf 12 <sexdwarf12@hotmail.com> wrote:

Hello Sharon

Please forgive the very informal email addy. Am no pervert lol. It's just my old DJ name (yes I love the 80's)

Anyhow, back on topic, I am trying - with GREAT difficulty - to purchase a copy of Normans' book: Cause for Concern, I believe published in 1997.

Do you have any idea of the publisher/ supplier / ISBN with regards to get hold of this. Seems strange how it is NON existent on amazon, ebay or any other google search.

Any advice you can offer would be gratefully received.

Many thanks, peace, truth and light to you and yours.

Martin

NOVEMBER 2010

10th November '10

Thank you Robert Greenwald, (I have sent this Bcc to my own mailing list).

Good to learn from this video that there are American troops who are not brainwashed, bloodthirsty psychopaths. Our own Prince Harry in Britain was most anxious to go somewhere, anywhere, to kill someone, anyone, for his grandma. What a pity he didn't take after his mother, Princess Diana.

Norman Scarth, WW2 veteran.

Subject: Honor Those Who Stand Up Against Immoral War

From: info@bravenewfoundation.org

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2010 18:54:19 +0000

Dear Norman,

Tomorrow is Veterans Day, the 10th Veterans Day since the Afghanistan War began.

The burden of this brutal, futile war falls heaviest on a very small slice of the population: military members and their families. Many of them think that this war is immoral, and that makes fighting in it a weight they'll have to carry their whole lives. Our new video features the voices of some of these veterans, urging us to rethink the burden we're laying on troops.

Rethink Afghanistan is partnering with the Truth Commission on Conscience in War to help lift some of this burden. We're pushing to expand the rights of veterans who refuse to serve in specific wars on moral grounds. Right now you have to oppose all wars, ever, to be granted conscientious objector status. That's silly--you don't have to be a pacifist to think it's morally wrong to be fighting an endless war in Afghanistan. You can learn more about this push to honor troops' consciences at the Truth Commission on Conscience in War's website.

Share this video with your friends to help honor those who stood up to say, "I can't fight this war. My conscience won't allow it." Let's all remember what we're asking of our troops on this Veterans Day.

Sincerely,

Derrick Crowe, Robert Greenwald  
and the Brave New Foundation team

P.S. If you haven't done so already, please join Rethink Afghanistan on Facebook and Twitter.

Donate today to help bring an end to this atrocious war that's not making us any safer.

9th November '10

To Ian & Edward (who asked about the TPA), (& others who need to know)  
The thread below shows what the TPA are made of (& it's not very nice stuff!) 'Fantasies'??? Ask Mark Wallace & Bernard Stone if they bothered to check. As I have said, they are a branch of the Conservative Party, masquerading as some kind of Freedom Fighters. To think that my brave young shipmates gave their lives, & we end up with Quislings like the TPA waving their False Flag & extracting large sums of money from the gullible. Tragically, there are all too many like them.

from Norman Scarth -

a better man than the whole lot of them put together! (though I says it as shouldn't).

PS: You might like to let them know what you think of them. Hopefully, they might crawl back under the stone they crawled from under. (Don't seem to have Bernard Stone's email address [he must keep it secret] but addies for other TPA Quislings are within this thread). NS

From: Mark.Wallace@taxpayersalliance.com

To:

CC: enscarth@hotmail.com; Emma.Boon@taxpayersalliance.com;

Sara.Rainwater@taxpayersalliance.com; info@taxpayersalliance.com;

Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 13:25:03 +0100

Subject: Re: What IS the Taxpayers' Alliance interested in?

This paranoia is absurd - please stop emailing myself and my colleagues. It is sad that you allow Mr Scarth's and others' fantasies to divert you into attacking the TPA rather than genuine enemies.

On 31 May 2010, at 11:58, a correspondent wrote:

Norman,

It begins to sound as if the Tax Payers Alliance might have been infiltrated. Has anyone run a Common Purpose check on Emma Boon, Mr. Stone, etc.?

H

On Mon, May 31, 2010 at 11:06 AM, norman scarth <enscarth@hotmail.com> wrote:  
The February Bulletin of the TPA announced proudly 'A new branch of the TPA has started up in West Yorkshire!' Branch Chairman Bernard Stone lives within the Bradford Metropolitan District Council area, as I do. He lives about 5 miles from me. Wonderful, thought I, so I sent him the folloing story:

I am an 84 year old World War 2 veteran. The last government generously decided to give we old folks a Winter Fuel Payment of £400, & Cold Weather Payments for each week the temperature was below a certain figure, to ensure we didn't die of hypothermia. On the 31st December 2009 (the middle of the coldest winter for several years) Bradford MDC dived into my bank account & seized every last penny of my meagre life savings (£6,500) -INCLUDING THE WINTER FUEL PAYMENT & COLD WEATHER PAYMENTS. That of course is not the sort of matter which comes within the remit of the TPA. However, I told them that Bradford MDC had handed over at least £100,000 of taxpayers' money to crooked lawyers to grab this

£6,500. One would think that WOULD have been of interest to the TPA, & particularly to this new local branch. Sadly, no: Mr. Stone gave me the brush off, & said I should contact the London Office. I did, but they are not interested either. So, I repeat the question in the heading: "What IS the Taxpayers' Alliance interested in? Norman Scarth.

Date: Sun, 30 May 2010 18:54:45 -0700

From:

Subject: Reply: Please remove me from your address book, I do not wish to receive these e...

To:

Hi Emma

I agree with dave here

John

John Flanagan,

Deputy Registered Officer,

Non-Custodial Parents Party (Equal Parenting).

<http://ncpp.xisle.info>

--- On Wed, 26/5/10, A1DAVEDI@aol.com <A1DAVEDI@aol.com> wrote:

From: A1DAVEDI@aol.com <A1DAVEDI@aol.com>

Subject: Re: Please remove me from your address book, I do not wish to receive these e...

To: Emma.Boon@taxpayersalliance.com

Cc: Various.

Received: Wednesday, 26 May, 2010, 5:00 AM

From David Currie 12a Keymer Avenue, Peacehaven, East Sussex, BN108NG, UK. Tel: 01273 579480

A1DAVEDI@aol.com

Emma Boon states that Taxpayers money spent on dealing with these issues is not of concern for all the members of The Taxpayers Alliance and regards the email as spam.

Now, what do you think?

Send Comments to;

Emma.Boon@taxpayersalliance.com, info@taxpayersalliance.com,

mark.wallace@taxpayersalliance.com, Sara.Rainwater@taxpayersalliance.com

David.

In a message dated 5/25/2010 7:21:11 A.M. Pacific Daylight Time,

Emma.Boon@taxpayersalliance.com writes:

Please remove me from your address book, this is not a matter for the TPA and I do not wish to receive these e-mails.

I will regard future e-mails as spam

Regards,

Emma

Emma Boon

Campaign Manager | The TaxPayers' Alliance

55 Tufton Street | London SW1P 3QL

Tel. 0845 330 9554 | Media 07795 084 113 (24 hrs)

[www.taxpayersalliance.com](http://www.taxpayersalliance.com)

[www.twitter.com/emmaboone](http://www.twitter.com/emmaboone)

From: A1DAVEDI@aol.com [mailto:A1DAVEDI@aol.com]

Sent: 24 May 2010 20:55

To: DavidCurrie

Subject: Robert Green - Repeated Request for the Copy of the Warrant to Raid His Home in

From David Currie 12a Keymer Avenue, Peacehaven, East Sussex, BN108NG,

UK. Tel: 01273 579480

A1DAVEDI@aol.com

In a message dated 5/23/2010 10:29:42 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time,

penelope.pullen@sky.com writes:

Message from Robert Green for you good people.

Keep up the good work and spread the word to as many people as you can.

Pen x

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2010 12:07 PM

Subject: Robert Green - Repeated Request for the Copy of the Warrant to Raid His Home in February 2010

Just received this from Robert - So passing it on.

He's still trying to source the warrant that was issued in Feb, to remove documents, computer and belongings from his home - So far - nothing's been produced.

He's since written a letter to pursue this matter - making it clear that if there was no warrant issued, then the removal of these belongings (including confidential, legal documents, exclusive to Ann and Hollie Greig.) was infact unlawful and therefore there was a burglary committed.

If no reasonable document/warrant is produced - Robert is insisting that charges are brought against the officers from Grampian police, who removed these items from his home - stating that nobody is above the law/prosecution.

I'll send copies of the letter he's written and documents of correspondence out to everybody when I receive them -

Also, did you know that Maureen Spalek was arrested again on Thursday night and then released on Friday?

As you may know, her bail conditions forbid her from entering Widnes, where the adoptive parents live.

However, as she lives in Hunts Cross and her former partner in Warrington, it can be a bit unrealistic to avoid Widnes whilst travelling. She was with her former partner in

MacDonalds in Warrington and then drove home alone on a road that just touches the outskirts of Widnes. It was there that she was pulled over by the police and arrested. The time when she could possibly have been within Widnes` boundaries was a few minutes.

How did the police know she would be there?

It transpires that the natural adult daughter of the adopters, who Maureen does not know, was in MacDonalds at the same time , followed her, contacting the police. This would appear to be stalking and it could not possibly be said that Maureen was making any attempt to contact her children or the adoptive family. When Maureen collected the car, she found that her property, consisting of 2000 leaflets, had been confiscated.

Robert

8th November '10

The reason the BNP comes into this is that I sent calls for help to ALL the political parties, including the BNP. It was no surprise to be contemptuously ignored by the Lib/Lab/Cons, but one would have thought the BNP (supposedly so 'Patriotic', so supportive of old folks & WW 2 veterans) would have picked it up as a Cause Celebre. First they ignored me, just like the other parties, then when I sent a reminder, gave me the brush off. The recipient of the message below, a BNP member, met Andrew Brons (my MEP) recently & mentioned me, saying he would resign if Brons didn't do something. Brons wanted to know more. Though the recipient would probably not object to his name being published, I have deleted it because I haven't asked him yet. Norman.

-----  
Hello (name deleted).

As said, I felt we should give thought to what to say to Andrew Brons.

First I tell of last evening (Sat. 6/11/2010). There was a 'Festival of Remembrance Concert' in St. George's Hall Bradford at 6pm. I went at 5.30p.m. picked a spot near to the entrance, sat on my shopping trolley seat & called through my loud hailer, in traditional 'newsboy' style, "READ ALL ABOUT IT! READ ALL ABOUT IT! World War 2 Veteran assaulted, injured & robbed!", offering my 2 page (A4) 'newspaper'. The response was quite terrible - NOT for what happened, but for what DIDN'T happen!

Of the poppy wearing people going in, NOT A SINGLE ONE EVEN LOOKED IN MY DIRECTION! It was much the same from passers by, with two notable exceptions: One man, not going to the concert, said he was an ex-serviceman, & gave me 50 pence for the two pages. Then half a dozen young people about sixteen years old stopped & expressed great concern. They were anxious to take my leaflets, which I gave to them free, saying their concern was payment enough. There is so much criticism of 'the younger generation', but those young people showed a social conscience infinitely greater than their elders. I told the man & the teenagers to read the second page when they got home. I had no intention of going to the concert, but thinking I might have been a little late in getting to my 'pitch', was interested in knowing how many were in the Hall & went into the foyer, asking if there were any seats left. The pleasant young House Manager, said, "No, we are full

up, all 500 seats are taken". A man then came to me & said, "I bought two tickets, but my wife isn't able to come, so you can have this one, free". The House Manager immediately intervened & said, "That is not allowed". The man & I expressed mild outrage, but I said I didn't want to go in anyway, so it didn't matter. I then changed my mind & took the offered ticket. The House Manager disappeared, & came back with the message, "The organisers would prefer you did not attend". It must be said he was very pleasant throughout, & said he was only doing as he was told. I was wearing the White Beret of the Russian Convoy men & WW2 medals & said, "Do THESE not entitle me to entry?" to which he repeated that he was only passing on the message from 'The Organisers'. As said, the young man was very pleasant, but what lay behind the mildly expressed message from 'The Organisers' was really quite sinister. Though I have said 'Not a single one of the poppy wearing people even looked in my direction', it was obvious that some had spoken about me to the Quisling organisers of the concert. Tragically, this story suggests that most of the people in that audience were Quislings.

Now to come to Andrew Brons. You indicated that he wanted to know more. I could bury him in an avalanche of 'more', but he surely has enough already. Back along Chris Beverley (agent for Brons) asked what I wanted Brons to do. I have delayed responding because I thought it was he or Brons who should say what they INTENDED to do. Anyway, I suggest now that you &/or I should present the attached document to Brons, & ask what he IS going to do about it, both in Britain & the European Parliament. (It does concern the latter, because of the many violations of the Articles of the ECHR inflicted upon me). Also what he is going to do about Paul & Linda Cromie, the two BNP members on Bradford Council. They have not only blocked my emails (as have all 90 Bradford Councillors) but it seems they have labelled me as a 'trouble-maker' - BECAUSE I DARED TO COMPLAIN ABOUT BEING ASSAULTED, INJURED & ROBBED!

He might also ask if Bradford BNP members answered his call that they should visit all the old folks during the cold of last winter, to see that they were surviving, & if they did, why I was missed?

Norman.

PS: I know that the European Parliament is not part of the European Convention or Court of Human Rights, but they are supposed to ensure that the Articles are complied with. N.

-----

ENDNOTE: On learning of the conduct of the poppy wearing Quislings going into St. George's Hall, one person likened it to a battered & bleeding child lying in the gutter, & people not stopping to look - because they were hurrying to a 'Children in Need' Concert. Though an extreme example, the analogy is apt - TOTAL, CALLOUS HYPOCRISY IN EACH CASE! NS.

WW2VetAssaulted-101106.doc

28K View Download

2nd November '10

Thanks for sending this most interesting piece. I am all in favour of servicemen offering the hand of friendship to those who were once enemies, but it seems this was a propaganda exercise.

However, to come to the present:

'Unity, Loyalty, Patriotism & Comradeship' is the motto of the Royal Naval

Association.

I have been a member of the Royal British Legion, & still am of the Russian Convoy Club & Royal Naval Association. With sadness, shame & sorrow I am forced to tell you that for all their flag waving 'Patriotism', those organisations (I know nothing about the RAF) are run by Quislings! I have suffered treachery from all three, suffered serious assault at the 'Lord Mayor's Luncheon for Veterans' (after enjoying the excellent meal), & further assault from my 'Shipmates' (?) of the RNA. So far I have not publicised the story, but will be doing, to the shame of those organisations. It shows the breadth & depth of the rot within the nation, the nation of which I was so proud for so long. There is video evidence of the two disgraceful assaults.

Norman Scarth.

Date: Mon, 1 Nov 2010 19:29:56 -0700

From: fogartyc@att.net

Subject: Fw: Daily Mail: British Legion & Hitler

To: maryosullivanpaa@gmail.com

Interesting Photos! Taken at an interesting time!

Chris and Mary

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Pat Muldowney <pat.muldowney@googlemail.com>

To: lest.weforget@live.ie

Sent: Mon, November 1, 2010 4:37:45 AM

Subject: Daily Mail: British Legion & Hitler

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1325204/Royal-British-Legions-shameful-Hitler-visit-revealed-75-years.html?ITO=1490>

Daily Mail November 1 2010

Revealed after 75 years: The British Legion's shameful visit to Hitler

By Liam Maguire

Last updated at 12:54 PM on 31st October 2010

Comments (-)

Add to My Stories

I came upon it by accident. I was searching through shelves looking for something else when it caught my eye. But there it was, a huge, thick, hard-backed, red book – with a metal swastika on the front.

At the time I was accompanied by a photographer from the Discovery Channel and we were carrying out research for a documentary.

As the Press officer for the Royal British Legion, my job is to protect the reputation of the organisation. But at that moment I was torn. Should I ignore the book with the Nazi emblem that looked so out of place in the Royal British Legion headquarters? Or should I open it?

False Friends: The British Legion chairman, Major Francis Fetherston-Godley, meets Hitler

Curiosity got the better of me. The book fell open on a page that showed me an image that would shock many. There was the leader of Nazi Germany, Adolf Hitler, meeting the man who was chairman of the British Legion in the run-up to the Second World War, Major Francis Fetherston-Godley.

What were the British Legion – British ex-servicemen from the First World War – doing meeting Hitler?

Later, I looked through the book alone. I could barely believe my eyes. Here was a 148-photograph document of a trip that a six-man delegation from the British Legion made to Nazi Germany in July 1935, during which they met not only Hitler but also the head of the Luftwaffe, Hermann Goering – and Major Fetherston-Godley is pictured shaking hands with the Fuhrer's deputy, Rudolf Hess.

Then there are photographs of German ex-servicemen in wheelchairs giving Nazi salutes as our delegation parade past with the Union flag, and pictures of the delegation at Commonwealth war graves in Germany, their flag flanked by swastikas as First World War veteran Major Fetherston- Godley lays a wreath.

Here was I, an injured ex-Army officer cadet, working for an organisation that I knew to be not only standing shoulder-to-shoulder with all who serve, but whose patron is Her Majesty the Queen.

I spend every working day thinking of ways to help members of the Armed Forces past and present, and their dependants. How could I square that with these images of the same organisation making an official visit to Nazi Germany?

I asked around at the Royal British Legion about the album, but little was known about it. We decided that the background to the album should be investigated.

As a result, a Discovery Channel documentary has been made, with the album as its focus. To help us in put the photographs into context, historian Professor Richard Griffiths was called in. He deciphered the gothic writing in red and black ink on the opening page of the album, which commemorates the visit of the 'British Legion in Deutschland'.

The inscription calls for the British and Germans to understand each other in the way 'Tommy' and 'Fritz' – shorthand for each country's combatants – did at the end of the First World War. And above those words there is an illustration of a Nazi swastika flag, partly overlaid by a Union flag, as if the two are joined.

This album gives a chronological account of the visit. The photographs show the delegation being greeted by crowds giving the 'Heil Hitler' salute as they arrive at Friedrichstrasse station in Berlin.

Evil: Hermann Goering shows off his archery skills for the visitors. The images were part of a Nazi plan to turn the British visit into a propaganda coup

The trip was organised by Joachim von Ribbentrop, then the Nazis' ambassador-at-large.

When the delegation is pictured meeting Hitler at the German Chancellery, the Fuhrer's office, they are surrounded by Nazi film cameras.

And Major Fetherston-Godley is photographed shaking hands with Hess. The delegation is also shown laying a wreath for the fallen soldiers of the First World War at the Neue Wache monument in Berlin and, outside, the Legionnaires are seen shaking hands with wheelchair- bound German veterans.

When the delegation paraded with the Union flag past the German ex-servicemen, they were greeted with 'Heil Hitler' salutes from the injured combatants, and, in one striking picture, the Nazis leading the British parade are giving salutes too.

The Legionnaires' arrival in Berlin was made to look like a Third Reich military parade.

The trip took the Britons to Stahnsdorf cemetery, just outside Berlin, to pay their respects to the 1,200 Commonwealth war dead from the 1914-18 conflict who are buried there.

Photographs show huge Nazi flags surrounding the delegation as they pay their respects.

Other moments captured in the album include members of the Hitler Youth laying flowers at Commonwealth graves and Major Fetherston-Godley laying flowers at the grave of the Red Baron, First World War German flying ace Manfred von Richthofen. The delegation also met Goering. Dressed in Robin Hood-style garb, he demonstrates his archery skills. However, there is one part of the trip that was not recorded in the album, but which has been documented in the Legion archives: the delegation's visit to the first Nazi concentration camp, Dachau.

The archives show that they viewed solitary confinement cells. At the time Jews were already being imprisoned there – 'returned emigrant Jews under observation' say the Legion records, along with the 'workshy', 'professionally criminal' and 'moral perverts'. Some of the delegation also had dinner with Heinrich Himmler, who set up the concentration camp and was head of the SS, Hitler's own bodyguard.

To get to the bottom of what happened on the trip, I went to Germany with historian Harry Harris to make the documentary.

We saw the locations where the pictures were taken and spoke to German historians. Angela Schwarz, Professor of Modern History at the University of Siegen, told us the crowds greeting the delegation would definitely have been stage managed.

The delegation was invited by German ex-service organisations but, in a Nazi propaganda coup, ended up meeting Germany's political leaders.

The trip turned into a series of public events that seemed to suggest a union between Britain and Germany.

The visit wasn't without its critics: the Scottish Legion voted against it, and after the delegation returned, the Jewish chapter of the Legion voted against a reciprocal visit by German ex-servicemen to Britain, which happened in 1938.

And Anthony Eden, who was at the time in Government as Lord Privy Seal, presciently warned the Legion about the trip being used for Nazi propaganda.

Professor Griffiths explained that before the Second World War there was a strong feeling in Britain that we should extend the hand of friendship towards Germany to avoid further conflict, even though Jewish families were already fleeing Nazi Germany because of state anti-Semitism.

In the Thirties the Legion had a foreign policy arm and had regular meetings with the Prime Minister. And in early 1935, the Legion's patron, the Prince of Wales – who was crowned King Edward VIII the following year – gave a speech to the Legion's annual conference in which he supported the trip.

The photographs showing members of the British Legion taking part in what appear to be Nazi events on a visit to Germany make for uncomfortable viewing.

We can't deny the trip took place, but we can discover the truth behind it.

Wartime Secrets With Harry Harris starts next Sunday at 10pm on the Discovery History channel.

Read more: <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1325204/Royal-British-Legions-shameful-Hitler-visit-revealed-75-years.html?ITO=1490#ixzz141PgS7OP>

**OCTOBER 2010**

27th October '10

We agree on much Larry, especially about politicians, & those strange creatures that

breed in 'Think Tanks' (what a weird phrase!) Thank you for the information about Trudeau, & French Indo-China (as was). Your knowledge on those things is greater than mine. We can learn from each other, not only facts, but our interpretation of them, & the opinions formed thereby. Re. your reference to 'hindsight': Harold Wilson's refusal to be party to the invasion of Vietnam was not from hindsight - but from FORESIGHT! Equally so the draft-dodgers, whom you so despise. Whatever about the morality, they were right from a pragmatic viewpoint. Even you must admit that, surely? Despite the infinitely superior firepower of the USA, the coolies won. Had notice been taken of the draft-dodgers, many thousands of lives, Vietnamese, American & Australian would not have been so tragically wasted. Marxism or Capitalism; Right or Left; Republic or Monarchy; Democracy, Theocracy or Oligarchy matters little: 'BETTER A BAD SYSTEM RUN BY GOOD PEOPLE, THAN A GOOD SYSTEM RUN BY BAD PEOPLE'. In Britain we have a bad system, run by very bad people!

Re. World War II, & whether it was indeed a 'Noble Cause'. We Allies must bear some responsibility. The oppressive reparations demanded from Germany after WW I brought that nation to poverty & degradation. But for that, the people would not have been so ready to respond to the call & the promises of Hitler, who did in fact lift that country from the gutter to become a world power. In that respect he was a great man.

Under constant attack myself from the Quislings who now rule every aspect of life in Britain, I cannot devote much more time to our exchanges, though must say I am grateful for what I have learned from them.

Sincerely,  
Norman Scarth.

Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 02:40:30 -0700

From: libertytoday2003@yahoo.ca

Subject: Re: I have condemned British atrocities just as much as you do.

To: enscarth@hotmail.com; the1maverick65@msn.com

CC: birkby847@btinternet.com; peter@pwwatson.co.uk; nickchance@hotmail.com;

harry.beckhough@virgin.net; harry.beckhough@googlemail.com;

cliffordnrc@gmail.com; andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk;

gordonhleonard@gmail.com

The people who run our nations today are those same people who ran away from their duties to their country. Remember Pierre E. Trudeau who became Prime Minister of Canada who stated in 1940: "No Frenchman from Quebec should fight in a English war." Trudeau refused to fight and only his wealthy family kept him from being imprisoned. Look at Jack Straw whose father refused to fight for England and was for Hitler. Look which party Jack Straw belongs, too. Labour is so leftist they make communists look middle of the road. George Bush Jr. who did nothing during the Vietnam war and like a bad liberal-leftist penny became President.

Look at all the Deans and Professors that have tenure today and speak so boldly in favour of Marxism, and run Think Tanks that sway government to send troops to war, but so many of them burnt their draft cards and still managed to help send soldiers (middle class kids who cannot afford to go to college or university) to Iraq and Afghanistan that you call illegal wars. We could talk about all the politicians today where most of them were the hippies of old who protested and used drugs, but used

college and university deferment to keep out of the war. A war serviced by the middle class kids who couldn't pay for higher education. All these college and university kids who are now the leaders of their nation and their hypocrisy is quite astounding. These same miscreant kids as political adults have made Islamic states in the Balkans at the expense of the Serb Christians. Atrocities were committed mostly by the Muslims and Mujaheddin, but since that was the truth the politicians today ignored the truth because they are Pro-Islamic today, and God only knows what these liberal-leftists will be tomorrow as they sway with the wind. Just as they swayed with the wind over Vietnam.

Not everyone believed that the war in Vietnam was illegal and who decides what is a illegal war or not? There are hundreds of illegal wars going on at any given time and the UN and NATO ignores 99% of them unless its in their interests to do something, usually something Pro-Islamic these days. You blamed the soldiers for simply doing their jobs. Soldiers do not make policies they simply follow the policies of their government and hope they are right, and you did the same in WWII. You gave a quick out to those who ran away. I met dozens of these miscreants in Canada and they were just scared kids using any excuse to get out of their draft situation. So when your number comes up in the lottery you are obliged to go because if you didn't some other poor kid had to take your place. Cowardice not conscientious objection or your own political policies ruled the day. Not many kids care a damn about politics and wouldn't know a whole lot about the process or what policies their government pass every day.

War is simply a physical political policy. We waited for Hitler because of Chamberlain the pacifist. And at the end hundreds of millions had died because we did nothing to stop it from happening. How many western lives have been saved because of Afghanistan and Iraq. Personally they should have left Iraq alone, but Jacques Chirac of France did indeed give Saddam weapons grade plutonium (Mitterrand admitted the affair) and now the Iranians have it because we dawdled along until the stuff was removed to Iran. How many will be saved if we take out Iran. If Iran gets that bomb they are just likely to use it on America as that of Israel.

Do we wait until the Middle East has enough firepower to take over other countries in Africa while play diplomat. Barack Obama has signed a bill that will allow the sale of top of the line military equipment that he has denied to sell to Canada. We asked for F22 Raptors and had to settle for the lesser F35. Will Saudi Arabia get this plane? You know damn well with Obama at the helm Israel will not get much of anything, if anything at all. Obama has threatened Israel to accept the peace process or it is possible that America will invade Israel.

Hindsight on all these issues is wonderful. What would have happened if FDR had lived and removed France's possessions in Asia and helped Vietnam to its own independence. But, Truman was a Frenchist and gave back Vietnam to them and thus stuck them in war they could hardly back out from. Had they done the right thing in the beginning 56,000 American boys didn't need to die needlessly, but hindsight is great and after the fact we can say this or that, but during ..... who knows which was the right thing to do. If we had finished Hitler before he got strong ..... and everything in hindsight looks so damnably correct.

Regards

Larry

From: norman scarth <enscarth@hotmail.com>

To: Larry Middleton <libertytoday2003@yahoo.ca>; the1maverick65@msn.com

Cc: Bob & Jane <birkby847@btinternet.com>; peter@pwwatson.co.uk;

nickchance@hotmail.com; harry.beckhough@virgin.net;

harry.beckhough@googlemail.com; cliffordnrc@gmail.com;

andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk; gordonhleonard@gmail.com

Sent: Tue, October 26, 2010 10:57:18 PM

Subject: I have condemned British atrocities just as much as you do.

To condemn we Brits for the atrocities we have committed is no excuse for yours. Though I am proud of the good things we Brits have done, I am deeply ashamed of the bad. I am on record as condemning the atrocities you mention Larry - those committed by us. See my comments inserted below, in red for easy recognition. I also condemn the atrocities which we Brits, Americans & Canadians are currently committing together.

Norman Scarth

Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 18:57:48 -0700

From: libertytoday2003@yahoo.ca

Subject: Re: From one who does not share your admiration.

To: the1maverick65@msn.com

CC: birkby847@btinternet.com; peter@pwwatson.co.uk; enscarth@hotmail.com;

nickchance@hotmail.com; harry.beckhough@virgin.net;

harry.beckhough@googlemail.com; cliffordnrc@gmail.com;

andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk; gordonhleonard@gmail.com

My own response is that Norman's reply was rather rude and I am shocked that he would make such a reply. (I am glad you were shocked.) We could say many things about the British army atrocities that Norman was probably a part of as a British soldier. (I was a sailor, not a soldier, & as a lad of 18 I did play my very small part in killing 1,867 of the bravest men in the world, the crew of the German battle-cruiser Scharnhorst. See end for more). How about the atrocity of putting Jews in concentration camps in the Palestine Mandate. Giving weapons to the Egyptians, Syrians, Jordanians and Saudis so they could make war on the new fledgling state of Israel. Denying Israel the right to self defense by refusing to sell weapons so they could protect themselves. Was Norman with Sir John Glubb when Glubb and his Jordanian Arab Legion attacked Jerusalem killing Jews for no other purpose than political gain for Britain. How many mass slaughters by Muslims on the Jews with complicity by Britain? Too numerous to mention them all. Was Norman in India when Britain's colonialist policies mass murdered thousands of Indians? We could state a great deal about what Norman might have done for his country against others. (See above, & below).

Mention the name My Lai as a massacre is no different than British massacres of indigenous peoples the world over. Like they say "He who is without sin, cast the first stone." (I do not 'cast stones' - but I DO speak out. Failing to speak out against evil is condoning it - & I DO speak out against evil by my own nation. Nor am I unaware of my own faults.) Many American soldiers fought bravely in Vietnam (The TRULY

brave refused to do so.) and were not accountable for American foreign policy. When your country calls and you do not answer the call, you are a traitor. (On the contrary, those who refuse to fight an illegal war are the REAL Patriots.) You do not have the luxury of deciding who you will fight against when called to service. (Only the brainwashed will ignore their own conscience.) Those who run away are nothing but cowards, and those cowards use every excuse in the book to get out of their duties. My own country has harboured these cowards for a long time and remember that most of these are the liberal-leftists who control the states we now live in. (Left & Right have been equally guilty of atrocities.)

As one Canadian soldier returned to the front lines during WWI, he was a hour late to take his place in the line. A senior British officer had him arrested, tried for being late that was not his fault and was promptly shot after his trial. That British officer stated that "It was a breach of the rules and we simply can't have that." What if Canadian soldiers had walked off the line and said "We shouldn't fight for Britain because of that atrocity on our soldier." Norman was callous in his reply to a great letter (?????) and the person who wrote it to one of those liberal-leftists who we all despise. American soldiers would not have been in Afghanistan or Iraq but for the liberal-leftists who were simply doing Saudi Arabia and Wahhabism's dirty work of bringing down their enemies.

Regards

Larry

(END NOTE: The Scharnhorst having been sunk, we aboard the destroyer HMS Matchless hove to & picked up survivors. Having picked up a few, an order came from the Admiral, "Join the battleship Duke of York & escort her to Murmansk". We switched off the searchlight, pulled up the scrambling nets & steamed away, still hearing voices calling for help from the black of the winter Arctic night, leaving the owners of those voices to certain death. I hear those voices yet, & grieve for those men every day of my life. Terrible though it was, the order from the Admiral was the right one. Hove to, searchlight on, we were a sitting target for the U-boats in the area. Staying a moment too long could have ensured we joined those unfortunate men. We did treat our captured survivors with respect & humanity, as is the tradition of the sea. Only this year have I learned that a Norwegian crewed destroyer picked up survivors as we did, but then beat them up & threw them over the other side! Those were not men, but sadistic monsters.

ALL war is bad, but one could say that if [repeat 'if'] any were in a worthy cause, WW2 came under that heading. Not so Vietnam, Iraq or Afghanistan.

Norman Scarth.

From: Earl Williams <the1maverick65@msn.com>

To: birkby847@btinternet.com; et al

Sent: Tue, October 26, 2010 4:10:25 PM

Subject: RE: From one who does not share your admiration.

So be it !

Earl

Earl Williams

From: birkby847@btinternet.com

To: Peter4peter2@hotmail.com; enscaarth@hotmail.com;

gordonhleonard@gmail.com; tnbfbg@yahoo.co.uk

CC: the1maverick65@msn.com; libertytoday2003@yahoo.ca;

andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk; nickchance@hotmail.com;

harry.beckhough@virgin.net; cliffordnrc@googlemail.com  
Subject: Re: From one who does not share your admiration.  
Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 21:55:56 +0100

His name is Guthrie, it gives it in the preamble, but not his address.

----- Original Message -----

From: Peter

To: norman scarth ; gordonhleonard@gmail.com ; tnbfbg

Cc: the1maverick65@msn.com ; Larry Middleton ;

andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk ; nickchance@hotmail.com ; Bob & Jane ;

harry.beckhough@virgin.net ; cliffordnrc@googlemail.com

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2010 6:15 PM

Subject: Re: From one who does not share your admiration.

Dear Norman,

Very well said Norman, and my sentiments exactly.

Also Iraq and Afghanistan! - Not in my name! I never thought I would see a supposedly humanitarian Labour party being run by a load of War criminals in support by an out of control USA .

Very best wishes Norman and thanks for your stand on this.

Yours

Peter

From: norman scarth

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2010 2:15 PM

To: gordonhleonard@gmail.com ; tnbfbg

Cc: the1maverick65@msn.com ; Larry Middleton ;

andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk ; nickchance@hotmail.com ; Bob & Jane ;

harry.beckhough@virgin.net ; cliffordnrc@googlemail.com

Subject: From one who does not share your admiration.

This 'brave' American (too cowardly to give his name & address) is proud to be a lawyer, & proud to have got medals for killing men, women & children in Vietnam. I despise him for the first, & despise him BEYOND WORDS for the second. Perhaps he was at My Lai? I doubt he will feel shame when looking at the picture of little Kim Phuc, her naked body badly burned by Napalm. It is a sad reflection on the USA that he should have admirers there, but I am greatly saddened that some in Britain praise him. At least we can be proud that our then Prime Minister, Harold Wilson, refused to join in that massacre of the innocents. As that truly brave man Mohammed Ali said when sent to prison for refusing to go, "Them Viet Cong 'aint done me no harm'. If this earns me sneers from those who are otherwise on the same side as myself in fighting the Quislings who now rule every aspect of life in Britain, then so be it.

Norman Scarth,  
World War II Veteran (a volunteer, not a conscript).,

36 Anvil Court, BRADFORD BD8 7QW West Yorkshire, England. Tel: 01274 541 213.

> -----  
> Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 12:37:52 +0100

> Subject: WOW! What a Letter!

> From: gordonhleonard@gmail.com

> To: tnbfbg@yahoo.co.uk

>

> ----- Forwarded message -----

> From: Earl Williams <the1maverick65@msn.com>

> Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 06:57:33 -0400

> Subject: FW: WOW! What a Letter!

> To: gordonhleonard@gmail.com, libertytoday2003

> <libertytoday2003@yahoo.ca>, "andrew.edwards1"

> <andrew.edwards1@blueyonder.co.uk>, nickchance@hotmail.com,

> birkby847@btinternet.com, Harry Beckhough

> <harry.beckhough@virgin.net>, cliffordnrc@googlemail.com

>

>

> A shot in the dark, but we need to hear and see more and more of this

> and the sooner the better the chances of our securing a victory

> in our ongoing battle with the politicians who represent only their own

> check books.

> Earl Williams

> Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2010 06:48:31 -0400

> To:

> From: robby1231@verizon.net

> Subject: WOW! What a Letter!

> I don't usually forward this kind of stuff..but this really sums it

> up...hope everyone is ready to vote this year...

Take care,

FYI, This has been checked via Snopes, who contacted Mr. Guthrie, who

> confirmed he did indeed write & send this letter to Ms. Pelosi. This

> is one brave American !!! AND-----His credentials are awesome!!!!

>

Check his credentials. Then read his letter to Pelosi!

>

U.S. District Court, Eastern, Middle & Western Districts of North

> Carolina, 1969

> U.S. Tax Court

> Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals

> Education: Woodford College, 1966 A.B.

> Mercer University , 1969 J.D.

> Phi Alpha Delta

> Vice-Justice, District XIV, 1968 - 1969

> Professional Associations and Memberships:

> North Carolina and American Bar Associations (Member, Sections on:

> Administrative Law; General Practice; Litigation)

> 26th Judicial District and North Carolina State Bar

- > Mecklenburg County Bar Association
- > American Association of Justice
- > North Carolina Trial Lawyers Association
- > Captain, U.S. Army, 1969-1971, Vietnam
- > National Defense Medal, 1969
- > Republic of Viet Nam Service Medal, 1970
- > Bronze Star Medals (2), 1971
- > Assistant District Attorney, Mecklenburg County, 1971 - 1974
- > Charlotte Chamber of Commerce
- > Chairman, Board of Trustees Providence United Methodist Church
- > Board of Directors, Alexander Children's Home
- > Board of Directors, Charlotte Culinary Institute
- > Wofford Alumni Executive Council
- > Scoutmaster, Boy Scouts of America
- > Board of Directors, Boy Scouts of America of Mecklenburg County
- > Board of Directors, Girl Scout Council
- > Life Member, National Eagle Scout Association
- > Life Member, Girl Scouts of America
- > Dear Ms. Pelosi:
- >
- >
- > I write to you out of utter disdain! You are as despicable and
- > un-American as the traitor Jane Fonda. I am a soon to be 65 year-old
- > who has voted in every state and local election since 1966. I have
- > voted for both Republicans and Democrats alike. I have worked on
- > campaigns for both Republicans and Democrats, white and black. I
- > served the country that I love in Vietnam , as my son did in the
- > Middle East . I was awarded two bronze stars. I have been involved in
- > politics since age 6 when my father was campaign manager for a truly
- > Great American Congressman, Charles Raper Jonas, who worked for his
- > constituents and his country, and was to be admired, unlike you.
- >
- >
- > You obviously haven't read the Constitution recently, if ever, the
- > Federalist Papers, or even David McCullough's book on John Adams. You
- > ought to take the time while riding around in your government provided
- > luxury executive jet to do just that. You represent Socialistic and
- > even Marxist principals that our founding fathers tried to avoid when
- > setting out the capitalistic republican form of government represented
- > by our Constitution.
- >
- >
- > I find it interesting that you and your husband are
- > multi-millionaires with much of your fortune being made as a result of
- > your "public service". You have controlled legislation that has
- > enhanced your husband's investments both on and off shore. At the same
- > time you redistributed the wealth of others. Our system of a free
- > market economy is being destroyed by the likes of you, Harry Reid, and
- > now our President. You ride around in a Gulfstream airplane at the tax
- > payer's expense while criticizing the presidents of companies who

> produced something for the economy. You add nothing to the economy of  
> the United States ; you only subtract there from.

>

>

> I would like to suggest that you return to the city of fruitcakes  
> and nuts and eat your husband's canned tuna and pineapple produced by  
> illegal immigrants and by workers who have been excluded from the  
> protection that 90% of the legal workers in the United States have.  
> I await your defeat in the next election with glee.

>

>

> Don't ever use the term "un-American" again for protesters who  
> love this country and are exercising their rights upon which this  
> country was founded. By the way, while I served in the Army, I was  
> spit on by the same type of lunatics who support you and who you  
> probably supported in the 60's and 70's. You are an embarrassment to  
> all of us who served so that you would have the protected right of  
> free speech to call us un-American. But at the same time, I have the  
> right to write you to notify you that I consider you to be  
> un-American, as do the majority of the people of this formerly great  
> country. You are a true disgrace to most of the people who served this  
> country by offering themselves for public service in the United States  
> Congress.

> I feel certain your aides will not share this letter with you, but  
> I intend to share it with many.

>

Let's help this man fulfill his 'commitment' to Pelosi by forwarding  
> his thoughts to everyone in your address book!

24th October '10

Clicking on the link Britain's Islamic Republic brings you to a rather complicated website. Search for 'Bradford: in support of our troops' to see a video of a young soldier (or ex-soldier) speaking at the EDL rally in Bradford. Though I live in Bradford, the great show of strength by the police blocked us from attending at the time, so we were unable to hear what they had to say. They DID allow us to attend the counter meeting by the UAF - who are the biggest Fascists of all! Though he was looking at it from the wrong perspective, the young soldier was very impressive. I would like to make contact with him, &/or EDL members in Bradford to give them my own perspective, in the hope they might target the REAL enemies. Assistance in enabling me to make contact would be welcome.  
Norman Scarth.

From: charityrichards@ntlworld.com

To: ;

Subject: Fw: LionHeart UK--hurray Lionheart is back

Date: Sat, 23 Oct 2010 16:00:33 +0100

----- Original Message -----

From: Lionheart  
To: charityrichards@ntlworld.com  
Sent: Saturday, October 23, 2010 1:09 PM  
Subject: LionHeart UK Britain's Islamic Republic  
Posted: 22 Oct 2010 02:32 PM PDT  
Daily Telegraph: Islamic Republic of Tower Hamlets  
Further Reading: The horror of 21st Century Britain (2007)  
22nd October '10

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN (Bcc to many)

Yes, I did put the questions as Freedom of Information requests, but thought they were simple enough to be answered without having to be sent through all that rigmarole. Especially so with the urgent desire to make cutbacks, avoid waste, save staff time & save money. You know my full name, address & everything else about me, & I am not ashamed of it. Why did the Chairwoman of the Justices become so hostile when i asked for the names of the Justices - to which I was fully entitled? (see R. v. Felixstowe Justices). Why was she frightened (or ashamed?) to give her name? I shake my head in disbelief that a simple matter has to be made so difficult: that when a victim of crime is seeking the protection of the courts from further crime, s/he has not just one battle ahead, but has to fight every inch of the way. You will not be aware that one lawyer present in court when I was trying to Lay Information praised me afterwards for the manner in which I did so. You WILL be aware that the many people present in the foyer afterwards were appalled at the way i was treated. I thought I had done my share of fighting on the Arctic Russian Convoys 67 years ago. Seems not: under attack from many Quislings, from many quarters, seems I must continue fighting till the day I die. No doubt the Quislings will wear poppies around Remembrance Day, in a nauseating pretence of grief for those who gave their lives in WW2. It is a tragedy that they have all been so badly betrayed! Until the age of 70 I was proud to be British. I believed (as we were constantly told) that 'British Justice is the finest in the world'. I am not proud now.

From  
A Very Disillusioned Norman Scarth.

Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Requests, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7.  
Date: Fri, 22 Oct 2010 10:31:31 +0100  
From: janet.raistrick@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk  
To: enscarth@hotmail.com  
CC: Tony.Lyons@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Mr Scarth

I acknowledge receipt of your E Mail and the one received on 19th October, I have forwarded you requests on to the department which deal with Freedom of Information request and I will be in touch again when I receive a response.

Regards  
Janet Raistrick  
Court Manager  
Bradford Magistrates' Court  
Tel: 01274 302005

I am not authorised to bind my Department contractually, nor to make representations or other statements, which may bind the department in any way via electronic means.

From: norman scarth [mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com]  
Sent: 21 October 2010 22:14  
To: Raistrick, Janet  
Cc: Lyons, Tony  
Subject: Freedom of Information Requests, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7.

Requests under the Freedom of Information Act. (I have numbered them in sequence with my Fol requests sent two days ago)  
Will you please inform me (3) of the name, qualifications & working experience of the 'Legal Manager' mentioned by you below. Also (4) the qualifications & working experience of court clerk Mr. Shahid, (5) the qualifications & working experience of court clerk Mrs. Kossar, (6) whether you think it sufficient for them to 'advise' (control) Justices of the Peace, & (7) Are you completely satisfied with the training, experience & calibre of your staff?

I am prompted to ask these questions by the words of several eminent judges (see lower down), some of whom blame low pay for the rapid turnover of Court Service staff, & the failure to retain good ones. Also by the damning report by four of Her Majesty's Chief Inspectors about the unbelievable incompetence & malpractice in Leeds Magistrates' Court.

Hoping for a speedy response,

Respectfully,

Norman Scarth.

Subject: RE: Will you guarantee my safety in Bradford Magistrates' Court? URGENT!!

Date: Tue, 12 Oct 2010 11:43:11 +0100

From: janet.raistrick@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

CC: Tony.Lyons@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Mr Scarth

With regard to the fee of £200.00, I authorised a refund of the fee on 17th June and sent the relevant paperwork to our Central Enforcement Centre for processing but unfortunately it does not appear to have been processed so I have today sent it to the Court Manager at the CEC to ensure the refund is processed and you will receive it in shortly.

When you attend Bradford Magistrates' Court to submit your application if you ask for Michelle Kolacz, Team Manager, she will deal with the matter and liaise with the Legal Manager to ensure appropriate action is taken.

If you require any further assistance please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards  
Janet Raistrick  
Court Manager  
Bradford Magistrates' Court  
Tel: 01274 302005

I am not authorised to bind my Department contractually, nor to make representations or other statements, which may bind the department in any way via electronic means.

"County Courts in Chaos", said HH Judge Paul Collins, London's most senior County Court judge in 2008. At his retirement ceremony the Recorder of Leeds, HH Judge Norman Jones said. "The Criminal Courts are a shambles". At his annual press conference in 2008, Lord Chief Justice Lord Phillips of Worth Maltravers said that there had been a 60% turnover of staff at Wandsworth County Court, leading to backlogs & delays, & that 'data needed to run the courts efficiently is unreliable'. Lord Phillips recently spoke of 'Crisis in the Courts'. He cited Lord Justice Stanley Brunton as saying that 'Aylsbury Crown Court is 'not fit for purpose'.

In 2008 four of Her Majesty's Chief Inspectors published a damning Report of gross incompetence & malpractice in Leeds Magistrates' Court. This came as no surprise to Litigants In Person, many having suffered far worse than disclosed in the Report. The endemic hostility from the legal professionals towards the LIP leads to much injustice. I can speak with authority on this: as Hon. Sec. of the Litigants In person Society I have much more experience than just my own. NS.

-----  
From: norman scarth [mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com]

Sent: 12 October 2010 09:31

To: Lyons, Tony ; Raistrick, Janet

Subject: Will you guarantee my safety in Bradford Magistrates' Court? URGENT!!

To GROUP COURT MANAGER TONY LYONS, COURT MANAGER JANET RAISTRICK & THE SENIOR CLERK TO THE JUSTICES.

This is NOT (as yet) a complaint, though there are grounds for several!

On Friday 21st May & 17th June 2010 I attended Bradford Magistrates' Court on perfectly legitimate errands (to Lay Information). On each occasion I behaved with complete propriety, but sadly, the same could not be said of your staff.

On Friday 21st May 2010, the very pleasant young lady at your counter enquired of a superior, who told her to tell me that to Lay the Information I must pay £200. I expressed surprise at this, but paid the £200 in cash. I was again surprised by the rather strange procedure when handing over the money. I was given no receipt, the pleasant young woman telling me she was not allowed to touch the money. Instead, I was required to put it in an envelope, seal it & put it in a box in the foyer. I was told that a summons would be issued on the Monday, but it was not. Instead, a letter was written on the Monday telling me to attend court on Thursday 17th June 2010, when my "Application would be dealt with" (the Laying of Information is NOT an 'Application'). I have since received confirmation from HM Court Service HQ that no fee was in fact payable, & thus the £200 was extracted from me unlawfully. This could be classed simply as error by court staff, but the fact that my requests for the return of the £200 have been ignored puts a different complexion on it. It now surely comes under the heading of obtaining money by false pretences, an astonishing thing when carried out by HMCS staff.

Thursday 17th June 2010: I attended court as the letter had told me to do, & eventually came before two Justices of the Peace. Here there was an astonishing abuse of power by the clerk to the Justices (who I later learned was named Rashid). I asked the clerk for the names of the Justices (which, as I'm sure you are aware, I am entitled to know: see R. v. Felixstowe Justices, ex parte Leigh

[1986]). The clerk was most obstructive, insisting on identifying them only as 'The Justices in No. 1 Court, & he himself as 'The Legal Adviser in No. 1 Court'. His annoyance that I dared to persist with my question was obvious. He then quoted part of the relevant Statute, & advised the magistrates that they should refuse to issue summonses. They accepted his advice, & did refuse. I make no complaint of this. However, the clerk left out a most important part of English law, & thus failed to give the magistrates the full legal information needed to come to a proper decision. He did, grudgingly agree to give me the names of the magistrates, but this did not appear to be happening. He was infuriated that I repeated the request &, unknown to me, had pressed a buzzer to call Security to throw me out, NOT ONLY FROM THE COURTROOM (which I was doing anyway), BUT FROM THE BUILDING! As said, I was behaving with complete propriety, but the fact that I had dared to ask him to comply with the law affronted his arrogant self-importance. This was a completely unwarranted abuse of power, & could well be classed as 'Misconduct in Public Office'. It was especially so in that I am an 84 year old veteran of World War II, one of those to whom he owes all he has.

It is my intention to attend court again to ask the magistrates to consider the same matter again, when i will quote the law which Mr. Rashid failed to tell them.

I end by asking as in the Subject Heading: Will you guarantee my safety in Bradford Magistrates' Court, ensure that I am not subjected to extortion, intimidation or oppression as on the previous occasions, & that I am shown the respect to which I am entitled. Please reply by email & treat this as urgent. At my age, life expectation is limited, physical violence (such as was threatened) likely to shorten it (I must praise the security staff, who were as surprised as I was by the outrageous conduct of Mr. Rashid, recognised it as such, & made no attempt to carry out his orders).

Norman Scarth.

Bradford, West Yorkshire.

PS: I have included the 'Senior Clerk to the Justices' as one of those who should receive a copy of this message. Do you still have such a person?

PPS: In her Report on the Shipman killings, Dame Janet Smith concluded there was 'A Culture of Fear in the NHS', which allowed the killings to continue long after they should have ended. Sadly, far too many in official positions have an inflated idea of their importance, & will call the heavy mob to stamp on anyone who fails to show the obsequious deference they feel is their due. In consequence, this 'culture of fear' now runs throughout the nation, much like Germany in the 1930s. What a tragedy - that those who died fighting against Hitler gave their lives in vain. NS.

17th October '10

A rather late Report on the meeting which WAS to have taken place at Bradford Bulls Stadium on October 2nd. (late because I have been evaluating the lessons to be learned).

Bulls? BULLS??? More like castrated calves! Chief Executive Ryan Tuckett was more like a kipper (two-faced & gutless) or a jellyfish (a poisonous sting, but no backbone). I had a contract with the club, but The Kipper pulled the plug at the last minute. from one point of view, that was almost as good as the meeting taking place, because it proved the truth of my words. He only arrived back in England on Friday morning, but the Gestapo were waiting to have a word in his ear, & he crumpled. "We have very good relations with the Police & with the Council, & don't want to spoil them" said he. A Cancellation Notice had to be sent out that

evening. However, a staunch supporter of mine is landlord of a nearby pub, & learning of the cancellation said "Have the meeting at my place". So, the meeting was back on again, at the new venue. The reinstatement having taken place so late, it was not surprising that only a dozen attended, but all agreed it was worthwhile. One man came from Wigan, one from Bridlington, two from Nottingham & one was actually from Hampshire, though he was visiting friends in Barnsley & came on from there. All agreed the meeting had been well worthwhile. I am most grateful to those who did attend, to those who were intending to come until the cancellation, & those who were unable to attend, but sent good wishes. Since the meeting I have been evaluating what lessons we can learn from it, & feel that the negative lessons, though undesirable, are more important than the positive ones. It is important when planning a strategy to know (a) the strength of the enemy (we know they are very strong, in power & numbers - including Bradford Bulls). and (b) the strength of our own reinforcements - if any! I fear there are NO reinforcements. Most of the British people are happy with the way things are, or too spineless to do anything about it. In the three days before the meeting, 1,500 leaflets (promising exposure of corruption in Bradford Council) were placed under windscreen wipers in many Bradford car parks. Those leaflets brought not one person to the meeting. This suggests to me that, apart from 'the few' who are already awake, nothing short of an atom bomb will waken the rest of the British people to the evil within the nation. That negative lesson is important in that we are now truly aware of the enormity of the task facing us. Is it a lost cause? Is the SS Britain so riddled with holes it cannot remain afloat much longer? Is it time to abandon ship - leaving the majority of the people to the fate they richly deserve? I am not, as yet, thinking to give up the fight & abandon ship, but there is surely a time when it is foolish to stay aboard? I have said elsewhere that our only hope, a very slim one, is for the troops to come back from Afghanistan & take over this country from the many Quislings, big & little, who now rule us. I would appreciate your own thoughts on the subject.

Norman Scarth.

## SEPTEMBER 2010

22nd September '10

Subject: 'A Defeated Nation': Better directions for Grattan Stadium, & different wording.

Date: Wed, 22 Sep 2010 18:48:44 +0100

Saturday, 2nd October. Grattan Stadium, Bradford. You should already have had the promotion leaflet for my talk 'Britain: A Defeated Nation' (please let me know if not). Attached now is a leaflet with better directions to the venue, & wording which is less likely to upset the enemy - **THOUGH THE LECTURE ITSELF CERTAINLY WILL!**

Norman Scarth (aka Lorne Auder )

Britain-100920-Mkt.doc

6062K [View](#) [Download](#)

6th September '10

This message & attachment are forwarded at the request of Mr. Maurice Kirk, whose emails are blocked by you. Apart from Obstructing the Course of Justice (a serious

crime), this is sadism beyond belief, coupled as it is with the refusal to grant adjournment to a man who is on morphine because an urgent hip operation is being callously delayed (by the judiciary) by the refusal and/or failure to ensure delivery of medical reports needed before the operation can go ahead.

It is futile to expect members of the legal profession to have either conscience or humanity, but you must surely realise that this sort of thing cannot go on for much longer before anarchy becomes widespread, as predicted by Lord Denning in his book, 'WHAT NEXT IN THE LAW'. So, if neither conscience, humanity nor the rule of law can persuade you to do what is right, perhaps the thought of what may await you when anarchy takes over completely may do so?

Norman Scarth.

Date: Mon, 6 Sep 2010 15:54:18 +0100

Subject: Kirk v South Wales Police CF101741 Skeleton Argument

From: maurice@kirkflyingvet.com

To: adriano@dolmans.co.uk

I telephoned your office early this morning to try and get my skeleton document to the trial judge .

Even by your colleague ringing back with the information after lunch previous phone calls at 10 to the court appeared frustrating as the court still refuses to send me an alternative e-mail as the manager of Cardiff County Court is still keeping in place a deliberate spam filter to prevent my lodging court papers.

therefore can you please expedite the enclosed document to His Honour Judge Seys Lleewlyn QC

thankyou

I will ring the court for the 3rd time to see how else it can get there quickly as I have no fax and have difficulty in walking as far as the library.

--

Maurice J Kirk BVSc

52, Tynewydd Road

Barry CF6 8AZ

UK 07907937953 Brittany 0033296284741

[www.kirkflyingvet.com](http://www.kirkflyingvet.com) [www.wacl.org.uk](http://www.wacl.org.uk)

[maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com) ( [g-kurk@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:g-kurk@hotmail.co.uk))

AUGUST 2010

14th August '10

From: fernea720@msn.com

Subject: Re- launch of video NORMAN SCARTH at the BCG JULY '10 'CORRUPT STATE'

Date: Sat, 14 Aug 2010 13:48:21 -0700

[http://disclose.tv/action/viewvideo/52023/War\\_Veteran\\_Norman\\_Scarth\\_Victim\\_of\\_Police\\_State/](http://disclose.tv/action/viewvideo/52023/War_Veteran_Norman_Scarth_Victim_of_Police_State/)

With apologise for the withdrawal of the previous link. I had to correct some

misinformation on the write up!

This is a Medium Quality upload. Please allow time for the video to load to your hard drive before playing- to avoid jerky playback.

If you would like a link to a high quality upload, or a DVD of the video, please email [fernea720@msn.com](mailto:fernea720@msn.com) or contact Carol at the UK Column.

Message:

We have set up an email network specifically for advertising any meetings or demonstrations you may be staging, or to inform you of any meetings or demonstrations that may be happening in your area. It is NOT attached to any group, organisation or political party.

Simply subscribe free by emailing [fernea720@msn.com](mailto:fernea720@msn.com) or [remfrancis@gmail.com](mailto:remfrancis@gmail.com) and put BRITCONG in the subject line. Any messages or forwarded emails can be sent to a nationwide network by one click of the send button and the message will be sent to every address in the network. Please pass on this link to your own contacts and we will soon have a vast network linking to meetings and demonstrations in YOUR area wherever you live! Advertise here for free! (We need to link organisations and groups together for greater attendance at meetings etc.)

This link is for the above use ONLY and strictly NOT for comments, discussions or 'round robin' emails. Also please use BCC if you need to fwd with other addresses. Keep the addresses private. Thank you for your security co-operation.

recommended viewing:

[www.ukcolumn.org](http://www.ukcolumn.org)      [www.cpexposed.com](http://www.cpexposed.com)

8th August '10

"A person loses his rights under the Human Rights Act if he is posing a threat to state security" says James Todd. Please forgive my presumption James, but I think I know what you were trying to say, & it was this: 'A person loses all his human rights if he is a threat to the evil doing of those with power in this '1984' Police State' Or, as Voltaire put it: 'When The State is wrong, it is dangerous to be right'.

Norman Scarth.

PS: I HOPE that is what you were meaning to say James? (would someone please pass this message to James.) NS.

Date: Sun, 8 Aug 2010 16:43:47 +0100

Subject: Extract Vomit interesting letter Re IPP/MAPPA Abuse

From: [maurice@kirkflyingvet.com](mailto:maurice@kirkflyingvet.com)

To: [enscarth@hotmail.com](mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com)

[kirkflyingvet](http://kirkflyingvet)

Twitter [www.kirkflyingvet.com](http://www.kirkflyingvet.com) 8th Aug Blog. Plse circ to concerned must be behind my 'police only' MAPPA level 3 attempts to psychiatric prison

91. 8th Aug " You know what is behind this. A person loses his rights under the Human Rights Act if he is posing a threat to state security. Moreover his or her

associates also lose their rights under the Human Rights Act." an e-mail message  
from James M Todd  
Maurice J Kirk BVSc  
52, Tynewydd Road  
Barry CF6 8AZ  
UK 07907937953 Brittany 0033296284741  
www.kirkflyingvet.com www.wacl.org.uk  
maurice@kirkflyingvet.com ( g-kurk@hotmail.co.uk)

5th August '10

Dear Inspector Thomas,

You ask for clarification. I am a Lecturer on Law, an author of legal books & a Legal Consultant, supposedly retired, but now working harder than ever exposing the corruption which is rampant throughout the land, in Parliament, the Courts, the Judiciary & the Police. You may wonder why, at the age of 84 & should put all my efforts into this mission? I do so in memory of my brave young shipmates who died around me 67 years ago as we were fighting to keep Hitler's Gestapo from our shores. Tragically they died in vain. Yes, we did keep Hitler's Gestapo away, but our home grown variety was in the wings along with the Judicial Mafia (a 'Fifth Column') waiting to take over the task of turning Britain into a '1984' Police State. If you were genuine when swearing to uphold the law, & to fight crime, perhaps you may like to join me & my colleagues in this mission? But be warned, it is a very dangerous mission, as Maurice Kirk & I have learned only too well, & as Andy McCardle was to learn even harder (see attachment). I await your response to my invitation. You could be a great asset in our efforts to restore something like the Rule of Law to Britain.

Norman Scarth.

Subject: RE: Second thoughts about telecall.

Date: Thu, 5 Aug 2010 19:12:05 +0100

From: Wayne.Thomas3@south-wales.pnn.police.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Dear,

Mr Scarth,

Simply I do not know who you are or what your interest is in this matter. I will not make any further contact with you until this is clarified and can be contacted on the contact number previously provided.

Respectfully,

Wayne Thomas

From: norman scarth [mailto:enscarth@hotmail.com]

Sent: 04 August 2010 17:18

To: Thomas,Wayne swp3722

Subject: Second thoughts about telecall.

Dear Inspector Thomas,

On second thoughts, I much prefer to have things in writing rather than on on the telephone. I would be most grateful if you could explain your doubts about my bona fides.

Thanking you in anticipation.

N.Scarth.

Subject:

Date: Wed, 4 Aug 2010 16:33:07 +0100

From: Wayne.Thomas3@south-wales.pnn.police.uk

To: enscarth@hotmail.com

Dear,

Mr Scarth,

In relation to the issue you have raised below, the recognized Police procedures are that any complaints are normally made by the complainant in person or a third party on their behalf. If Mr Kirk was arrested on that day he is entitled to a copy of the Custody Record if he was detained which I am unable to confirm or deny at this time. I am unsure as to your bone fides in relation to your enquiry and if you wish to speak to me direct I can be contacted on the following number 01656 655555 and asked to be referred to the Custody inspector at Cardiff Bay.

Respectfully,

Wayne Thomas

Custody Inspector, Cardiff Bay

Subject: Persecution of Maurice Kirk by S.Wales Police continues.

Maurice Kirk rang me about 8.55am thiod morning from Cardiff Bay Police Station to say he was being held on some very vague suggestion that he may be charged with some Racially Agravated Public Order Offence. At 9.55pm this evening he rang from the Police Station on his own mobile to say he was released from custody about 10 minute before, with a vague suggestion that he may receive a Summons for some unspecified offence. Maurice has asked for a copy of the custody record, but Sgt 4637 is refusing to give it.

NormanScarth.

South Wales Police is the 8th most improved force nationally for overall crime reduction, with 14,000 less victims of crime

2nd August '10

Marvellous stuff! What is the way forward? Can we make Citizen's Arrests? Or what? Thanks to James? James who?

Norman Scarth.

Date: Mon, 2 Aug 2010 09:22:10 -0700

From: talk2kct@yahoo.co.uk  
Subject: Implications  
To:

Thanks to James for this.

#### Implications

For the first time in English law we have an Act of Parliament that takes precedence over the Royal Prerogative and binds the Crown and everyone in the public service of the Crown. By enacting this war law, Parliament blocked the legal loopholes that have enabled past British leaders to commit war crimes with impunity. Criminal responsibility for the crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes now lies with those who commit them, those who commission them and those who condone or support them, and all such persons can be held to account for these crimes in court.

<http://www.makewarshistory.org.uk/index/implications.html>